In The Matter Of:

ORIGINAL

Alex Friedmann v.
Corrections Corporation of America

Davidson County Chancery Court Honorable Claudia Bonnyman July 29, 2008

Vowell & Jennings, Inc.
214 Second Avenue North
Suite 207
Nashville, Tennessee 37201
615-256-1935

VOWELL JENNINGS

Original File JULY29_2008CT:v1
Min-U-Script® with Word Index

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Page 1			Page
CHANCERY COURT OF DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSE		1	- APPEARANCES -	
ALEX FRIEDMANN,)		2	For the Petitioner:	
Individually and as an) Associate Editor of)		4.		
PRISON LEGAL NEWS,		5	ANDREW C. CLARKE BOROD & KRAMER	
Petitioner,		~	80 Monroe Avenue, Suite G1	
v. NO. 01-1105-I		6	Memphis, Tennessee 38103 .901-524-0200	
CORRECTIONS CORPORATION		7	aclarke@borodandkramer.com	
OF AMERICA,)		8		
Respondent.)		9	For the Respondent:	
)		10		
		11	JOSEPH F. WELBORN, III AND	
			JASON CALLEN	
		12	WALKER, TIPPS & MALONE 150 Fourth Avenue, North	
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		13	Nashville, Tennessee 37219	•
Taken Before the Honorable Claudia Bonnyman		14	615.313.6000	
July 29, 2008		15		
		16	COURT REPORTING FIRM:	
			JENNIFER HAYNIE	
		17	VOWELL & JENNINGS, INC. 214 2nd Avenue North	٠
		18	Suite 207	
		19	Nashville, Tennessee 37201 Telephone: 615.256.1935	
		13	E-Mail: jenniferhayine@comcast.net	
		20		
VOWELL & JENNINGS, INC. Court Reporting Services		21	•	
207 Washington Square Building 214 Second Avenue North		23		
Nashville, Tennessee 37201 (615) 256-1935		24 25		
(025) 550-2555				
<u> </u>	Page 3			Page
(The above-styled matter came on to be heard		1	think the annual, all the exhibits that we	
before the Honorable Claudia Bonnyman, at		2	filed with our notice of filing.	
1:00 on July 29, 2008, when the following		3	THE COURT: Okay. And you have a	
proceedings were had, to wit:)		٦	copy of this?	
proceedings were mad, to with		A		
		4		
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the		5	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks		5 6	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks ago in which we discussed and made a decision		5 6 7	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or dispositive of the Court's ruling, the	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks	t de la constitución de la const	5 6	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks ago in which we discussed and made a decision		5 6 7	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or dispositive of the Court's ruling, the	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks ago in which we discussed and made a decision about or at least the Court ruled upon burdens of proof and the parties and the		5 6 7 8	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or dispositive of the Court's ruling, the affidavit is hearsay, but I think we need to	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks ago in which we discussed and made a decision about or at least the Court ruled upon burdens of proof and the parties and the		5 6 7 8	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or dispositive of the Court's ruling, the affidavit is hearsay, but I think we need to get to the point of this, if it gets to that	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks ago in which we discussed and made a decision about or at least the Court ruled upon burdens of proof and the parties and the Court shared information about which cases		5 6 7 8 9	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or dispositive of the Court's ruling, the affidavit is hearsay, but I think we need to get to the point of this, if it gets to that point, I would like to, of course, take the	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks ago in which we discussed and made a decision about or at least the Court ruled upon burdens of proof and the parties and the Court shared information about which cases seem to be on point and what parts of the		5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or dispositive of the Court's ruling, the affidavit is hearsay, but I think we need to get to the point of this, if it gets to that point, I would like to, of course, take the deposition of	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks ago in which we discussed and made a decision about or at least the Court ruled upon burdens of proof and the parties and the Court shared information about which cases seem to be on point and what parts of the case would really lead the Court to the		5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or dispositive of the Court's ruling, the affidavit is hearsay, but I think we need to get to the point of this, if it gets to that point, I would like to, of course, take the deposition of Mr. Groom, who makes a lot of legal	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks ago in which we discussed and made a decision about or at least the Court ruled upon burdens of proof and the parties and the Court shared information about which cases seem to be on point and what parts of the case would really lead the Court to the conclusion and the plaintiff filed four exhibits.		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or dispositive of the Court's ruling, the affidavit is hearsay, but I think we need to get to the point of this, if it gets to that point, I would like to, of course, take the deposition of Mr. Groom, who makes a lot of legal conclusions in the affidavit. I think we	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks ago in which we discussed and made a decision about or at least the Court ruled upon burdens of proof and the parties and the Court shared information about which cases seem to be on point and what parts of the case would really lead the Court to the conclusion and the plaintiff filed four exhibits. MR. CLARKE: Yes, your Honor.		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or dispositive of the Court's ruling, the affidavit is hearsay, but I think we need to get to the point of this, if it gets to that point, I would like to, of course, take the deposition of Mr. Groom, who makes a lot of legal conclusions in the affidavit. I think we need to move this thing along. If the Court thinks that there's a proof issue that needs	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks ago in which we discussed and made a decision about or at least the Court ruled upon burdens of proof and the parties and the Court shared information about which cases seem to be on point and what parts of the case would really lead the Court to the conclusion and the plaintiff filed four exhibits. MR. CLARKE: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: There is an affidavit		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or dispositive of the Court's ruling, the affidavit is hearsay, but I think we need to get to the point of this, if it gets to that point, I would like to, of course, take the deposition of Mr. Groom, who makes a lot of legal conclusions in the affidavit. I think we need to move this thing along. If the Court thinks that there's a proof issue that needs to done, we have made our alternative request	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks ago in which we discussed and made a decision about or at least the Court ruled upon burdens of proof and the parties and the Court shared information about which cases seem to be on point and what parts of the case would really lead the Court to the conclusion and the plaintiff filed four exhibits. MR. CLARKE: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: There is an affidavit that has been filed, but has not been made an		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or dispositive of the Court's ruling, the affidavit is hearsay, but I think we need to get to the point of this, if it gets to that point, I would like to, of course, take the deposition of Mr. Groom, who makes a lot of legal conclusions in the affidavit. I think we need to move this thing along. If the Court thinks that there's a proof issue that needs to done, we have made our alternative request for discovery. I don't think it is	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks ago in which we discussed and made a decision about or at least the Court ruled upon burdens of proof and the parties and the Court shared information about which cases seem to be on point and what parts of the case would really lead the Court to the conclusion and the plaintiff filed four exhibits. MR. CLARKE: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: There is an affidavit that has been filed, but has not been made an exhibit to the hearing. You might want to do		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or dispositive of the Court's ruling, the affidavit is hearsay, but I think we need to get to the point of this, if it gets to that point, I would like to, of course, take the deposition of Mr. Groom, who makes a lot of legal conclusions in the affidavit. I think we need to move this thing along. If the Court thinks that there's a proof issue that needs to done, we have made our alternative request for discovery. I don't think it is necessary, but for the record I will say that	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks ago in which we discussed and made a decision about or at least the Court ruled upon burdens of proof and the parties and the Court shared information about which cases seem to be on point and what parts of the case would really lead the Court to the conclusion and the plaintiff filed four exhibits. MR. CLARKE: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: There is an affidavit that has been filed, but has not been made an exhibit to the hearing. You might want to do that. I'm speaking as to the CCA		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or dispositive of the Court's ruling, the affidavit is hearsay, but I think we need to get to the point of this, if it gets to that point, I would like to, of course, take the deposition of Mr. Groom, who makes a lot of legal conclusions in the affidavit. I think we need to move this thing along. If the Court thinks that there's a proof issue that needs to done, we have made our alternative request for discovery. I don't think it is necessary, but for the record I will say that the affidavit is hearsay.	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks ago in which we discussed and made a decision about or at least the Court ruled upon burdens of proof and the parties and the Court shared information about which cases seem to be on point and what parts of the case would really lead the Court to the conclusion and the plaintiff filed four exhibits. MR. CLARKE: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: There is an affidavit that has been filed, but has not been made an exhibit to the hearing. You might want to do		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or dispositive of the Court's ruling, the affidavit is hearsay, but I think we need to get to the point of this, if it gets to that point, I would like to, of course, take the deposition of Mr. Groom, who makes a lot of legal conclusions in the affidavit. I think we need to move this thing along. If the Court thinks that there's a proof issue that needs to done, we have made our alternative request for discovery. I don't think it is necessary, but for the record I will say that	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks ago in which we discussed and made a decision about or at least the Court ruled upon burdens of proof and the parties and the Court shared information about which cases seem to be on point and what parts of the case would really lead the Court to the conclusion and the plaintiff filed four exhibits. MR. CLARKE: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: There is an affidavit that has been filed, but has not been made an exhibit to the hearing. You might want to do that. I'm speaking as to the CCA		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or dispositive of the Court's ruling, the affidavit is hearsay, but I think we need to get to the point of this, if it gets to that point, I would like to, of course, take the deposition of Mr. Groom, who makes a lot of legal conclusions in the affidavit. I think we need to move this thing along. If the Court thinks that there's a proof issue that needs to done, we have made our alternative request for discovery. I don't think it is necessary, but for the record I will say that the affidavit is hearsay.	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks ago in which we discussed and made a decision about or at least the Court ruled upon burdens of proof and the parties and the Court shared information about which cases seem to be on point and what parts of the case would really lead the Court to the conclusion and the plaintiff filed four exhibits. MR. CLARKE: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: There is an affidavit that has been filed, but has not been made an exhibit to the hearing. You might want to do that. I'm speaking as to the CCA MR. WELBORN: I would like to make		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or dispositive of the Court's ruling, the affidavit is hearsay, but I think we need to get to the point of this, if it gets to that point, I would like to, of course, take the deposition of Mr. Groom, who makes a lot of legal conclusions in the affidavit. I think we need to move this thing along. If the Court thinks that there's a proof issue that needs to done, we have made our alternative request for discovery. I don't think it is necessary, but for the record I will say that the affidavit is hearsay. The contracts that I produced are	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks ago in which we discussed and made a decision about or at least the Court ruled upon burdens of proof and the parties and the Court shared information about which cases seem to be on point and what parts of the case would really lead the Court to the conclusion and the plaintiff filed four exhibits. MR. CLARKE: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: There is an affidavit that has been filed, but has not been made an exhibit to the hearing. You might want to do that. I'm speaking as to the CCA MR. WELBORN: I would like to make Mr. Groom's affidavit an exhibit to the		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or dispositive of the Court's ruling, the affidavit is hearsay, but I think we need to get to the point of this, if it gets to that point, I would like to, of course, take the deposition of Mr. Groom, who makes a lot of legal conclusions in the affidavit. I think we need to move this thing along. If the Court thinks that there's a proof issue that needs to done, we have made our alternative request for discovery. I don't think it is necessary, but for the record I will say that the affidavit is hearsay. The contracts that I produced are public records. They are exempted from the	
THE COURT: Lawyers, this is the continuation of a proceeding of several weeks ago in which we discussed and made a decision about or at least the Court ruled upon burdens of proof and the parties and the Court shared information about which cases seem to be on point and what parts of the case would really lead the Court to the conclusion and the plaintiff filed four exhibits. MR. CLARKE: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: There is an affidavit that has been filed, but has not been made an exhibit to the hearing. You might want to do that. I'm speaking as to the CCA MR. WELBORN: I would like to make Mr. Groom's affidavit an exhibit to the hearing.		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent it's going to be utilized or dispositive of the Court's ruling, the affidavit is hearsay, but I think we need to get to the point of this, if it gets to that point, I would like to, of course, take the deposition of Mr. Groom, who makes a lot of legal conclusions in the affidavit. I think we need to move this thing along. If the Court thinks that there's a proof issue that needs to done, we have made our alternative request for discovery. I don't think it is necessary, but for the record I will say that the affidavit is hearsay. The contracts that I produced are public records. They are exempted from the hearsay exception. They are contracts	

		T	
	Page 5		·
1	THE COURT: Well, we know that no	1.	what the Court should look at in terms of
2	one factor can be dispositive of the Court's	2	fact evidence, and I think that the Court can
3	ruling, so if I'm going to go ahead and	3	go through those matters and determine which
4	make I'm not going to, but I'm going to	4	ones are actually fact and which ones are
į	recognize CCA's motion to make its paper	5	presented to make a point of law.
1 6	filed July 9th.	6	So we know that the burden of
1	MR. CLARKE: And I do have a	7	showing that CCA is the functional equivalent
8	copy-of it. There's not a notice issue and	8	in the nation's government agency's own
2	everything. They served that on me.	9	plaintiff. You've both filed supplemental
1.0	THE COURT: And we'll mark that	10	briefs, which I did review. Is there I'm
13	collective exhibit.	11	ready to hear the plaintiff if there's
12	Are there any other exhibits that	12	anything that you want to add or anything
13	should be made, whether they're affidavits or	13	that you want to expand upon.
14	contracts or anything else that you can think	14	MR. CLARKE: No, Your Honor, other
15	of?	15	than what we previously argued. We have,
16	MR. CLARKE: Not from the	16	after our initial petition and after we
17	petitioner, Your Honor.	17	responded to CCA's briefs, we filed a
18	MR. WELBORN: No, Your Honor.	18	supplemental memorandum that really went
1.9	THE COURT: Because the parties	1.9	through a lot of the contracts. We would
20	indicated at the first hearing that witnesses	20	just point out very briefly without rearguing
23	would not be called, that fact proof was	21	and rehashing everything over again, I think
22	fairly limited, was very limited, I'm	22	the big distinction for this Court to make
23	admitting the affidavit, which is hearsay,	23	and that both CCA and the petitioner have
24	but it seems to the Court that the parties	24	been kind of analyzing the question a little
2.5	have been fairly informal as regards exactly	25	bit different, is whether or not the function

