	Case 2:11-cv-00907-JAM-DAD Document	t 60	Filed 07/16/12	Page 1 of 6			
1	SANFORD JAY ROSEN – 62566						
2	ERNEST GALVAN – 196065 KENNETH M. WALCZAK – 247389						
3	ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP 215 Manuta Street Touth Floor						
4	315 Montgomery Street, Tenth Floor San Francisco, California 94104-1823 Telephone: (415) 433-6830 Facsimile: (415) 433-7104 Email: <u>kwalczak@rbgg.com</u>						
5							
6	LANCE WEBER (admitted pro hac vice)						
7	HUMAN RIGHTS DEFENSE CENTER P.O. Box 2420 Brattleboro, Vermont 05303-2420 Telephone: (802) 257-1342 Facsimile: (866) 228-1681 Email: <u>lweber@humanrightsdefensecenter.org</u>						
8							
9							
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff Prison Legal News						
11	3620 American River Drive, Suite 230 Sacramento, CA 95864 Phone: 916-974-8500						
12							
13							
14							
15 16	Attorney for Defendants County of Sacramento, Scott R. Jones, et al.						
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
18	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
19	SACRAMENTO DIVISION						
20	PRISON LEGAL NEWS, a project of the	Ca	Case No. 2:11-cv-00907-JAM-DAD				
21	HUMAN RIGHTS DEFENŜE ČENTER,	CC	DNSENT DECF	REE			
22	Plaintiff, v.		lge: Hon. John	A. Mendez			
23							
24	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO; SCOTT R. JONES, individually and in his						
25	capacity as Sheriff of the County of Sacramento; DOES 1-20, in their individual and official capacities,						
26	Defendants.						
27							
28							

The parties to this action, represented by counsel, stipulate to and request entry of a
 consent decree by the court as follows:

3 1. On April 5, 2011, Plaintiff Prison Legal News, a Project of the Human Rights Defense Center ("PLN" or "Plaintiff") filed suit in the above entitled matter seeking 4 5 injunctive and declaratory relief, damages, and attorney's fees and costs. Plaintiff's complaint alleges an unlawful and unconstitutional custom, practice, or policy regarding 6 7 the delivery of incoming publications and correspondence to prisoners at the Sacramento 8 County jails. The complaint alleges violations of the First and Fourteenth Amendments to 9 the United States Constitution, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and seeks injunctive and 10 declaratory relief, money damages, attorney's fees and legal costs.

On June 2, 2011, Defendants Sacramento County, *et al.*, (collectively
 "Defendants") filed an answer denying the allegations of the complaint and raising various
 affirmative defenses.

3. The parties agree that Defendants have disputed, and continue to dispute and
deny, liability. However, in order to avoid the expense, delay, uncertainty, and burden of
litigation the parties agree to the entry of this consent decree.

Plaintiff publishes and distributes a monthly journal of corrections news and
 analysis, and offers and sells books about the criminal justice system and legal issues
 affecting prisoners, to prisoners, lawyers, courts, libraries, and the public throughout the
 Country. PLN engages in protected speech and expressive conduct on matters of public
 concern. *See Prison Legal News* v. *Lehman*, 397 F.3d 692 (9th Cir. 2005).

5. Defendants operate the Sacramento County Main Jail ("SCMJ") and Rio
Cosumnes Correctional Center ("RCCC"), two facilities which house prisoners and
detainees.

6. Beginning in or around April 2009, Defendants refused to deliver
publications and correspondence sent by PLN to its subscribers, potential subscribers, and
readers at SCMJ and RCCC. The stated bases for these exclusions were that PLN's
magazine is held together by staples, and that PLN uses standard mailing labels to address

1 its correspondence.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

7. The Court on March 7, 2012 granted PLN's motion for preliminary

3 injunction. The March 7, 2012 findings were:

Defendants' policies and practices including refusing to deliver PLN publications and mailings to prisoners because they contained staples and/or a mailing label are not supported by a legitimate penological interest and do not leave open alternative means for PLN to exercise its First Amendment rights. Furthermore, allowing PLN to be delivered to prisoners in the Sacramento County's jails would have very limited impact on guards and other inmates, and there are obvious, easy alternatives to Defendants' bans on PLN's staples and mailing labels. In short, Defendants' policies are an exaggerated response to any security concerns posed by PLN.

Plaintiff has demonstrated that it will suffer irreparable harm in
the absence of preliminary injunctive relief and the balance of
hardships tips in Plaintiff's favor. The loss of First Amendment
freedoms, for even minimal periods of time, constitutes
irreparable injury. Here, Defendants have infringed on
Plaintiff's established rights to send publications to prisoners.
The grant of a preliminary injunction will not cause irreparable
harm to the Defendants. The balance of equities therefore tips
in Plaintiff's favor.

Finally, the preliminary injunction set forth below is in the public interest. Defendants' policies operated as a de facto ban on PLN publications. Protecting the constitutional rights of PLN promotes the public interest.

