RE: Support the Bipartisan “Smarter Sentencing Act of 2013” (S. 1410)

The undersigned organizations that are part of the Justice Roundtable coalition write to express our support for S. 1410, the Smarter Sentencing Act (SSA). The primary features of this bipartisan legislation are that it reduces lengthy sentences for certain people convicted of non-violent drug offenses by decreasing the 5, 10 and 20 year mandatory minimums to 2, 5 and 10 years; narrowly expands the “safety valve” exception in lower level cases; and promotes consistency by allowing those sentenced under the old crack sentencing regime to return to court to have their sentences reviewed and recalculated to conform to current law.

There are over 217,000 people under the custody of the Federal Bureau of Prisons (BOP).\(^1\) System wide, the Bureau is operating at 32 percent over its rated capacity\(^2\). In his 2013 testimony before the House Appropriations CJS Subcommittee, BOP Director Charles Samuels singled out the excessive sentences and increasing prosecutions for drug offenses as the primary contributor to the continued population growth. He stated, “(d)rug offenders comprise the largest single offender group admitted to Federal prison and sentences for drug offenses are much longer than those for most other offense categories.”\(^3\) Research by the Urban Institute found that increases in expected time served, specifically for drug offenses, contributed to half of the prison population growth between 1998 and 2010.\(^4\) A 2013 report by the Congressional Research Service concluded that the increase in the

amount of time people were expected to serve was the result of longer sentences and the 
requirement that they serve approximately 85 percent of federal sentences. Currently, 
people convicted of drug offenses make up 50 percent of the BOP population.

Congress must courageously embrace the challenge to reverse this alarming course of 
unrestrained incarceration. The bipartisan Smarter Sentencing Act addresses the 
overcrowding which plagues the federal system by helping to improve drug sentencing 
policy without jeopardizing public safety. The Smarter Sentencing Act has support not only 
from civil rights, criminal justice, faith-based, and human rights organizations, but from 
prosecutors and law enforcement groups as well.

The International Union of Police Associations, representing more than 100,000 active duty 
law enforcement and emergency medical personnel, described the Smarter Sentencing Act 
as a “thoughtful, modest, and we believe, safe approach to address this growing concern” of 
overcrowding. The Association of Prosecuting Attorneys, which represents prosecutors at 
all levels, stated “this legislation improves public safety, helps redirect resources from 
federal incarceration of lower-level drug offenders to our most important law enforcement 
priorities, and promotes fairness of sentences for drug offenders who were sentenced prior 
to the enactment of the Fair Sentencing Act.”

A group of over 100 former judges, prosecutors and law enforcement officials have also 
spoken-out in support of the Smarter Sentencing Act. They expressed concern that spending 
more money on incarceration jeopardizes funding for priorities such as crime prevention, 
law enforcement and reducing recidivism. “With more resources going to incarcerate 
nonviolent offenders and fewer resources spent to investigate and prosecute violent crimes 
and support state and local law enforcement efforts, public safety will be at risk.” The 
President of the Council of Prison Locals, American Federation of Government Employees, 
AFL-CIO, representing over 37,000 correctional workers nationwide in the Federal Bureau 
of Prisons, offered “wholehearted support” of S. 1410, stating that the legislation “is long 
overdue.” He stressed that the crisis in prison overcrowding causes correctional worker 
understaffing, resulting in the formation of “a perfect storm for disaster.”

The Smarter Sentencing Act is supported by many in law enforcement because of its 
moderate approach to reform. It applies only to mandatory minimum sentences for federal 
nonviolent drug offenses, not those convicted of violent, sex, child exploitation, white collar, 
or terrorism crimes. It does not abolish any federal mandatory minimum sentences or 
eliminate or limit any prosecutorial charging discretion – all those convicted of drug 
offenses carrying a mandatory minimum will still go to prison for at least two, five, or ten 
years or more. The Smarter Sentencing Act will not only reduce federal prison populations 
but, when enacted, will save at least $2.7 billion as well. Furthermore, under the bill, the

---

5 Nathan James, Congressional Research Service, The Federal Prison Population 
Build-up: An Overview, Policy Changes, Issues and Options pg. 8 (January 22, 2013) 
(hereinafter CRS report).
6 Federal Bureau of Prisons Website, Quick Facts, 
7 Urban Institute, Stemming the Tide: Strategies to Reduce the Growth and Cut the 
Cost of the federal Prison System 24-25 (Nov. 2013), available at 
Attorney General is held accountable for ensuring that the cost savings are reinvested in law enforcement, crime prevention and recidivism reduction programs.

While the undersigned organizations would like to see greater and more far-reaching sentencing reform, we believe that Congress must act now to address the prison overcrowding crisis and embrace the modest approach sponsored by Senators Durbin (D-IL) and Lee (R-UT). Despite our concern with amendments added during mark-up which added new 5 year mandatory minimums for sexual abuse and terrorism offenses and a 10 year minimum for interstate domestic violence\(^8\), we feel that passage of the bill is a smart bipartisan solution to tackle the unsustainable growth in the federal prison population and address the serious safety and fiscal problems that exist in the BOP.

We thank those Senators who already support the Smarter Sentencing Act and urge others to support it as well with no additional mandatory minimum sentences or other unhelpful amendments as the bill continues through the legislative process.

Cc: Members of the U.S. Senate

Respectfully submitted,
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\(^8\) Victims’ rights groups such as the National Task Force to End Sexual and Domestic Violence Against Women oppose the 5 and 10 year minimums for sexual abuse and domestic violence because these sentences make it less likely that victims will report their abusers and get the help they need.
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