			Page 7		•
	1	that CCA performs is governmental; not how		1	private act of the gov
	2	they operate their own business. And I think		2	ways to determine it.
	3	based on that, while the Court has to look at		3	We're not t
	4	all the factors, and I think we've briefed		4	the Humane Society. W
	5	them fairly detailed to the Court is that		- 5	an entertainment group
	6	there is no function that is more uniquely		6	We're not talking abou
	7	governmental than housing, incarcerating and		7	done ever without legi-
	8	having people to pay their debts to the State		8	to. I think the fact
	9	of Tennessee. It cannot be done without		9	Prison Contracting Act
1:	LO	legislature, that is that being the Private		10	allow CCA to even oper
;	L1	Prison Contracting Act.		11	is, in my opinion, inc
:	1.2	But this is all set up for us in		12	the Court's considerat
1:	13	our briefs, Your Honor. We don't have any		13	not it's a governmenta
:	14	additional proof. We do feel that there, if		14	functional equivalent
:	15	you want to look through all four factors,		15	agency.
;	1.6	while no one is dispositive, I think the		16	With that b
1:	17	three factors that Cherokee are really a way		17	just argue that if you
:	18	to analyze the function, which is whether or		18	CCA wasn't operating a
:	19	not it is governmental or public.		19	for the records from,
1	20	I don't necessarily believe there		20	records, they would ha
:	2 I.	are four factors. I believe there is one		21	There is no question a
1	22	factor that the Court can consider in		22	are exceptions to the
1:	23	addition to whatever it wants to consider;		23	think that's what the
-					

Page 6

vernment. Those are talking about, you know, We're not talking about p running an arena. ut something that was islation that allowed it that we have a Private t, in and of itself, to rate a private prison credibly important to tion as to whether or al agency or acting as a of a governmental being said, we would u askeđ a jail tođay if a jail that we're asking can we have these ave to be produced. about it. Now, there public records and I Court really has to pay 24 attention to because what Cherokee says, if 25 we're not going to let a governmental entity

24 three factors, a level of funding, the level

25 of involvement, whether it was created by a

1 contract out, either intentionally or 2 unintentionally, its obligation to produce 3 records. 4 Based on all the arguments that 5 we've made, based on all the briefs that 6 we've written, which we submitted for your 7 consideration; however, if the Court for some 8 reason, feels that we did not sustain our 9 burden of proof because we came up here and 10 we appreciate the Court's candor in talking 11 to us. We have been informal and we have 12 tried to put this in a ways for the Court to 13 be able to analyze it quickly. We would ask 14 for discovery. 15 We admit denied our 16 governmental, I mean, our public record's 17 request and we have made our alternate 18 request for discovery, because there is no 19 actual provisions in the public records' act 20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 21 if the Court feels we haven't received our 22 burden, which we think we have, 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 1 THE COURT: Mr. Welborn. 2 MR. WELBORN: Your Honor, Joe 3 Welborn here on behalf of CCA. I think our 4 argument is pretty set out in our briefs, our 5 initial briefs and supplemental briefs, our 6 initial briefs and supplemental briefs, our 6 initial briefs and supplemental briefs, our 6 I'm not going to go back through those. I 7 think the question is for the Court, and I 8 think 9 Mr. Friedmann goes away from this, is the 9 Mr. Friedmann goes away from this, is the 10 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 11 functional equivalent. That's the question. 12 Land that's what the question for Cherokee, 13 and that's the question for Cherokee, 14 Day case and our position, Your Honor, is 15 unquestionably in this case, first of all, 16 they have not met their burden and they're 17 the ones who chose to have the hearing on the 18 15th, they presented no proof other than the 19 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 20 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 21 the burden, but even beyond that,	Corrections Corporation or Filling Feb.		y wz,	, 22, 2000
2 unintentionally, its obligation to produce 3 records. 4 Based on all the arguments that 5 we've made, based on all the briefs that 6 we've written, which we submitted for your 7 consideration, however, if the Court for some 8 reason, feels that we did not sustain our 9 burden of proof because we came up here and 10 we appreciate the Court's candor in talking 11 to us. We have been informal and we have 12 tried to put this in a ways for the Court to 13 be able to analyze it quickly. We would ask 16 governmental, I mean, our public record's 17 request and we have made our alternate 18 request for discovery, because there is no 19 actual provisions in the public records' act 20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 21 if the Court feels we haven't received our 22 burden, which we think we have, 23 and us to have certain discovery on the 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 2 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 23 Welborn here on behalf of CCA. I think our 4 argument is pretty set out in our picifs, our 5 initial briefs and supplemental briefs, our 6 I'm not going to go back through those. I 7 think the question is for the Court, and I 8 think 9 Mr. Friedmann goes away from this, is the 10 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 10 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 11 functional equivalent. That's the question. 12 And that's what the question for Cherokee, 13 and that's what the question in the Allen versus 14 Day case and our position, Your Honor, is 15 unquestionably in this case, first of all, 16 they have not met their burden and they're 17 the ones who chose to have the hearing on the 18 15th, they presented no proof other than the 19 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 19 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 20 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 21 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 22 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 23 that does ten percent of its business with 24 state or local agencies in		Page 9		Page 10
Based on all the arguments that Based on all the arguments that Based on all the briefs that We've made, based on all the briefs that Based on all the briefs that We've written, which we submitted for your Consideration, however, if the Court for some Breason, feels that we did not sustain our Burden of proof because we came up here and We appreciate the Court's candor in talking to us. We have been informal and we have to us. We have been informal and we have but do put this in a ways for the Court to Breason, feels that we did not sustain our burden of proof because we came up here and We appreciate the Court's candor in talking to us. We have been informal and we have to us. We have been informal and we have but do put this in a ways for the Court to but do put this in a ways for the Court to burden of proof because we came up here and we appreciate the Court's candor in talking to us. We have been informal and we have the use appreciate the Court's candor in talking to us. We have been informal and we have to us. We have been informal and we have to us. We have been informal and we have to us. We have been informal and we have to use appreciate the Court's candor in talking to us. We have been informal and we have to use appreciate the Court, and I think Mr. Friedmann goes away from this, is the question whether CCA, the entity, is the the question whether CCA, the entity, is the that's the question for Cherokee, and that's the question for Cherokee, and that's the question for Cherokee, but this that's the question for Cherokee, and that's the question for the Allen versus buy case and our position, Your Honor, is they have not met their burden and they're the ones who chose to have the hearing on the 18 think 19 the year of the Court of the Allen versus the ones who chose to have the hearing on the 18 think	1 contract out, either intentionally or	1	THE COURT: Mr. Welborn.	
4 Based on all the arguments that 5 we've made, based on all the briefs that 6 we've written, which we submitted for your 7 consideration; however, if the Court for some 8 reason, feels that we did not sustain our 9 burden of proof because we came up here and 10 we appreciate the Court's candor in talking 11 to us. We have been informal and we have 11 tried to put this in a ways for the Court to 12 tried to put this in a ways for the Court to 13 be able to analyze it quickly. We would ask 14 for discovery. 15 We admit denied our 16 governmental, I mean, our public record's 17 request and we have made our alternate 18 request for discovery, because there is no 19 actual provisions in the public records' act 10 actual provisions in the public record's act 11 if the Court feels we haven't received our 12 burden, which we think we have, 13 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 14 allow us to have certain discovery on the 15 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 16 In not going to go back through those. I 17 think the question is for the Court, and I 18 think 19 think 10 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 10 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 11 functional equivalent. That's the question. 12 And that's what the question for Cherokee, 13 and that's the question in the Allen versus 14 Day case and our position, Your Honor, is 15 unquestionably in this case, first of all, 16 they have not met their burden and they're 17 the ones who chose to have the hearing on the 18 15th, they presented no proof other than the 19 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 19 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 20 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 21 that does ten percent of its business with 22 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 23 that does ten percent of its business with 24 state or local agencies in the State of 25 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	2 unintentionally, its obligation to produce	2	MR. WELBORN: Your Honor, Joe	
5 we've made, based on all the briefs that 6 we've written, which we submitted for your 7 consideration; however, if the Court for some 8 reason, feels that we did not sustain our 9 burden of proof because we came up here and 10 we appreciate the Court's candor in talking 11 to us. We have been informal and we have 12 tried to put this in a ways for the Court to 13 be able to analyze it quickly. We would ask 14 for discovery. 15 We admit denied our 16 governmental, I mean, our public record's 17 request and we have made our alternate 18 request for discovery, because there is no 19 actual provisions in the public records' act 20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 21 burden, which we submitted for your 22 burden, which we bubmit that he court to 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 5 I'm not going to go back through those. I 7 think the question is for the Court, and I 8 think 9 Mr. Friedmann goes away from this, is the 10 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 11 functional equivalent. That's the question. 12 And that's what the question for Cherokee, 13 and that's the question for Cherokee, 14 allow us to have been informal and we have 15 unquestionably in this case, first of all, 16 they have not met their burden and they're 17 think the question is for the Court to 18 think 19 Mr. Friedmann goes away from this, is the 10 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 11 functional equivalent. That's the question in the Allen versus 12 had that's what the question in the Allen versus 13 and that's the question for Cherokee, 13 and that's the question in the Allen versus 14 bay case and our position, Your Honor, is 15 unquestionably in this case, first of all, 16 they have not met their burden and they're 17 the ones who chose to have the hearing on the 18 15th, they presented no proof other than the 19 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 20 unauthen	3 records.	3	Welborn here on behalf of CCA. I think our	
6 We've written, which we submitted for your 7 consideration; however, if the Court for some 8 reason, feels that we did not sustain our 9 burden of proof because we came up here and 10 we appreciate the Court's candor in talking 11 to us. We have been informal and we have 12 tried to put this in a ways for the Court to 13 be able to analyze it quickly. We would ask 14 for discovery. 15 We admit denied our 16 governmental, I mean, our public record's 17 request and we have made our alternate 18 request for discovery, because there is no 19 actual provisions in the public records' act 20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 21 if the Court feels we haven't received our 22 burden, which we think we have, 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 6 I'm not going to go back through those. I 7 think the question is for the Court and I 8 think 9 Mr. Friedmann goes away from this, is the 10 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 10 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 11 functional equivalent. That's the question. 12 And that's what the question for Cherokee, 13 and that's the question in the Allen versus 14 Day case and our position, Your Honor, is 15 unquestionably in this case, first of all, 16 they have not met their burden and they're 17 the ones who chose to have the hearing on the 18 15th, they presented no proof other than the 19 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 20 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 21 the burden, but even beyond that, considering 22 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 that does ten percent of its business with 25 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	4 Based on all the arguments that	4	argument is pretty set out in our briefs, our	
7 consideration; however, if the Court for some 8 reason, feels that we did not sustain our 9 burden of proof because we came up here and 10 we appreciate the Court's candor in talking 11 to us. We have been informal and we have 12 tried to put this in a ways for the Court to 13 be able to analyze it quickly. We would ask 14 for discovery. 15 We admit denied our 16 governmental, I mean, our public record's 17 request and we have made our alternate 18 request for discovery, because there is no 19 actual provisions in the public records' act 20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 21 if the Court feels we haven't received our 22 burden, which we think we have, 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 7 think the question is for the Court, and I 8 think 9 Mr. Friedmann goes away from this, is the 10 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 11 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 11 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 12 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 14 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 15 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 16 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 17 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 18 think 19 Mr. Friedmann goes away from this, is the 10 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 10 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 11 functional equivalent. That's the question. 12 And that's what the question for Cherokee, 13 and that's the question for Cherokee, 14 Day case and our position, Your Honor, is 15 unquestionably in this case, first of all, 16 they have not met their burden and they're 17 the ones who chose to have the hearing on the 18 15th, they presented no proof other than the 19 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 19 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 20 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 21 the burden, but even beyond that, considering 22 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 23 that does ten percent of its business	5 we've made, based on all the briefs that	5	initial briefs and supplemental briefs, so	
8 reason, feels that we did not sustain our 9 burden of proof because we came up here and 10 we appreciate the Court's candor in talking 11 to us. We have been informal and we have 12 tried to put this in a ways for the Court to 13 be able to analyze it quickly. We would ask 14 for discovery. 15 We admit denied our 16 governmental, I mean, our public record's 17 request and we have made our alternate 18 request for discovery, because there is no 19 actual provisions in the public records' act 20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 21 if the Court feels we haven't received our 22 burden, which we think we have, 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 8 think 9 Mr. Friedmann goes away from this, is the 10 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 11 functional equivalent. That's the question. 12 And that's what the question for Cherokee, 13 and that's the question in the Allen versus 14 Day case and our position, Your Honor, is 15 unquestionably in this case, first of all, 16 they have not met their burden and they're 17 the ones who chose to have the hearing on the 18 15th, they presented no proof other than the 19 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 20 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 21 the burden, but even beyond that, considering 22 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 state or local agencies in the State of 25 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	6 we've written, which we submitted for your	6	I'm not going to go back through those. I	
9 burden of proof because we came up here and 10 we appreciate the Court's candor in talking 11 to us. We have been informal and we have 12 tried to put this in a ways for the Court to 13 be able to analyze it quickly. We would ask 14 for discovery. 15 We admit denied our 16 governmental, I mean, our public record's 17 request and we have made our alternate 18 request for discovery, because there is no 19 actual provisions in the public records' act 10 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 21 if the Court feels we haven't received our 22 burden, which we think we have, 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 9 Mr. Friedmann goes away from this, is the 10 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 11 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 12 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 12 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 13 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 14 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 15 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 16 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 17 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 18 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 19 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 11 functional equivalent. That's the question. 12 And that's what the question for Cherokee, 13 and that's the question in the Allen versus 14 Day case and our position, Your Honor, is 15 unquestionably in this case, first of all, 16 they have not met their burden and they're 17 the ones who chose to have the hearing on the 18 15th, they presented no proof other than the 19 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 19 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 20 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 21 the burden, but even beyond that, considering 22 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 23 that does ten percent of its business with 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Tennessee, is not the functional	7 consideration; however, if the Court for some	7	think the question is for the Court, and I	
10 we appreciate the Court's candor in talking 11 to us. We have been informal and we have 12 tried to put this in a ways for the Court to 13 be able to analyze it quickly. We would ask 14 for discovery. 15 We admit denied our 16 governmental, I mean, our public record's 17 request and we have made our alternate 18 request for discovery, because there is no 19 actual provisions in the public records' act 20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 21 if the Court feels we haven't received our 22 burden, which we think we have, 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 10 question whether CCA, the entity, is the 11 functional equivalent. That's the question. 12 And that's what the question for Cherokee, 13 and that's the question in the Allen versus 14 Day case and our position, Your Honor, is 15 unquestionably in this case, first of all, 16 they have not met their burden and they're 17 the ones who chose to have the hearing on the 18 15th, they presented no proof other than the 19 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 20 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 21 the burden, but even beyond that, considering 22 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 23 that does ten percent of its business with 24 state or local agencies in the State of 25 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	8 reason, feels that we did not sustain our	8	think	
11 to us. We have been informal and we have 12 tried to put this in a ways for the Court to 13 be able to analyze it quickly. We would ask 14 for discovery. 15 We admit denied our 16 governmental, I mean, our public record's 17 request and we have made our alternate 18 request for discovery, because there is no 19 actual provisions in the public records' act 20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 21 if the Court feels we haven't received our 22 burden, which we think we have, 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 16 functional equivalent. That's the question. 12 And that's what the question for Cherokee, 13 and that's what the question for Cherokee, 14 Day case and our position, Your Honor, is 15 unquestionably in this case, first of all, 16 they have not met their burden and they're 17 the ones who chose to have the hearing on the 18 15th, they presented no proof other than the 19 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 20 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 21 the burden, but even beyond that, considering 22 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 that does ten percent of its business with 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 26 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	9 burden of proof because we came up here and	9	Mr. Friedmann goes away from this, is the	
12 tried to put this in a ways for the Court to 13 be able to analyze it quickly. We would ask 14 for discovery. 15 We admit denied our 16 governmental, I mean, our public record's 17 request and we have made our alternate 18 request for discovery, because there is no 19 actual provisions in the public records' act 20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 21 if the Court feels we haven't received our 22 burden, which we think we have, 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 12 And that's what the question for Cherokee, 13 and that's the question in the Allen versus 14 Day case and our position, Your Honor, is 15 unquestionably in this case, first of all, 16 they have not met their burden and they're 17 the ones who chose to have the hearing on the 18 15th, they presented no proof other than the 19 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 20 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 21 the burden, but even beyond that, considering 22 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 23 that does ten percent of its business with 24 state or local agencies in the State of 25 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	10 we appreciate the Court's candor in talking	10	question whether CCA, the entity, is the	
be able to analyze it quickly. We would ask 14 for discovery. 15 We admit denied our 16 governmental, I mean, our public record's 17 request and we have made our alternate 18 request for discovery, because there is no 19 actual provisions in the public records' act 20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 21 if the Court feels we haven't received our 22 burden, which we think we have, 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 16 Day case and our position, Your Honor, is 17 unquestionably in this case, first of all, 18 they have not met their burden and they're 19 the ones who chose to have the hearing on the 18 15th, they presented no proof other than the 26 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 27 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 28 the burden, but even beyond that, considering 29 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 21 that does ten percent of its business with 24 state or local agencies in the State of 25 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	11 to us. We have been informal and we have	11	functional equivalent. That's the question.	
We admit denied our 15 We admit denied our 16 governmental, I mean, our public record's 17 request and we have made our alternate 18 request for discovery, because there is no 19 actual provisions in the public records' act 20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 21 if the Court feels we haven't received our 22 burden, which we think we have, 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 16 Day case and our position, Your Honor, is 15 unquestionably in this case, first of all, 16 they have not met their burden and they're 17 the ones who chose to have the hearing on the 18 15th, they presented no proof other than the 19 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 20 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 21 the burden, but even beyond that, considering 22 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 state or local agencies in the State of 25 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	12 tried to put this in a ways for the Court to	12	And that's what the question for Cherokee,	
We admit denied our 15 unquestionably in this case, first of all, 16 governmental, I mean, our public record's 17 request and we have made our alternate 18 request for discovery, because there is no 19 actual provisions in the public records' act 20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 21 if the Court feels we haven't received our 22 burden, which we think we have, 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 16 they have not met their burden and they're 17 the ones who chose to have the hearing on the 18 15th, they presented no proof other than the 19 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 20 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 21 the burden, but even beyond that, considering 22 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 23 that does ten percent of its business with 24 state or local agencies in the State of 25 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	13 be able to analyze it quickly. We would ask	13	and that's the question in the Allen versus	
16 governmental, I mean, our public record's 17 request and we have made our alternate 18 request for discovery, because there is no 19 actual provisions in the public records' act 20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 21 if the Court feels we haven't received our 22 burden, which we think we have, 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 16 they have not met their burden and they're 17 the ones who chose to have the hearing on the 18 15th, they presented no proof other than the 19 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 20 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 21 the burden, but even beyond that, considering 22 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 23 that does ten percent of its business with 24 state or local agencies in the State of 25 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	14 for discovery.	14	Day case and our position, Your Honor, is	
17 request and we have made our alternate 18 request for discovery, because there is no 19 actual provisions in the public records' act 20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 21 if the Court feels we haven't received our 22 burden, which we think we have, 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 17 the ones who chose to have the hearing on the 18 15th, they presented no proof other than the 19 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 20 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 21 the burden, but even beyond that, considering 22 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 23 that does ten percent of its business with 24 state or local agencies in the State of 25 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	15 We admit denied our	1,5	unquestionably in this case, first of all,	
18 request for discovery, because there is no 19 actual provisions in the public records' act 20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 21 if the Court feels we haven't received our 22 burden, which we think we have, 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 18 15th, they presented no proof other than the 29 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 20 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 21 the burden, but even beyond that, considering 22 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 23 that does ten percent of its business with 24 state or local agencies in the State of 25 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	16 governmental, I mean, our public record's	16	they have not met their burden and they're	
19 actual provisions in the public records' act 20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it 21 if the Court feels we haven't received our 22 burden, which we think we have, 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 19 exhibits that were offered into evidence, 20 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 21 the burden, but even beyond that, considering 22 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 23 that does ten percent of its business with 24 state or local agencies in the State of 25 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	17 request and we have made our alternate	17	the ones who chose to have the hearing on the	•
that address this, so rather than dismiss it 20 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 21 if the Court feels we haven't received our 22 burden, which we think we have, 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 20 unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met 21 the burden, but even beyond that, considering 22 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 23 that does ten percent of its business with 24 state or local agencies in the State of 25 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	18 request for discovery, because there is no	18	15th, they presented no proof other than the	
21 if the Court feels we haven't received our 22 burden, which we think we have, 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 21 the burden, but even beyond that, considering 22 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 23 that does ten percent of its business with 24 state or local agencies in the State of 25 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	19 actual provisions in the public records' act	19	exhibits that were offered into evidence,	
22 burden, which we think we have, 23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 22 the evidence that we put in the record, CCA 23 that does ten percent of its business with 24 state or local agencies in the State of 25 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	20 that address this, so rather than dismiss it	20	unauthenticated exhibits. They haven't met	
23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to 24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 23 that does ten percent of its business with 24 state or local agencies in the State of 25 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	21 if the Court feels we haven't received our	21	the burden, but even beyond that, considering	
24 allow us to have certain discovery on the 25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 24 state or local agencies in the State of 25 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	22 burden, which we think we have,	22	the evidence that we put in the record, CCA	
25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you. 25 Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	23 unquestionably we would ask for the Court to	23	that does ten percent of its business with	
	24 allow us to have certain discovery on the	24	state or local agencies in the State of	
	25 Cherokee and Allen factors. Thank you.	25	Tennessee, is not the functional equivalent	
Page 11 Page		Page 11		Page 12