8. The parties agree that this consent decree resolves all claims for injunctive

22 relief alleged in Plaintiff's complaint. By this consent decree, together with payment of

23 the sum of three hundred thousand dollars (300,000.00), the parties agree that all claims

24 alleged by Plaintiff are fully and finally resolved. The parties agree that Plaintiff will

25 execute a release of all claims, and that Defendant will remit payment to Plaintiff as soon

- $26 \parallel$ as reasonably possible after the entry of this order, but not later than sixty (60) days after
- 27 entry of the order. If payment is not made within sixty (60) days, interst shall accrue
- 28 pursuant to 28 U.S,C. §1961 from the date of entry of this order.
- [635851-1]

- 9. The parties agree that the Plaintiff shall not be entitled to any attorney's fees
 and costs for monitoring compliance with this consent decree.
- 10. The parties agree that this consent decree shall only be applicable to: (a) the
 delivery of Plaintiff's publications, and/or publications from other known publishers and
 the removal of staples from those publications; and (b) the delivery of documents or
 correspondence from Prison Legal News and/or other known publishers that contain
 mailing labels.
- 8 11. The parties agree that providing prisoners with access to reading materials
 9 promotes positive contact with the communities into which prisoners will eventually be
 10 released and is therefore consistent with the Defendants' public safety mission.
- 11
- 12. DEFINITIONS:
- a. As used herein, STAPLES shall mean the type of light-duty small wire
 fasteners commonly used to attach a few sheets of paper, and used by PLN to bind the
 sheets of its monthly publication.
- b. As used herein, MAILING LABELS shall mean the type of self-adhesive
 sticker used by PLN to affix an address to an item of printed matter.
- 17

18

c. As used herein, PUBLISHER shall mean any publisher or book store that does mail order business.

19 13. The parties agree that Defendants and their successors, officers, agents,
 20 servants, and employees, and all others in active concert or participation with them, shall
 21 not refuse to deliver publications, correspondence, or documents sent by any PUBLISHER
 22 to prisoners at the county's jails on the ground that these publications, correspondence, or
 23 documents contain STAPLES, PROVIDED that Defendants may comply by removing the
 24 STAPLES.

14. The parties agree that Defendants shall not refuse to deliver publications,
correspondence, or documents sent to prisoners from any PUBLISHER because of
MAILING LABELS, PROVIDED that Defendants may comply by removing the
MAILING LABELS.

Case 2:11-cv-00907-JAM-DAD Document 60 Filed 07/16/12 Page 5 of 6

1 15. The parties agree that Defendants shall provide adequate written notice and
 2 an administrative review process to the PUBLISHER of any refusal to deliver any
 3 publication, correspondence, or document sent from a PUBLISHER to a prisoner at the
 4 County's jails. The administrative review process shall include the PUBLISHER's right to
 5 have its appeal, complaint, or inquiry considered and resolved by a decisionmaker other
 6 than the person who originally refused to deliver the publication or mailing in question.

7 16. Defendants also agree to purchase four (4) five-year subscriptions to PLN's
8 monthly journal for each Sacramento County jail library, and to retain and maintain those
9 six copies in each County Facility's libraries, for use by prisoners. Defendants shall order
10 and pay for the subscriptions within ten (10) calendar days of the entry of this Order.

11 17. If Plaintiff proves, in future, any violation of this order, Defendants shall be
12 liable for the reasonable attorney's fees and costs PLN incurs in proving the violation.
13 Defendants shall have the opportunity to demonstrate that any violation is isolated,
14 accidental, and/or not foreseeable in light of Defendants' history of substantial compliance
15 and efforts to train and inform staff on mail and publication policies to mitigate relief
16 ordered for any violation, including fees and costs.

17 18. The Court finds that this case concerns the First and Fourteenth Amendment
rights of a publisher and is therefore not a case concerning prison conditions as defined in
the Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1996. The Court further finds that the relief herein
ordered is narrowly drawn, extends no further than necessary to correct the harm to PLN
requiring injunctive relief, and is the least intrusive means necessary to correct that harm.

19. The Court retains jurisdiction of this matter for the purpose of enforcement
of its Order until terminated upon motion made by either party.

25 26

24

27

28

[635851-1]

2:11-cv-00907-JAM-DAD

	Case 2:11-cv-00907-JAM-	DAD Do	cument 60 Filed 07/16/12 Page 6 of 6		
1 20. No person who has notice of this consent decree shall fail to co					
2	nor shall any person subvert the injunction by any sham, indirection, or other artifice.				
3	IT IS SO STIPULA	TED.			
4					
5	DATED:	_, 2012	ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP		
6					
7			By: Ernest Galvan		
8					
9			Attorneys for Plaintiff Prison Legal News a project of the HUMAN RIGHTS DEFENSE		
10			CENTER		
11					
12	DATED:	_, 2012	LONGYEAR, O'DEA & LAVRA, LLP		
13					
14			By: John A. Lavra		
15					
16			Attorneys for Defendants County of Sacramento, Scott R. Jones		
17					
18	IT IS SO ORDERE	D.			
19					
20	DATED: July 16, 2012				
21					
22	/s/ John A. Mendez John A. Mendez, United States District Court Judge				
23			United States District Court Judge		
24					
25 26					
26 27					
27					
[635851-1]					
	http://www.ich.wordien.wo		5 2:11-cv-00907-JAM-DAD		
	lith pdfFactory trial version		actory.com		