of a state agency. Now I just wanted to address one thing that was said just a moment ago. The question was raised by Mr. Clarke if you went to a jail and asked for the jail records, you know, there wouldn't be any question that those would be turned over. I think that was the gist of what he said. That are not asking for jail records or inmate files. Inmate files in the State of Tennessee are public records. We're saying we're not the person to get those 13 from. The State of Tennessee has to produce those if there's a way to request and get 14 15 those documents, but that's not what they're requesting. They're asking for litigation 16 17 files of ours and our litigation department 18 has nothing to do -- it is completely detached from the State of Tennessee. 20 They're not controlled by, not operated, not 21 funded by the State of Tennessee. That's

When I argued at the last hearing

they're trying to come at this from the

facility level. The facility level is not

- the question. It's whether the entity is the
- 2 functional equivalent and they simply have
- 3 not met their burden, cannot meet their
- 4 burden, we submit, Your Honor, and for that
- 5 reason we respectfully submit that their
- 6 request be denied and their petition be
- 7 dismissed.
- 8 THE COURT: You have the last
- 9 word. You know, I think lawyers, you've
- 10 automatically separated the two major issues
- 11 in the case. One is whether CCA is the
- II IN the case. One is whether can is the
- 12 functional equivalent of a governmental
- 13 agency and then the next step, if the CCA is
- 14 the functional equivalent of CCA is to
- 15 examine each and every request that's been
- 16 made for specific documents and the burden
- 17 then is on CCA to show why those documents
- 18 are not public record.
- 19 Are we agreed that's the way we're
- 20 going to do it?
- 21 MR. CLARKE: I believe that's the
- 22 way Allen v. Day says it, and I think that's
- 23 what public records says, we are dealing with
- 24 the functional equivalency question first.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay. All right.

23

24

22 what they're asking for.

	Page	13			Page '
1	Lawyers, I did, as I stated earlier read the		1	the plaintiff has sought this hearing	
2	briefs in the case. I've done independent		2	providing at TCA Section 10-7-503.	
3	research. I've looked very carefully at the		3.	As the parties contentions, the	
4	major cases, which are Cherokee and Allen and		4	plaintiff contends that CCA is the functional	
5	we all agree on that and because the Court is		5	equivalent of a government agency and	
6	required to balance factors, not simply to		6	consequently CCA is subject to the Open	
7	take one issue and use that one issue to		7	Records Act. The defendant contends that CCA	
8	determine the outcome of this burden that the		8	is not managed or operated by the State of	
9	plaintiff is carrying or must carry. I'm		9	Tennessee, that it was not formed for the	
10	going to into some detail about what the]]	.0	sole purpose of serving Tennessee	
11	factors are, what factors might be out there	1	.1	governmental functions. The defendant says	
12	that have not been addressed and try to	1	.2	these indicia and others show that CCA is the	
13	delineate for the Court of Appeals because	1	.3	not functional equivalent of a Tennessee	
14	most of these cases do go before the Court of	1	4	government agency. The defendant contends	
15	Appeals as the second act, so the Court can	1	.5	that as to the records some of the documents	
16	understand that analysis and the reasoning	1	.6	sought by the plaintiff are attorney work	
17	that the Court is opining.	1	.7	product and/or are otherwise protected by the	
18	The plaintiff seeks to apply the	1	8	attorney/client privilege and are	
19	Public Records Act at 10-7-501 to his request	1	.9	confidential.	
20	for records from Corrections Corporation of	2	0	The issues to be decided include	
21	American covering the time period from 2002	2	1	whether CCA is subject to the Open Records	
22	to 2007. The defendant has refused to	2	22	Act and if CCA is subject to the act whether	
23	provide the documents because it takes the	2	3	this entity can carry its burden to show that	
24	position that it is not a government agency	2	4	the records sought by the plaintiff are not	٠
25	and its records are not public records and	2	:5	public records. And in this regard, in	

	Page 15		
1	regard to this first issue in which the	1	jurisdiction to try claims arising under the
2	plaintiff has the burden of proof the Court	2	Public Records Act at Tennessee Code
3	must decide what are the most critical	3	Annotated 10-7-503 and 505.
4	services provided by CCA and are those	4	The Constitution of the State of
5	services the functional equivalent of the	5	Tennessee at Article I, Section 32 states,
6	services that are required of the government	6	prisons and prisoners, that the erection of
7	to provide to the public.	7	safe prisons, the inspection of prisons and
8	The Court must also decide at the	8	the humane treatment of prisoners shall be
9	end of the day whether pursuant to TCA	9	provided for.
10	section 10-7-505, the plaintiff is entitled	10	In an action under the Public
11	to reasonable attorney's fees and cost based	11	Records Act to obtain records, the plaintiff
12	upon the willful failure of CCA to provide	12	has the initial burden of showing that CCA is
13	the public records.	13	the functional equivalent of government
14	Now as to the principles of law	14	agencies and that CCA has the burden of proof
15	that the parties have mostly agreed will	15	for justification of the non disclosure of
16	apply in this case, the Court will read those	16	the records if it is the functional
17	into the record. Some of these statements	17	equivalent of a government agency. And this
18	are from the party's briefs and they do	1.8	from Allen versus Day at 213 SW 3rd 244.
19	accurately express the law in the State of	19	Among the nonexhaustive factors
20	Tennessee and others are from the research	20	relevant to this determination of whether CCA
21	that the Court has done independently of the	21	or any private entity in a governmental
22	parties.	22	equipment include, one, the level of
23	As to the principles of law, the	23	government funding of the entity; two, the
24	Tennessee legislature has bestowed upon the	24	extent of the government involvement with

Tennessee courts limited subject matter

25 regulation of or control over the entity;

whether and to what extent the entity

- 2 actually performs a governmental or public
- function. We construe -- and this is
- Tennessee Supreme Court -- we construe the
- Tennessee Public Records Act deliberately in
- favor of the fullest possible public access
- to the public records. We filed the
- Connecticut Supreme Court and interpret
- records made overseas in connection with the
- transaction of public, of official business
- by any government agency to include those
- records in the hands of any private entity
- which operates as the functional equivalent 13

14 of a government agency.

In making this determination we 15 look to the totality of the circumstance in

each given case and no single fact would be 17

dispositive. And the Supreme Court goes on

to say that we intend by our holding to

ensure that the government agency cannot

intentionally or unintentionally avoid its

disclosure obligations under the act by

contractually delegating its responsibilities

to a private entity. We know that the

25 private entities may be subject to the Public

1 whether the entity, that is CCA in this case,

2 was created by an act of the legislature or

previously determined to be open to public

access by law -- this is from Memphis

Publishing Company versus Cherokee Children

and Family Services.

In the case before the Court all

factors which are considered must be balanced

by the Court. No single factor is

10 dispositive and this balancing language is

the from Allen versus Day. Records, we know

that records in the hands of public parties

are beyond the reach of the public records statute. This is from Cliff versus Campbell

159 SW 3rd 565, Tennessee Court of Appeals

2004. But private business and private

businesses not open its records to public 17

scrutiny merely by doing business with or

performing services on behalf of a state or

municipal government, but the entity must

21 assume responsibility for providing public

functions to such an extent that it becomes

the functional equivalent of a government

agency. This is from Cherokee 87 SW 3rd 79.

The cornerstone of the analysis is

25

Page 19

Page 20

Page 18

Records Act if that the private entity's

2 relationship with the government is so

extensive that the entity serves as a functional equivalent of a government agency.

We know that the Tennessee Code Annotated

41-24-101 in sequence the Private Prison

Contracting Act of 1986 was enacted for the

purpose of allowing the State or regulating

the State's contract with private industry

10 which seeks to contract those services with

11 the State of Tennessee or municipality.

We know the TCA 41-24-115 also 12 authorizes government to promulgate rules and

regulations which will govern and regulate

15 the private prison contracting found in the

16 code. Until the Private Prison Contracting

17 Act of 1986, neither the State nor a private

18 prison contractor could contract to provide prison services. The State has the statutory

20 duty to monitor, regulate, supervise, and

21 oversee conduct and performance of CCA

pursuant to TCA Section 41-24-115.

If CCA is the functional

24 equivalent of a government agency and unless

25 it's clear that the disclosure of a record is

1 excepted from disclosure under the Public

2 Records Act, the Court must require

disclosure even in the face of serious

countervailing consideration. This is the

language from Allen versus Day. The clear

language of the act states that if the act is

to be broadly construed, so as to give the

fullest possible public access to public

records, and this is language at TCA section

10-7-505(D.) While the Public Records Act

does not find what constitutes a public

record. The Tennessee Supreme Court has held

that the proper test is whether the record

was made or received pursuant to law or

ordinance or in connection with the

transaction of the official business by any

government agency. This is from Griffin 17

versus City of Knoxville 821 SW 2nd 921, 1.8

Tennessee Supreme Court 1991.

Now, as to findings of the fact,

the Court finds that the four contracts filed

and presented by the plaintiff are not

probative of the issue of whether CCA is the

equivalent of a government agency and that

25 because, and I'm very aware, that the party

- 1 did not really plan to propose those
- 2 contracts, that not much analysis had gone
- 3 into the exact identity of the contract, and
- 4 respectfully the Court finds that, I believe
- 5 that's three of the contracts had expired.
- 6 Those are the ones that the Plaintiff had
- 7 that the plaintiff could propose. Maybe
- three of the four had expired and one of them
- 9 I believe was with Hardeman County instead of
- with the State and we do know that county
- 11 government is a child of the State, but it is
- not directly on the point so that the Court
- 13 can analyze whether certain things in the
- contract are going to provide or propose
- different factors or help the Court analyze
- the factors that Cherokee and Allen versus
- Day have pointed up. So that's the first 17
- finding that the Court makes. 18
 - CCA is a privately owned
- corporation that was not created by an act of 20
- the legislature. The plaintiff is a citizen
- of Tennessee and pursuant to TCA section
- 10-7-503, the plaintiff made an open records
- requested by letter dated April 24, 2007 to
- 25 an official of CCA.

- CCA does not have its Genesis in
 - 2 the state legislature. It is a private
 - corporation. It is financed in large part by
 - public funds and most of its revenue for its
 - functions in Tennessee are public funds from
 - Tennessee taxpayers. It is not entitled of
 - government annuity and tort matters as a
 - matter of state Statute.
 - There's no proof, I believe I'm
 - 10 right about this, there is no proof in the
 - record about the makeup of the board and how
 - the board is paid or if the board is paid.
 - but because this is a private corporation the 13
 - Court is understanding that the board or 14
 - private citizens in Tennessee and the board
 - is not made up of public officers. 16
 - CCA does maintain prisons and has 17
 - the custody of prisoners, all or both of 18
 - which are a government function. We know
 - that at TCA 41-24-110 and following the State
 - is authorized to enter into a contract with a
 - prison contractor who will provide correction
 - services as defined in the act. 23
 - And here I'm going to look at a 24
 - summary of the Private Prison Contracting

Page 23

Page 24

- Act. The contracts with CCA must be approved
- 2 by the State Building Commission, the
- 3 Attorney General and Reporter, and the
- 4 Commissioner of the Tennessee Department of
- Corrections. Proposals for contracts to
- 6 provide correctional services must be
- reviewed by an oversight committee. Any
- entity that proposes to provide, such as CCA,
- that proposed to provide correctional
- services must prove it's qualifications to
- 11 run a facility and comply with, correctional
- standards. 12
- TCA Section 41-24-101 establishes
- 14 performance criteria for contracts, and
- 15 actually requires the State to establish
- certain performance criteria. The statutes
- make provision for the governor to certify a
- plan in which the State will resume control
- of the prison when the contract with prison
- contractors terminate. Certain powers and
- duties are not delegable to prison
- contractors. And those authorities and
- 23 responsibilities which stay with the
- Commissioner and are not handed to or
- 25 contracted with a prison contractor are

- developing and implementing procedures for
- calculating inmate release and parole
- eligibility dates; developing and
- implementing procedures for calculating and
- awarding sentence credit; approving inmates
- for furlough and work release; approving type
- of work inmates may perform and the wages and
- sentence credit; granting, denying or
- revoking sentence credit; placing inmates
- under less restrictive custody or more
- restrictive custody or changing any 11
- disciplinary actions. 12
- We know that the employees of the
- prison contractors, pursuant to 41-24-113 are
- not deemed state employees. There's certain
- grandfather provisions regarding employees 16
- who are currently within the prison system, 17
- employed by the prison system, when prison 18
- contractors take over prison maintenance and 19
- prison management. We know that CCA 20
- employees are authorized to use deadly weapons and that they must -- "they", that is 22
- the employees who use deadly weapons, must 23
- meet the standards of national and state
- 25 prison associations for safety and other

1 standards.

As for the analysis, that is the

application of the law to the facts and

balancing the factors that are present in

5 this case in attorney general opinion 0864

6 the attorney general stated that the Airport

7 Authority is a public agency for purposes of

the Records Act. The Attorney General also

applied the functional equivalent standards

in Memphis Publishing Company and Cherokee

Children and Family Services in analyzing the

Airport Authority and found, relied upon TCA

Section 42-3-115, which states that all land

and other property used or acquired by the

Airport Authority are declared to be inquired

and used for public and governmental purposes

and as a matter of public necessity, and as a

side as not nearly the force of the statute

the Attorney General also applied Memphis

Publishing to the facts in the Airport

21 Authority case, or in the Airport Authority

situation, and found that the Airport

Authority is the functional equivalent of a

government agency, but based primarily upon

25 the decision that the legislature has made.

And in applying the law of the

2 facts the Court finds that CCA is the

functional equivalent of a government agency

based first and foremost on the fact that the

Tennessee Constitution makes the maintenance

of the prisons and the keeping of the

prisoners a government function and CCA, as a

threshold matter for the Court to decide, CCA

has been assigned those functions certainly

on a temporary basis, but it has been

assigned those functions. CCA does receive

its funding mostly from public funding and

here I'm talking about the functions that

take place in Tennessee are funded by

Tennessee taxpayers.

Government involvement is, it's 16

difficult to compare government involvement 17

in this matter with government involvement

with other agencies that have been found to

be the functional equivalent of a government

agency, because the facts in this prison case

are so different from the Airport Authority

facts or the facts which arose in Cherokee. 23

The Court has distinguished, earlier

distinguished Cherokee from the facts in this

Page 27

Page 28

Page 26

case because in Cherokee the Attorney General

state government was insisting upon viewing

Cherokee as a government agency, as the

functional equivalent of a government agency,

and here we have a private citizen litigating

the issue of whether CCA is a government -- a

functional equivalent of a government agency

and then we have CCA which is a private

agency objecting to the characterization of

its activities and its duties as anything

11 akin to the functional equivalent of a

governmental agency. 12

The Court balances the factors as

follows: The statutory scheme at 41-24-101

15 does require that the State of Tennessee

provide a liaison at the prisons to confer

with CCA and that person is an employee of

the prison system and that person's function

appears to be as much a monitor as other any

other characterization you can give that

person. Government involvement in the issue

of both the custody of the prisoners, how

long they're going to be there, calculating

and awarding all of the release and

sentencing decisions which strongly impact

which services CCA will provide, indicate

2 that the State and CCA are so intertwined as

to require the Court to decide for purposes

of the Public Records Act, given the fact

that the Public Records Act says it must be

construed liberally and wherever possible to

make public record, make records which

probably are public, to make sure they are

made public and so for purposes of the Public

Records Act the Court finds that the fact

that prisons have always been in the State of

Tennessee a government function to be, as a 12

threshold issue, to be so far on the side of

the plaintiff that all of the factors, the

level of government functioning, the extent

of government involvement with, regulation 16

over or control over CCA, whether CCA was

created by an act of the legislature -- we know it wasn't -- it has not been previously

determined to be open to public access by law

in the State of Tennessee, but the threshold

issue which is whether the services that are

provided by CCA to state government and to

the public are a public function is such a

25 strong threshold issue in this particular

case, it's not talking about airplane flying,

2 it's not talking about any other things that

are government functions, but that are not so

4 essential to the safety of the public or the

5 citizens of Tennessee, I find that that

6 threshold question creates an environment in

which the factors must be balanced in favor

of finding that CCA is a functional

equivalent of an agency; therefore, because

CCA is the functional equivalent of a public

Tennessee agency for purposes of the Public

Records Act, its records are public records,

to the extent requested public records are in

the custody -- to the extent that the

15 requested public records are in the custody

16 or control of CCA, CCA is required to make

those records available for inspection during

normal business hours and may not avoid its

obligations under the Public Records Act by

20 directing the citizens to another

21 governmental agency that may also have copies

of the requested records.

CCA must make records immediately

24 available for inspection regardless of the

25 age, size, and nature of the records

1 requested unless the records are confidential

2 or privileged. In all cases where any person

has the right to inspect such public records,

such person shall have the right to take

extracts or makes copies thereof and to make

photographs or photostats of the same, while

such records are in possession, custody and

control of CCA. The custodian of CCA's

records may enforce reasonable rules

10 governing the making of such copies,

photostats or photographs. If requested,

copies must be provided. The custodian may

require a charge or fee per copy that will

cover the cost of producing such copies. 14

And here the Court was persuaded 15

16 to use the language in Tennessee Opinion

Attorney General number 0864 because it

tracks the language of the statute, but makes

it a little more understandable, and having

ruled that CCA is the functional equivalent

of a governmental agency, we need now to

address the issue of which of these documents

that the plaintiff is seeking are

confidential or not, fall within the

exception, so I'll hear Mr. Welborn on that.

Page 31

Page 32

MR. WELBORN: Your Honor, I'm

going to address the Court briefly and if I

3 can turn it over to Mr. Callen because he is

going to address the issues we raised. I

just need, I wanted to ask the Court some

questions about clarification on your ruling.

And I think, I think, I understand this. We

have in the State of Tennessee contracts with

federal government and other states where we

house inmates in the State of Tennessee from

11 other states. I assume your ruling is not

12 that we're the functional equivalent of a

Tennessee agency for those purposes, for

those contracts in those documents, but it's

the question I've got for the Court.

THE COURT: Okay. Now tell me 16

some more. Give me some example of what you 17 mean.

MR. WELBORN: For example, our 19

20 West Tennessee Detention Facility has nothing

21 to do with the State of Tennessee. It has a

22 contract with the federal government, the

23 Bureau of Prisons, it's either the Bureau of

24 Prisons or US Marshal's office and it also, I

25 think, there are inmates in that facility

from other states and those are pursuant to

2 contracts with other states or the federal

3 government and that is not pursuant to any

4 statute in Tennessee.

THE COURT: That prison not -- the

6 land and the prisons are not owned by the

State of Tennessee.

MR. WELBORN: West Tennessee

Detention Facility is not.

Another example is our Whiteville

11 facility. Even though currently it has, I

believe, Tennessee inmates, through 2002

Wisconsin inmates were housed in that

facility pursuant to a contract with the

State of Wisconsin and it had nothing, again,

to do with the State of Tennessee's pursuant

contract. We even cited I think Your Honor, in our reply a case that states that there's

no authorization in Tennessee, there's no

prohibition, there's no authorization in

Tennessee by statute to allow that to happen

and the Court there says it's okay, but it's

not pursuant to any State statute, so those

are two facilities.

I think in our Silverdale facility

1 we have federal inmates at that facility as

well. I think we also have Tennessee

inmates. I take that back. I think there

4 are local inmates in that facility pursuant

5 to a contract with county government. And,

6 but there are some federal inmates there

pursuant to contract. It's either going to

be with the US Marshal's Office, Bureau of

Prisons or Office of Customs and Immigration

Enforcement.

So those contracts have nothing to 11 do with the State of Tennessee. They are

separate and apart. I just need some

clarification from the Court on if we're the

functional equivalent of a Tennessee agency,

is that with respect to the contracts in

Tennessee with either the State of Tennessee

or Tennessee local governments, which is my

assumption.

THE COURT: Well, let's hear what 20

the plaintiff has to say about the, we're

talking now about the west Tennessee facility

at -- would you tell me the name of that

again?

MR. WELBORN: West Tennessee

1 Detention Facility?

THE COURT: Detention facility.

MR. WELBORN: There's Shelby

Training Center and Shelby Training Center,

Your Honor, I think has some local or some

6 juveniles from the State of Tennessee, but it

also has federal inmates, and then Silverdale

Detention Facility in Chattanooga.

MR. CLARKE: Thank you, Your

10 Honor. With respect to the federal prisons.

11 that's clearly covered by the FOI. There's a

12 federal only records request that allows you

to get records and documents from federal

prisons, so I don't think even our request

asks for those.

The Whiteville, Shelby County

17 having to deal with prisoners, things like

that, those ones -- we think, you know, the

Court's ruling as of their functional

equivalent of a governmental entity, at this

point we're now in a different aspect of

where we are. Once they are, if they are,

we've been operating prisons and everything

they do when they're operating a prison for

the State the Tennessee, for a state or local

Page 35

Page 36

Page 34

1 municipality or entity is subject to the Tennessee Public Records Act.

Federal stuff is different because

4 there is federal laws that allow them to

contract and allow them to be involved in

that and there is a federal Freedom of

Information Act, you know, the FOI Act. But

with respect to the Tennessee State stuff,

9 the state property, anything that's in the

10 State, pursuant to their operations of that

11 facility, pursuant to the contract with the

12 State, we don't care who they're housing

there or the function that it's serving, and

having that prison allow them to do it, the

State's got to allow the people that house

prisoners there from Wisconsin if they want to. That's got to be something that comes

from the State, but if we made a distinction

between the federal and the state facilities.

we think we're entitled to everything within

21 the state facilities regardless of what

contract it is because they're not allowed to

be there except for the contract with the

State of Tennessee.

Then you get into a third and

1 fourth tier of equivalency, and I think we

2 are kind of falling back into an argument now

which I think the Court has made the

determination, I think wisely, in looking at

what are they doing. They're doing this.

this is their constitutional duty and

obligation and you have found that. Now

we're not going to be able to split the hairs

as we go down the road, but the federal stuff

is different, Your Honor. We would look at

that and also I would actually think our

records request is confined to the Tennessee

state stuff if you look at Exhibit A to our

petition. I don't think it covers the

federal prison and, again, at this point now,

now we survived our burden, with all due

respect to Mr. Welborn's argument here, I

don't know how to take it who houses what and

what contract according to what the issues

he's saying Wisconsin prisoners were here

pursuant -- for 2002. At this point, you

22 know, with raising of the burdens I don't

23 think he has sustained any type of burden

whatsoever. There's no proof whatsoever as

25 to the makeup of any prison.

1

15

19

22

23

operated --

THE COURT: All right. Now, Mr.

stipulation about the federal owned and

THE COURT: Just a minute. And

the operated entities, you don't have a

stipulation about anything else. And I don't think I can help you with that guestion. I

don't think I have enough of a record here to

for the Public Records Act I found that CCA

agency and that is about the best I can do.

MR. WELBORN: I think that answers

16 my guestion that I have to produce when the time comes, documents related to our state

question. We own three of these facilities

answer your question except to say that as

is the functional equivalent of a state

18 activities. That's the only purpose of my

MR. WELBORN: What you just

24 stated, Your Honor. Mr. Callen is going to

25 address the exceptions to the Public Records

20 that I'm talking about, so I think that

THE COURT: All right.

21 answers my question.

MR. WELBORN: And we ---

Welborn, I hear your question. You have a

Page 37

1 Act.

THE COURT: All right. And let's

get to the plaintiff's letter because I think

that's where we are.

MR. CALLEN: Okay. Yes, Your

6 Honor. And if I can just begin with that,

there are specifically two categories of

documents that are included within the

documents requested by Mr. Friedmann in his

letter that CCA contends they are exempt from

disclosure in the Public Records Act, even

with this Court's ruling today that CRA is

the functional equivalent of a state agency.

And these two categories include CCA's

litigation tracking database which is 15

protected by the attorney work product and

also includes privileged communications and

also a confidential settlement agreement 18

that's under seal by court order. 19

Mr. Friedmann's records request 20

includes request for, one, all spreadsheet 23

summaries where similar databases of all 22

litigation involving CCA in Tennessee that

resulted in payment of damages or fees from

2002 to the present, and that's payment or

Page 39

Page 40

Page 38

1 damages of fees that were greater than \$500

2 and also all settlement agreements,

3 regardless of whether those agreements are

4 under seal, in suits filed in Tennessee from

5 2002 to the present in which CCA ultimately

6 paid over \$500 in damages or fees to the

plaintiff.

Now in this briefing Mr. Friedmann

does not dispute that records protected by

the attorney work product offering or

11 attorney/client privilege are not subject to

disclosure nor does he appear to take issue

with the general proposition that settlement

14 agreements under seal by court order are not

subject to disclosure. 15

Tennessee's Public Records Act 16

grants citizens the right to inspect state, 17

county and municipal records unless those

19 records are, quote, otherwise provided by

20 state law, close quote. That's from TCA

21 Section 10-7-503, and the key, Your Honor, in

22 that quote is the phrase, unless quote,

otherwise provided by state law. 23

Courts have interpreted this

25 phrase to mean that documents protected by

1 work product and the privilege are exempt

from the disclosure and in our opening brief

we cited to the Court a number of cases that

stand for that proposition.

Courts have also interpreted the

same phrase to mean that settlement

agreements under seal by court order are also

exempt from disclosure and, again, in our

opening brief we cited a number of cases that

have held that. Beginning first with CCA's

litigation tracking database, we believe as

11

outlined in our opening brief that that 12

database clearly qualifies for work product

protection. Tennessee's work product

doctrine is embodied in Tennessee Rule of

Civil Procedure 26.023 which protects any 16

documents prepared in anticipation of 1.7

litigation by or for the attorney. 18

We believe that that standard is 19

satisfied here and so attached to the opening

brief and now accepted by the Court today as

an exhibit. CCA's deputy counsel, Steve

Groom, testified in his affidavit that CCA's

litigation database was created and is

maintained by CCA in-house counsel in

- anticipation of litigation against the 2 company.
- What that database contains is, it 3
- 4 contains lists of lawsuits filed against CCA:
- s it identifies the outcomes of that
- 6 litigation; contains attorney/client
- privileged communications from CCA as the
- client, as well as communication from outside
- g counsel; contains attorney analysis of cases,
- 10 exposure and loss for analysis, theory,
- 11 strategy and attorney thought process.
- Now what that database has been 12
- used for, it is used by CCA's attorney to
- manage, defend, analyze the assess risk and
- 15 pending and future litigation. CCA's
- 16 attorneys specifically use the database to
- assess risk in CCA's position and pending and
- future litigation while identifying potential
- settlement amount in light of that offered in
- similar suits.
- And not only this database 21
- protected by the attorney work doctrine, but
- 23 it's also protected by the attorney/client
- 24 privilege. That database as I just described
- 25 to Your Honor contains privileged

- 1 communications, communications back and the
 - ² forth between CCA and its attorneys. For
 - example, the database contains the
 - confidential settlement amounts which
 - communicated to CCA's in-house attorney
 - through their employment and, therefore, is
 - covered by the attorney/client privilege.
 - Now Mr. Friedmann responds in his
 - briefing, the only response that I'm aware of
 - in his briefing, to this assertion of
 - protection for the database by work for work
 - product, attorney work doctrine,
 - attorney/client privilege is to argue that
 - CCA hasn't been specific enough in its
 - identification of this database.
 - Well, the standards that CCA must
 - 17 satisfy in assertion of work product
 - protection and attorney/client privilege is
 - found in Tennessee Rules of Civil Procedure
 - 26.025. That rule provides that the party
 - asserting privilege for work product
 - protection, quote, shall describe the nature
 - of the document, communications or things not
 - produced or disclosed in a manner that,
 - without revealing information thought

Page 43

Page 44

- 1 privileged or protected will enable the other parties to assess the applicability of the
- privileged protection, close quote.
- That standard, Your Honor, we
- 5 submit is clearly satisfied here by CCA and
- 6 by the affidavit submitted by deputy general
- counsel Steve Groom. In Mr. Groom's
- affidavit CCA has identified each element
- that is contained in the database who has
- 10 created the database and then what that
- 11 database is used for.
- In short, CCA has done everything 12
- is short of producing a sample database itself
- 14 which, of course, under rule 26.025, it's not
- 15 required to do.
- MR. CLARKE: May I respond to 16
- 17 that?
- THE COURT: Well, wait. 18
- MR, CALLEN: I was going to move 19
- 20 to discuss the settlement agreement unless
- Your Honor has specific questions about the 22 litigation tracking database that you would
- 23 like me to address.
- THE COURT: No, I'm just trying to
- 25 figure what is the role of Rule 26.

- MR. CALLEN: Well, the role of
- 2 Rule 26, Your Honor, is in this instance was
- to define -- to provide first the definition
- 4 of the standard for work product protection
- 5 and then it was our stance that it also
- 6 defines what CCA is required to do in terms
- of asserting that rule and, excuse me, in
- terms of asserting that protection under the
- work product doctrine, what type of showing CCA required to make.
- 10 Mr. Friedmann, in his briefing.
- 11
- suggested that CCA needed to submit a 12
- privileged log or something along those
- sorts. In our briefing as well as in Deputy
- General Counsel Steve Groom's affidavit, CCA
- went far beyond what was privileged. We
- identified who created this database, each
- element of what is contained in the database 18
- and what that data is used for.
- THE COURT: Well, I know that that 20
- 21 database is something that CCA counsel is
- very concerned about. I understand that, but
- I wanted to ask first about number one in the
- letter which says, I am requesting the last complaint or amended complaint each and every

- 1 lawsuit claim and other legal action filed
- 2 against on Corrections Corporation
- 3 originating in the State of Tennessee.
- Now \$500 or more in damages, you
- 5 may not be able to -- that's a lot of work
- for somebody to go through and find a number
- 500, but what about the complaints or amended
- s complaints?
- MR. CALLEN: Your Honor --
- 10 THE COURT: Are those -- do you
- 11 keep them stored or do you just keep the
- information about the lawsuit?
- MR. CALLEN: Your Honor, it's my
- 4 understanding that the, almost the entirety
- 5 of what Mr. Friedmann has requested is likely
- stored, but I can't guarantee that, but it's
- almost all stored either in offsite storage
- 18 facilities or with CCA's counsel and we would
- have to go through and determine whether or
- not all these materials dating back to 2002
- in fact still exist and are still in CCA's
- 22 possession. Some of those complaints may
- 23 still be there, may likely to be still there,
- 24 some of them may not. Obviously, as Your
- 25 Honor indicated, by requesting all complaints

- 1 from every case in which CCA ever paid more
- 2 than \$500, that could potentially be a large
- 3 spectrum of cases and I can't, standing here
- 4 today, guarantee that every single one of
- 5 those complaints have been saved throughout
- 6 the five years period or six years, I guess,
- 7 dating back to 2002 of what Mr. Friedmann is
- 8 seeking.
- 9 THE COURT: Well, it might be, I
- 10 don't know if the plaintiff here, I'm going
- 11 to ask the plaintiff, what you really want.
- 12 I know you'd like to have a copy of the
- 13 complaint. What you really want I'm
- 14 understanding is probably to know what are
- 15 the cases. Would that be right?
- 16 MR. CLARKE: I mean, to get back,
- 17 what we want, what my client wants and I
- 18 submit to the Court with all due respect and
- 19 I hope this doesn't come out wrong, what my
- client wants any public record for is
- 21 immaterial and it's relevant. I could go in
- 22 and talk about what I think my client wants
- 23 to do, but you know what, I never asked him,
- 24 because I really don't care. He runs a
- 25 prison, legal organization. CCA is an

Page 47

Page 48

- organization that tries to settle cases in
- 2 secrecy. I think he wants to know, I think
- 3 that he's entitled to know the types of
- 4 complaints that are filed. For him that
- 5 reaches a certain threshold or benchmark.
- 6 The problem I'm having right now is to
- 7 show -- and now we're past my burden and with
- 8 all due respect to my wonderful opposing
- 9 counsel here 26.05 requires a privileged law
- and they have not produced it and according
- 11 to Rutter D. Wells, they cannot come in here
- 12 and say, I got this general claim of
- privilege. And I'm sitting here trying to be
- as respectful to the situation --
- 15 THE COURT: Well, hold on one
- second. One reason I'm asking about the
- 17 complaints and the amended complaints in each
- and every lawsuit is because none of that can
- 19 possibly be privileged.
- MR. CLARKE: Right.
- THE COURT: The actual existence
- 22 of those papers, and so let's get back to
- 23 this side of the table and just say, you
- stated to me that you think you probably have
- 25 those somewhere. To the extent that you have

- them you have to bring them forward and let
- 2 the plaintiff inspect them.
- 3 MR, CALLEN: That's correct. To
- 4 the extent that we have those complaints.
- 5 Your Honor, we do have to follow the Court's
- 6 ruling that we do have to, of course, as you
- also held that we are able to set specific
- rules for copying costs and to the extent
- 9 that Mr. Friedmann wants to copy any of those
- 10 complaints. I wasn't and I didn't want to
- 11 confuse the Court, I wasn't specifically
- 12 addressing those complaints. I was
- specifically addressing the litigation
- 14 database. It is likely to run through each
- 15 one of the requests.
- THE COURT: I think that would be
- 17 the most efficient way to move forward.
- 18 MR. CALLEN: Beginning with the --
- we discussed the complaints, Your Honor,
- which is the first request. The second
- 21 request was for verdict form releases, claim
- 22 payment forms and settlement agreements.
- 13 I'll specifically come back to and address
- 24 settlement agreements, but verdict forms
- 25 releases claim payment forms to the extent

1 that those aren't drafts protected by

² attorney work product doctrine, I believe we

would be required to produce those.

All Tennessee state has a third

request that Tennessee state, county and

municipal government reports, audits,

investigations or similar reports issued from

2002 to the present, which found that CCA did

not comply with its contractual obligations.

You know, those documents as we pointed out

in our initial response letter are available

12 from other public agencies, but of course now

the Court has found CCA to be the functional

equivalent we would not contend that those

documents are not subject to disclosure by

15 documents are not subject to disclosure by 16 CCA.

All Tennessee court rulings

37

11

12

18 issuing injunctive or declared to a judgment

to get CCA from 2002 to the present, again, I

would just say that those are available from

court files. Of course, since we are again

held to be the functional equivalent we will

produce those to the extent that we have

them. I cannot represent to the Court today

25 that we have every single court ruling issued

1 injunctive of declaratory relief dating back

2 six years.

The next request is for the all

4 spreadsheets, summaries or similar databases

5 of all litigations involving CCA in Tennessee

6 that resulted in payment of damages or fees

7 from 2002 to the present. That's what I have

8 just been addressing. In respect to Mr.

9 Clarke's point that we can't assert a general

10 claim of privilege. I don't think that's at

11 all what we're doing. We specifically

12 described the database that we believe fits

within in this request and would be

14 responsive to this request, but that we

s contend is protected by the attorney work

16 product and privilege for all the reasons

17 outlined. It's not a specific general

18 assertion privilege for work product

19 protection that CCA has named. We

20 specifically identified the document. We

stated exactly the elements of each element

of what's contained in that document, who

23 creates it and what it's used for and we've

24 done that through sworn testimony and

25 submitted the affidavit of Mr. Groom.

Page 51

Page 52

Page 50

Next request is --

THE COURT: Let's stay on five

just for a moment. You apparently do have a

spreadsheet or some sort of database showing

all litigation against CCA including

Tennessee, which resulted in the payment of

money damages. Now, I'm assuming that you

8 can make prints of screens, I'm assuming

that you can make a print of a screen that

summarizes the actual names of the cases.

MR. CALLEN: It summarizes the

names of the cases, to create a separate --

₃ are you asking, Your Honor, I just want to

4 make sure I understand, are you asking can we

5 create a separate document from what our

6 litigation tracking database is using

7 information that's contained in the database?

THE COURT: You're telling me that

some of this information is privileged and

y some of this information is privileged and

20 I'm saying it's certainly not all privileged,

so I'm trying to get a handle on what the database looks like. Ultimately, I may have

23 to look at it myself, but I'd rather not, but

24 I'm trying to figure out what it looks like

25 and whether there are spreadsheets, summaries

1 and screens that can be printed that just

2 show the name of the cases, the summaries,

3 the litigation that concluded which maybe you

4 have a column that shows what the money

5 damages were. Maybe you have that or maybe

ε you don't, but I'm trying to get a feel for

7 what that looks like.

8 MR. CALLEN: To address that, Your

Honor, I will say that my contention isn't

that all of the information in the database

1 is privileged. There is privileged

information, but more broadly the entire

database is attorney work product that's

14 created in anticipation of litigation. The

only reason that database is created is for

CCA attorneys to be able to manage their

current litigation and that they've prepared

in anticipation of future litigation so that

19 CCA can assess its risk going forward.

7

The database, I think to get to

Your Honor's point, it's my understanding

that it does contain a list of cases. It

23 doesn't contain outcomes from the cases. If

a verdict was entered, the amount of the

verdict, if a settlement was entered into,

1 the amount of the settlement or if the case

² was dismissed, it might indicate that as

3 well. Going even more beyond that as it's

4 created and as that information is put in,

5 also put into the database are attorney

6 thought processes, what their assessment of

7 their case, how this case might impact other

s currently pending or future litigations that

CCA might enter into, so there's a wealth of

o information that is entered into this

11 database that goes beyond I think what Your

12 Honor is getting at.

13 THE COURT: I think it goes beyond

4 what he is requesting too. He's requesting

15 spreadsheets and databases that show all

16 cases or claims involving prison property or

17 litigation concluding against CCA, so I don't

8 think he's looking for anything that you

.9 described that you're concerned about.

MR. CALLEN: Your Honor, just to

21 circle back, that isn't all of our database.

Our database contains all of this

information. I think -- are you suggesting

24 that what he's looking for is something that

would require us to then delete out certain

information from that database?

2 THE COURT: Maybe you would need

3 to. I don't know what the prints of your

4 screens would look like, so I'm trying to get

5 a feel for that.

6 MR. CALLEN: My understanding is

7 that a print from the screen could include

8 the types of privileged information that I'm

talking about.

THE COURT: Do you know that?

11 MR. CALLEN: I think -- I believe

12 that it can, yes, your Honor. And as far as

just again to circle back, whether or not it

14 would even include the privileged and

15 confidential communication, once again, that

16 information is being created, the database

17 itself is being created by CCA's attorney and

18 is being created for the purposes of

19 litigation and anticipation of litigation and

20 impending litigation, so we would contend

21 that the whole database itself is protected

2 attorney work product.

THE COURT: Well, we get to, when

24 we get through the list I'll have the

25 plaintiff respond. Now we're looking at

Page 55

Page 56

Page 54

1 number six.

MR. CALLEN: All contracts between

CCA and Tennessee related agencies and

4 entities from 2002 to the present, CCA is

5 contending today that those should not be

6 subject for disclosure or exempt from the

7 disclose act.

THE COURT: Okay. Just one

second. You're saying in number six they are

exempt or are not?

11 MR. CALLEN: No. Are not. Not

12 exempt.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CALLEN: Not exempt from the

15 act.

16 THE COURT: That's what I thought.

17 Okay.

Now, going back and looking at

19 number one, I'll ask the plaintiff to

20 respond.

MR. CALLEN: And Your Honor, I'm

22 sorry, before I sit down I just want to make

23 sure I didn't make any argument concerning

24 settlement agreements if you want to hear

25 from Mr. Clarke first just regarding the

i litigation that's fine. I just wanted to

2 make sure if you wanted to hear from CCA's

3 position regarding why a settlement should be

4 exempt, a settlement agreement under seal by

5 court order should be exempt from disclosure

6 I'm happy to address that now.

7 THE COURT: Not right now. Thank

8 you.

MR. CLARKE: Thank you, Your

Honor. In going through the list just to

make sure I understand, we don't contend that

12 anything that is true attorney work product

13 or true attorney/client privilege is subject

14 to production under the Open Records Act. We

s will cite to you Rudder v. Wells that says

they can't just say that it is this thing, I

17 don't have my rules, but I saw

18 Mr. Welborn with the rules, if I could borrow

this. Under Rule 26.05 I believe it is.

20 "when a party withholds information otherwise

21 discoverable under the rules by claiming it

22 is protected as trial preparation or any

other materials or any other privileges,

24 shall give a law."

So they can't come here, I submit

1 to the Court, and show cause hearing and come

2 up and say well, I think, you know, it's

3 this, it's this. My guy says it was made in

4 anticipation of litigation that applies to

5 all documents. That's wrong. You have to

6 have the date, the time, what it was for.

7 description so we can evaluate the privilege.

We don't have any of that here.

Let me just kind of get to the

meat of the coconut. With respect to the

11 complaints and anything over \$500, we're

12 trying to just get copies of complaints where

there was a monetary payment. I don't think

they've argued or I must have misunderstood

if they did, Mr. Callen argued that we are

not entitled to these things. We want to

inspect everything first, Your Honor, and

then make sure if we want to copy it we

decide if we want to pay to copy it. 19

Verdict forms, releases, claimant

forms, these are not attorney/client --

verdict forms, releases, you know, anything 22

that deals with any kind of release is black

letter law under the Public Records Act that 25 you cannot make a settlement agreement

1 confidential. Only the legislature can make

2 an agreement settlement confidential. I've

cited in my brief beyond argument that they

4 can do this. Swift v. Campbell even savs a

settlement agreement made confidential by the

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is the not

exempt from the Public Records Act.

We have to look at state law,

interpret state law. Nothing in federal law

applies to exempt us from the Tennessee 10

Public Records Act. Swift v. Campbell says,

"we decline to hold the rules governing in 12

proceedings in federal court for the 13

Tennessee public records statute, so when you

get into -- when you look at a settlement

agreement, the extent this is the covered by 16

it, they don't have the right as the 17

functional equivalent of a governmental

entity to enter into those agreements. 19

I will cite to the Court pleading

the case if I need to, but I don't think I 21

have to. They are in my brief. I think with 22

respect to paragraph one, paragraph two we 23

get everything. With respect to paragraph 24

three, this is the government audits. I think

Page 59

20

Page 60

Page 58

1 they said we're titled to get them with

2 respect to court rulings and injunctions and

3 declaratory judgments I think they said we're

4 entitled to get them.

20

With respect to these

6 spreadsheets, let me point out to the Court,

7 under the Public Records Act it expressly

says you cannot refuse to produce something

iust because it contains confidential

information. A perfect example is a law

enforcement officer's personnel file. If I

want to get it I make a request, I go down to

Nashville, I go down to the City of Memphis,

they give me the record, but they have to

redact Social Security numbers, they have to

redact -- the Court is looking for a cite, I

believe it's 10-7-504. So when you have a

document, you know, a personnel file is, when

it comes up most of the time you have

somebody's home address, telephone number,

Social Security number. Now they can't, if

the fact that the document contains

confidential information, we wouldn't be able

24 to obtain any of those records, but it's

clearly a public record.

If they contend that something in

2 the litigation database spreadsheet is

confidential they can scratch through it;

however, I would submit this to the Court and

while this is not my burden it is my belief

and my understanding based on my information

from my client, that CCA creates these

databases like I'm holding here, Your Honor.

and they submit them with bid proposals, and

this contains absolutely no confidential 10

information. Facility, matter, nature of 11

action, date opened, incident date, outcome.

date of occurrence. There is not one jota of

confidential information in this litigation 14

spreadsheet. 15

Now, they may be talking about

something different, something broader, 17

something that encompasses more. I don't 18

know. I don't have a privilege law. I have

somebody saying we obtain things in

anticipation of litigation. I'm going to say 21

that my client has produced this to me as a 22

contract bid proposal as an attachment to

Dickson County, Dickson County, Tennessee. 24

which we clearly would be privileged as

1 Tennessee Public Records Act. That's Swift

2 v. Campbell at page 577.

So we don't have any kind of

preemption there. It's cited in my response

filed on July 11th on page 12, but I don't

6 know what they're talking about, the

litigation --

For identification I would like to

mark this, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. 10

MR. CLARKE: I would be happy to 11

12 respond to any other questions the Court has.

THE COURT: Is there anything else 13

you like to say? You have the burden so you

15 have the last word.

MR. CALLEN: Your Honor. 16

THE COURT: All right. I've got a 17

paper proposed here that says settlement

report from 1/1 through 12/31/03. This is

provided to educate the Court about what

21 might be possible and about -- to give the

Court an idea of what it is that the

plaintiff thinks is there that he should be

able to inspect, so I'm going to mark that as

25 the next numbered exhibit, Number 6.

1 waived. Now again, with respect to this the

2 aspect of the hearing, I have not seen

3 anything that can even allow the Court to

4 properly evaluate the privilege. The only

thing I will agree to is if there is, as the

6 case law has said, it has determined

attorney/client privilege stuff, is not

subject to protection. You have to evaluate

the particular information. Work product is

not. 10

11

I would say the biggest point that

12 I think we're going to have an argument about

13 is confidential settlement, make confidential

14 settlements. If there is no court order

there is absolutely no basis for their not

being produced. That's number one.

Number two, if there is an order 17

it depends on what it is. Pursuant to 18

Tennessee versus Adams, Rule 31 confidential

settlement, based on our Supreme Court

21 arbitration Rule 31. That is subject to

disclosure. I would have to see the actual

23 rule of civil procedure by which the Court

24 actually closed it. I would say this: A

25 federal case can't be confidential under the

Page 63

MR. CLARKE: Thank you, Your 1

Honor.

MR. CALLEN: And Your Honor, just

4 to begin with what is our burden, I agree

5 that it is our burden here today to assert

6 and show that certain documents are protected

by attorney work product or are privileged.

I dispute though, I think Mr. Clarke was

making a suggestion that these documents

that, you know, we had seen and don't know

11 for sure where they exactly came from, they

haven't been offered through testimony, the

extent that he's arguing that those

14 constitute some type of waiver of

15 attorney/client privilege or work product

protection. I would dispute that it is our

17 burden to show that we haven't waived work

18 product or attorney/client privilege through

those documents that have been submitted, but

what I want to circle back to is the specific

21 request that Mr. Friedmann has made.

His request for litigation 22

23 spreadsheets or summaries or similar database

24 tracking litigation is not limited and I'll

25 read the specific language. I'm

1 requesting -- I'll quote, "I am requesting

2 all spreadsheets, summaries or similar

databases showing all litigations including

against CCA in Tennessee which resulted in

payment of money damages, settlements,

sanctions, claims and/or attorney fees from

January 1st, 2002 through and including the

date of this letter regardless of whether CCA

contends that the payment of money damages

are work confidential. Said documents should

include the names of the parties, name and

location of the court, case and claim number, 12

the amount paid and the types of claims. All

cases or claims involving prisoner property

damage or lost property shall be excluded 15

from these records," close quote. 16

Just to go back to the first 17

sentence or first phrase, "I'm requesting all

spreadsheets, summaries or on the similar

databases" and with the large scope of this

request the database that litigation database

that I've described to you today, Your Honor,

would clearly fit within his request and that

is why CCA is arguing that that database

25 shouldn't be required to be produced. It

Page 64

- 1 would fit within the scope of his request and
- we contend for all the reasons that we put
- 3 forward and particularly that are set forth
- 4 in Mr. Groom's affidavit that that database
- s is protected attorney work product and it
- 6 also contains privileged communication. And
- 7 because of the attorney work product, Your
- B Honor, it's not as a simple a task as to
- simply excise certain information that is
- 10 deemed privileged or confidential. The whole
- 11 database itself is protected because it's
- attorney work product. It's created by CCA's
- 13 attorney and maintained by CCA's attorney
- during impending litigation and the
- anticipation of future litigation, so the
- whole database itself is protected attorney
- work product and a disclosure shouldn't be
- required merely by excising certain
- information that could or could not be
- 20 considered confidential or privileged because
- 21 the whole database itself is protected work
- 22 product.
- Now, I want to move back to the
- 24 second category of documents that Mr. Clarke
- 25 addressed and that's our settlement

- 1 agreements. And what the type of settlement
 - 2 agreement that CCA contends are not subject
 - 3 to disclosure by settlement agreements that
 - are under seal by court order. And I want to
 - 5 make that distinction clear to the Court
 - 6 because what's important here is that CCA
 - 7 doesn't want to end up in the crosswise
 - 8 position of being forced to choose which
 - Gourt's order to comply with. If the Court
 - 10 were to order CCA to produce documents that
 - 11 are under seal and that has been ordered by a
 - 12 federal or state court not to produce, then
 - 13 CCA is going to be in a particularly
 - 14 difficult position. CCA would be forced to
 - 15 choose which Court's order to comply with and
 - 16 I do not think that that is required under
 - 17 the Tennessee Public Records Act.
 - 18 First, to begin with, there are
 - settlement agreements that CCA has entered
 - into that are under seal by state court
 - 21 order. We contend that those settlement
 - 22 agreements are not subject to disclosure
 - 23 under Tennessee's Public Records Act. We
 - 24 cited several cases in our opening brief that
 - 25 say that court order -- court orders under

Page 67

Page 68

- seal or settlement agreements trump therequirements of the Tennessee's Public
- 3 Records Act.
- The second type of settlement
- agreements are settlement agreements that CCA
- 6 has entered into under seal and that are
- 7 under seal by federal court order and Mr.
- 8 Clarke has argued that those particular
- 9 settlement agreements that are under seal by
- 10 federal court order are subject to disclosure
- and we dispute that. There are two reasons
- that those settlement agreements under seal
- by federal court order are exempt from
- 14 disclosure under the Public Records Act.
- 15 First, federal court orders
- 16 entered pursuant to the Federal Rules of
- Civil Procedure 26(C) enter into those
- settlement agreements under seal, those
- orders combine the Federal Rules of Civil
- 20 Procedure 26(C) preempt Tennessee's Public
- 21 Records Act requirement.
- 22 Second, second reason is that
- state courts based on the case law that we
- 24 cited in our opening brief should avoid
- 25 issuing orders that seek to void or otherwise

- 1 reverse a federal court order requiring us to
- 2 keep those documents under seal and
- 3 confidential.
 - I'll begin with the preemption
- 5 issue first. When CCA entered into a
- 6 settlement agreement and the federal court
- 7 entered that order under seal it does so
- pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
- 9 26(C) which broadly grants federal courts the
- 10 authority to enter protective orders
- 11 requiring the filing of documents under seal
- and thereby ensuring their confidentiality.
- 13 When a federal court enters an argument to
- 14 CCA requiring CCA and the other party in the
- 15 litigation to keep a settlement agreement
- confidential, that order combined with Rule
- 26(C) trumps the required Public Records Act.
- 18 The ruling in Swift versus
- 19 Campbell is not inconsistent with this
- 20 analysis. As Mr. Clarke suggested to the
- 21 contrary, that case did not involve a
- 22 settlement agreement. What was going in that
- 23 case, Your Honor, was the Court was faced
- 24 with a broad, vague claim that the federal
- 25 rules of civil procedural somehow as a whole

1 trump the requirements of the Public Records

² Act. That case, Your Honor, involved a

3 request from a federal public defender to a

4 state assistant attorney district general for

5 that state assistant district attorney

general litigation and investigation file for

the federal public defender's client. This

was occurring within the context of a pending

federal writ of habeas corpus petition. It

was pending in the district court. Instead

11 of using normal mechanisms of discovery in

12 federal case, the federal public defender

submitted a Public Records Act request to the

state district attorney general for his

is litigation file. In response to that request

the state assistant district attorney general

17 made several arguments. First, he argued \(\)

that his files were protected by attorney

19 work product and privilege.

Next, he argued that even if

attorney work product or privileged

protection didn't apply, the Federal Rules of

23 Civil Procedure where the avenue that the

public defender has to use to get that

25 information and not a public record's

1 request. So that was the specific issue that

2 the Court in Swift versus Campbell was

3 dealing with and what the Court ultimately

4 said in dicta because they initially held

5 that large aspect of that file, the assistant

6 district attorney general's file was

7 protected by work product and privilege and

8 protection, they held that first, that in

9 dicta that went on to say well, it's also

true that we don't believe that the federal

11 rules somehow uphold or prevent a party from

also submitting a request through Tennessee's

Public Records Act for those documents.

14 That's totally not the situation

that we're dealing with here. We're dealing

16 with a federal court orders entered pursuant

to a specific Rule of Civil Procedure, Rule

26(C) that are requiring CCA to keep these

19 documents under seal and confidential. Those

o rules and those, that rule and that order

21 combined together to trump any requirement

that CCA would otherwise have under

23 Tennessee's Public Records Act.

The second, even if you put aside,

25 Your Honor, the issue of preemption, we cited

Page 71

Page 72

Page 70

1 to you several federal court of appeals cases

2 that discussed the danger of a state court

3 stepping in at a later date posthoc, after a

4 federal court has already issued an order and

5 then the state court seeking to reverse or

6 overturn or otherwise void the federal court

order. Those federal courts of appeals cases

that we cited made clear that this is not

something that state court should seek to do

and something that state court should avoid.

In particular we cited to you a

Ninth Circuit decision from 2003, JC and KB

13 Investments, Inc. versus Wilson. There the

4 Ninth Circuit was faced with a situation

us where a federal district court had issued an

16 order confirming an arbitration award. A

17 later state court decision ruled that the

s federal district court lacked jurisdiction

and the arbitration order was void and

vacated. The Ninth Circuit held that that

21 later state court order could not trump the

22 earlier federal court order.

23 Despite whether or not that prior

24 court order was correct or wrong on the

merit, in assessing whether a state court

1 could void a federal court decree nunc pro

2 tune, the Ninth Circuit specifically held

3 that, quote, the well-established principle

is to the contrary for state courts have no

5 power to void federal court decree and the

federal court may join such impermissible

7 collateral tax on federal judgments, close

auote.

Your Honor, again I want to make

clear we're talking about two categories of

11 documents the type of settlement agreements

that CCA contends are not subject to

13 disclosure; those under seal by state court

order and those under seal by federal court

5 order. CCA obviously wants to avoid the

16 danger of being forced to choose which

17 Court's order to comply with, because those

courts, despite whatever this Court rules

19 today, those other court orders will still be

20 out there and still be binding on CCA.

21 If you have no questions, Your

22 Honor, I have nothing further.

THE COURT: Now, they have the

24 last word.

MR. CLARKE: Can I provide you a

11

25

1 cite, Your Honor, I mean a citation to Swift v. Campbell?

THE COURT: No, I have a copy of

it. Thank you. Anything else on this side

of the table?

Okay. What we ought to get done

today is to produce an order that clearly

states the reasoning why the Court has found

that CCA is the functional equivalent of a

10 government agency and, too, an order saving

11 exactly what papers the plaintiff has

12 requested that the plaintiff should be

allowed by law under the Open Records Act to

inspect. That's what I'm doing, I'm going to

do that right now so everybody can see if

there's any -- what I want to hear from you

afterwards is if there is a fundamental

question and you can't figure out what I

mean, I do want to know that. I don't want

to hear any more argument because we have

21 done that. You've done a good job with your

briefs. I don't really know what else you

23 could tell me that you haven't already told

24 me. I think we've looked at all the cases.

25 I believe we have. If there is a question I

1 want to make the order as clear as I can

2 because that's really what I'm supposed to

do.

So as to the plaintiff's request.

5 this court orders that the plaintiff may

inspect, and that means that CCA must make

available at its offices in Nashville.

Tennessee to the extent that CCA has these

papers anywhere in its custody or under its

control, all complaints against CCA in which

the complaints originated in Tennessee. 1.1.

Now, the plaintiff appears to be 12

most interested in those where resulted in at 13

least a \$500 payment or so in damages.

attorney's fees or settlements and rather

than subrogating those out, if CCA wants to

provide all of them, then I would just put 1.7

all of them out for the plaintiff to inspect. 18

If you choose to segregate those

\$500 cases from the other complaints and just 20

make those available, that seems to be, that

would satisfy the plaintiff according to his

letter and so I think that's just up to CCA,

how you have them stored and that sort of

thing. Whatever makes the most sense and

Page 75

Page 76

Page 74

takes -- take it indoors and the amount of time you go through them and figure out which

one are \$500 cases and give him all of them.

Number two, the plaintiff may

inspect verdict forms, releases, claim

payment forms, settlement agreements, all

settlement agreement whether confidential or

not, unless there's a court order stating

that the agreement is sealed. And in lieu of

providing, in that case, in lieu of providing

11 the settlement agreement, then CCA must make

available for inspection the orders that seal

the non provided settlement agreement and

when I say provide, I don't mean make copies

or do anything else, I just mean make them

available so that the plaintiff can look at

them and decide which copies it makes sense

to pay for. And this is from the date

January 1, 2002, so that CCA doesn't have to

20 go through everything it's ever owned, but

just from January 1, 2002.

Number three has been conceded.

The defendant, CCA, agrees that those papers

24 that it has are and will be public documents

25 under the Court's ruling.

Number four, I think is the same

so long as there's no order sealing any of

those findings and again if there is a

sealing then the plaintiff would need to see

the sealing order so the plaintiff can be

assured that the plaintiff has been shown the

documents, the public records that he's

requested to inspect.

Number five, the issue in number

five is really not the database. The issue

is providing the plaintiff in some form that

is legible and can be copied, summaries or

spreadsheets or databases or lists showing

all litigation concluded against CCA in

Tennessee, which resulted in payment of money 15

damages or settlements or sanctions or claims

or attorney's fees from January 1, 2002

through and including the date of this

letter. And that is whether the monies that

were paid are subject to the confidentiality 20

agreement. If they're subject to a sealing 21

order then that needs to be provided or

placed there so the plaintiff can see it and

understand that that particular litigation

25 settlement agreement is not being made

22

1 available for inspection.

So here are the issues, it's not

Rule 26, it's not the spreadsheet, it's not

4 the database, it's making sure that the

plaintiff has available to the plaintiff to

inspect the gathering together or the summary

7 in whatever form of all of the litigation

concluded against CCA since January 1, 2002.

And then last I believe that the

10 defendant, CCA, has stated that given the

11 Court's ruling that these final executed

12 contracts and contract renewals between CCA

and the State of Tennessee and any county or

4 municipality regarding the ownership.

management or operations of a jail system

to from January 1, 2000 through April 3, 2007 be

17 made available for inspection.

18 So I'm really not looking, the

ı∍ plaintiff didn't aşk for the lawyer's

thoughts or analysis of anything and so it

looks like it can be done in a fairly

22 straightforward way without, hopefully, a lot

23 of pain.

So is there anything that either

25 side wants to ask that is not clear?

1 MR. CLARKE: With respect to when

2 you said paragraph two, I believe, that

3 they'll produce all the verdicts and releases

4 and everything except if they are sealed, but

5 if there is a case that there was a verdict

6 or settlement that was sealed, they have to

7 produce an order and give us the basis of the

8 sealing. Now does the Court make any

g distinction between a state order or federal

order in that?

11 THE COURT: No.

MR. CLARKE: Okay. So if there's

13 any order, then they produce that order and

14 if we have any other issues, I guess we will

bring it back to the Court's attention.

THE COURT: And that way the

17 plaintiff can know that he's not receiving a

public record and here's the reason why, so I

19 think maybe that will help.

MR. CLARKE: And that if the Court

1 has anything else I just want to make sure

22 that the Court was going to make a ruling at

23 this time on attorney's fees. I don't want

24 my silence to be a waiver of a request.

THE COURT: I'll hear you on the

Page 79

20

25

Page 80

Page 78

1 attorney's fees and I'll hear from CCA.

2 You've got the burden.

MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor. The

4 statute says very, very basically that

5 somebody who willfully, it's 10-7-505(G) that

6 if there is a willful failure to disclose

public records that the Court in its

discretion may award reasonable attorney's

9 fees. We submit that in this case as this

10 Court found, while CCA made a number of

11 arguments about a lot of things of all the

issues with respect to functional equivalency

13 their basic defense to this case was, we're

14 not the government, and while I duly

appreciate the Court's careful and the

16 thoughtful analysis of everything, I think

when we read this transcript the Court really

came back to the fact that this is prison.

19 This is isn't an Airport Authority, this

20 isn't an arena, this isn't an ice hockey

21 rink, this isn't some daycare center. This

22 is a core governmental function, so in

23 determining whether or not the Court should

24 find a willful failure to disclose records, I

mean, it's no question they didn't give them

1 to us. There is no question they don't want

2 to be found as a governmental -- there's no

3 question in 2002 the Tennessee Supreme Court

4 and then in 2005, gave the Court guidance and

5 the ability to hold somebody like CCA as a

6 private entity as a functional equivalency as

a government.

I submit to the Court that despite

the very fine lawyering by CCA's lawyers,

they can't escape the fact that they didn't

want to produce this stuff. They didn't have

12 a legitimate legal basis to determine that

they should not be subject, based on the case

14 law that was out there.

They have been found in other.

cases while they have wrote very extensive

and well-written briefs and law review

18 articles, in this case they didn't point out

19 to the Court certain cases where they have

been found to be a public entity. I think

that's important. I think with respect to

22 the transcripts, you know, of the first

hearing, you know, they tried to argue they

24 weren't funded while the Court said that the

25 contracts that were produced weren't

Page 82

probative of the issues that the Court had to

2 consider, they still did show that they are

3 receiving appropriated funds.

I think what we have is a

5 situation where somebody did not want to

6 produce something. They knew that. I don't

think there is an honest argument that a

prison could not, somehow be not subject to

the Public Records Act. We think, when we're

talking about willful under the act, we're

talking about with no legal justification.

and I think that CCA does not want to produce

this. They don't want to produce it to

Mr. Friedmann, I know that. In their

opening brief they smeared him. I mean, they

talked about what he does and which is

irrelevant under the statute. I think those

go to where this Court should make a

determination and finding that the conduct

was willing.

When they point out irrelevant 21

22 information to the Court in an effort to put

my client in a bad light. I think that is

very significant consideration for the Court,

but given that, this was a finding which

1 really went back to kind of the obvious, it

2 went back to what that Florida Court said. I

could not consider anything more uniquely

4 governmental than this, and with that can

they say with a straight face that they

actually thought that operating a prison was

not going to be the functional equivalent of

a governmental entity. I think not, Your

Honor. I think the answer is easy as the

first one, although I do understand the great

pain, effort and consideration that you gave

to the first one. It really came back to a

very simply issue. States run prisons and

jails. Private companies don't. With that I

submit it to the Court to see if their

refusal to give this stuff was willful and I

appreciate your consideration. 17

MR. WELBORN: Your Honor, we 18

submit that

Mr. Friedmann is not entitled to recover his

21 attorney's fees and costs here. The standard

that the Court must apply is very strict.

They have to show that we willfully, CCA

willfully failed to disclose records, and the

Court's interpretations of that requires bad

Page 83

Page 84

1 faith conduct and the courts have repeatedly

2 held that the willful element in that is

3 synonymous with bad faith. It's not a

4 disagreement of the law. It's not even

5 negligence or even bad judgment. It's bad

6 faith conduct and we had and will continue to

7 have for a while, I think, a disagreement

here over the application of this act to CCA.

Your Honor has made your ruling.

but it was a careful, considered -- you

considered the case law. It's not, it wasn't

an easy decision it didn't appear to us, but

it required going through all the factors.

We've been in business for a while. We've

never been held subject to the Public Records

Act in Tennessee. We have never been held to

the functional equivalent of a governmental 17

agency in the State of Tennessee. 18

There are real and both factual 19

issues that need to go up to the Court of 20

Appeals and legal issues that need to go up 21

and ultimately decided on this issue and, you

know, there has simply been no showing by the

plaintiff here that CCA acted willfully in

25 bad faith.

The records or the courts say

you've got to engage in conscious doing of a

moral wrong because of dishonest purpose.

That's how the courts have described bad

faith conduct. There's been no showing of

that here by CCA. We have a legitimate

disagreement with Mr. Friedmann on whether we

are a functional equivalent of a Tennessee

governmental agency, and no court that has

ever ruled that we could find that a private

entity is a functional equivalent of

governmental entity has ever awarded 12

attorney's fees. They didn't award

attorney's fees in Cherokee. They didn't

award attorney's fees in Allen versus Day,

and we've never had that ruling, the

functional equivalency ruling against us. 17

Again, there's been no evidence 18

here presented to the Court. We presented 19

our arguments. Your Honor rejected those

arguments, but those were presented in good

faith. They are reasonable arguments and

while I respectfully disagree with Your

Honor's decision, they were put forth that

25 way and they have a legitimate basis for us

- 1 to make those arguments.
- You know, one case that is
- 3 particularly good on this is the case where
- 4 the Court says, and I'm trying to find it
- 5 here in my notes, that it says that a request
- 6 for fees have been denied, but the question
- was whether the record sought was publicly
- not straightforward or simple or involved
- complex interpretation of controlling case
- law, which this case involved. And the
- Tennessee Supreme Court has admonished that
- the Tennessee courts and this is particularly
- true here, must not compute to a governmental
- entity the duties that foretell an uncertain
- iudicial future.
- We had an uncertain judicial
- 17 future coming in here and, you know, until
 - this is ultimately decided at the appellate
- level, it's going to remain uncertain. We
- had good faith reasons for why we didn't
- produce these documents. There are
- legitimate justifications privilege wise that
- Your Honor has ruled and we don't have to
- 24 give the privileged information and orders
- 25 under seal. There is a legitimate basis for

- 1 our objections to producing these records and
- 2 there's been no showing of bad faith conduct.
- And Your Honor, just to get back
- to a point you mentioned earlier, where we
- might get today. I think this is a final
- ruling, so this is a final order and it can
- go forward and we can have this decision
- decided as you mentioned I think by --
- reviewed by the Court.
- MR. CLARKE: Your Honor, I'll just 10
- point out with respect to what Mr. Welborn
- stated. I don't believe the word bad faith
- is written into any terminology into the
- Public Records Act. It has to be willful and
- knowing. Nobody's going to argue that they
- didn't willfully produce anything. If they
- thought things were to be produced they
- conceded things. They didn't bring them 18
- though. There has been no conduct on their
- part from which to recognize that they have
- certain documents. The Court has ruled
- against them on confidentiality, has
- basically said produce the orders on the
- other things. It says, I'm going to guote
- you from the Tennessean versus Lebanon, it

Page 87

Page 88

- 1 says. Regardless of the sometime varying statements expressed by this Court as the
- standard for determining whether the refusal
- was willful and knowing, in actuality our
- courts have consistently applied the same
- analysis, which is their officials knew that
- the record sought is public and subject to
- disclosure. So it evaluates the validity of
- the refusing entity's legal position
- 10 supporting its refusal. Critical to the
- determination is the evaluation of the law. 11
- Now, if Mr. Welborn's argument is 12
- stating to his logical conclusion, any case
- where you have to balance factors, you could
- not be entitled to attorney's fees. It's
- going to discourage lawyers like myself from
- handling cases for people like Prison Legal
- News and Mr. Friedmann which is the purpose I
- think the statute allowing attorney's fees is
- to allow for competent representation of
- people in cases where private paying -- they
- may not be able to pay for attorney's fees.
- If you look at the validity of the
- legal argument and if you're going to look
- 25 at, I think it gets back to the Florida case.

- 1 There is nothing more unique than
- 2 governmental entities. If this was the case
- that first announced that a private company
- could be subject to the Public Records Act,
- I'd give them that. I let Cherokee, I let
- Allen v. Day. Allen v. Day involved a
- corporation running an ice hockey rink or
- something. This is not the one where there
- is a legitimate dispute. That's number one.
- So if they thought they were going to comply
- with the act then they should have -- they
- never produced a privileged log and they
- didn't produce these other things that would
- allow us to evaluate things, so with all due
- respect to Mr. Welborn's articulation of the
- standard. I don't believe that is the case
- and the case I cite is from the Tennessean
- and it goes through that and what we have to
- look at is there legal justification. While
- this Court -- I think, both parties want to
- thank the Court for thoughtful consideration
- of the issues, I don't think that when we
- come back to it and we come all the way
- around and we look at it, sometimes the easy 25 answer is right in front of you. This is a

1 prison. The argument against it not being a 2 public entity was well made, just wrong, dead

3 wrong and to point that it's willful, I will

4 point out that with all the cases that CCA

5 cited in their 44-page memorandum, they never

6 cited the one case where they were found to

7 be the public, the functional equivalent of

an entity.

I think they just don't want to

produce the stuff, Your Honor, and I think

11 they put up a very nice defense. I just

don't think it's legally justified as found

by the Court. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Now lawyers,

the next step, let me say about the

16 attorney's fees, I think that there is

17 certainly public policy that the Public

Records Act should be read broadly and

liberally, that probably goes to the

20 attorney's fees as well. I haven't read

21 closely the few cases that do provide

22 attorney's fees. I'm going to do that. I'm

23 going to do that this afternoon, so I'm going

24 to take that under advisement for a very

25 short time. We know that TCA 10-7-505 savs

1 that the Court is supposed to make conscious

Page 90

² fact decisions through the law, which I have

3 done. 505(E) says that upon judgment in

4 favor of petition of the Court shall order

5 that the record be made available to the

6 petitioner unless there is a timely filing of

7 appeal and the Court certifies that there

exists and the Court certified the existence

of substantial legal issue with respect to

disclosure of the documents which ought to be

resolved by the appellate courts.

And so I made finding of 12

conclusion. I said that the documents must

be made available immediately because at the

15 moment I don't have a motion for appeal or

16 notice of appeal and I've taken under

advisement the issue of the attorney's fee

and because of the expedited nature of the

Public Records Act requirements, if I don't

20 get something out to you this afternoon, I'll

get something out to you tomorrow morning.

MR. CLARKE: Thank you, Your

Honor.

22

Page 01

THE COURT: Any questions? Are 24

25 y'all ready to go?

	Page	e 91		Page 92
	MR. WELBORN: Your Honor, I assume	1	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
	2 that the other issues will be addressed at	2		
	3 another motion hearing. This part about	3	I, JENNIFER SWAN HAYNIE, Notary	
Į	4 notice of appeal and state of appeal, we'll	4	Public and Court Reporter, do hereby certify	
	s raise that.	5	that I recorded to the best of my skill and	
	6 THE COURT: People need to figure	6	ability, by machine shorthand, all the	
	7 that out.	7	proceedings in the foregoing transcript and	
	B So we're now adjourned.	8	that said transcript is a true, accurate, and	
	MR. CLARKE: Thank you, Your	9	complete transcript to the best of my	
	10 Honor.	10	ability.	
	11 THE CLERK: All rise.	11	I further certify that I am not	
	12 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were	12	attorney or counsel of any of the parties,	
	adjourned at 3:30 p.m., on July 29, 2008.)	13	nor relative or employee of any attorney or	
	14	14	counsel connected with the action, nor	
	15	15	financially interested in the action.	
	16	16	SIGNED this day of, 2008.	
	17	17		
	18	18		
	19	19	JENNIFER SWAN HAYNIE Notary Public	
	20	20	State of Tennessee at Large	
	21	21		
	22	22		
	23	23		
-	24	24		1
	25	25		

OVER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER			~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
	2004 (1)	19:12,22	60:10;61:15
· •			
\$	17:16	42-3-115 (1)	accepted (1)
	2005 (1)	25:13	40:21
\$500 (8)	80:4	44-page (1)	access (4)
	2007 (3)	89:5	17:4;18:6;20:8;28:20
39:1,6;45:4;46:2;57:11;74:14,		09.3	
20;75:3	13:22;21:24;77:16		according (3)
	2008 (4)	5	36:19;47:10;74:22
0	1:.5;3:3;91:13;92:16		accurate (1)
	207 (2)	500 (1)	92:8
01-1105-I (1)	1:.5;2:18	45:7	accurately (1)
1:.5	213 (1)	505 (1)	15:19
			1
0864 (2)	16:18	16:3	aclarke@borodandkramercom (1)
25:5;30:17	214 (2)	505E (1)	2:7
	1:.5;2:17.5	90:3	acquired (1)
1	24 (1)		25:14
1		565 (1)	
	- 21:24	17:15	Act (66)
1 (5)	244 (1)	577 (1)	7:11;8:1,9;9:19;13:15,19;14:7,
75:19,21;76:17;77:8,16	16:18	62:2	22,22;16:2,11;17:2;18:5,22;
	•	02:2	
1/1 (1)	256-1935 (1)		19:1,7,17;20:2,6,6,10;21:20;
62:19	1:.5	6	22:23;23:1;25:8;28:4,5,10,18;
1:00 (1)	26 (3)	-	29:12,19;35:2,7,7;37:12;38:1,
		0.40	
3:3	43:25;44:2;77:3	6 (1)	11;39:16;55:7,15;56:14;57:24;
10-7-501 (1)	26.023 (1)	62:25	58:7,11;59:7;62:1;66:17,23;
13:19	40:16	615 (1)	67:3,14,21;68:17;69:2,13;70:13,
10-7-503 (4)	26.025 (2)	1:.5	23;73:13;81:9,10;83:8,16;86:14;
14:2;16:3;21:23;39:21	42:20;43:14	615.256.1935 (1)	88:4,11;89:18;90:19
10-7-504 (1)	26.05 (2)	2:19	acted (1)
	47:9;56:19		83:24
59:17		615.313.6000 (1)	
10-7-505 (2)	26C (5)	2:13.5	acting (1)
15:10;89:25	67:17,20;68:9,17;70:18		8:13
10-7-505D (1)	29 (3)	. 7	action (5)
		'	
20:10	1:.5;3:3;91:13	-	— 16:10;45:1;60:12;92:14,15
10-7-505G (1)	2nd (2)	79 (1)	actions (1)
79:5	2:17.5;20:18	17:24	24:12
	2.17.2,20.10	1.7.24	I
11th (1)			activities (2)
62:5	3	8	27:10;37:18
12 (1)			actual (4)
	0.44	00 (4)	9:19;47:21;51:10;61:22
62:5	3 (1)	80 (1)	
12/31/03 (1)	77:16	2:5.5	actuality (1)
62:19	3:30 (1)	821 (1)	87:4
			actually (6)
150 (1)	91:13	20:18	
2:12.5	31 (2)	87 (1)	6:4;18:2;23:15;36:11;61:24;
159 (1)	61:19,21	17:24	82:6
17:15	į r		Adams (1)
	32 (1)	0	
15th (1)	16:5	9	61:19
10:18	37201 (2)		add (1)
1986 (2)	1:.5;2:18.5	901-524-0200 (1)	6:12
			1
19:7,17	37219 (1)	2:6.5	addition (1)
1991 (1)	2:13	921 (1)	7:23
20:19	38103 (1)	20:18	additional (1)
1st (1)	2:6	9th (1)	7:14
64:7	3rd (3)	5:6	address (11)
·	16:18;17:15,24		9:20;11:2;30:22;31:2,4;37:25;
. 2	10.10,11.10,67	A	
4		A	43:23;48:23;52:8;56:6;59:20
	- 4		addressed (3)
2000 (1)		ability (3)	13:12;65:25;91:2
	44 24 404 (2)		
77:16	41-24-101 (3)	80:5;92:6,10	addressing (3)
2002 (17)	19:6;23:13;27:14	able (8)	48:12,13;50:8
	41-24-110 (1)	9:13;36:8;45:5;48:7;52:16;	adjourned (2)
13:21;32:12;36:21;38:25;39:5;		[\$(3,72,67,74,07,77	
13:21;32:12;36:21;38:25;39:5; 45:20;46:7;49:8,19;50:7;55:4;	22:20	59:23;62:24;87:22	91:8,13
13:21;32:12;36:21;38:25;39:5; 45:20;46:7;49:8,19;50:7;55:4;		59:23;62:24;87:22 above-styled (1)	admit (1)
13:21;32:12;36:21;38:25;39:5; 45:20;46:7;49:8,19;50:7;55:4; 64:7;75:19,21;76:17;77:8;80:3	22:20 41-24-113 (1)	above-styled (1)	admit (1)
13:21;32:12;36:21;38:25;39:5; 45:20;46:7;49:8,19;50:7;55:4;	22:20		

admonished (1) 85:11 advisement (2) 89:24:90:17 affidavit (12) 3:16,21;4:8,13,19;5:23;40:23; 43:6.8;44:15;50:25;65:4 affidavits (1) 5:13 afternoon (2) 89:23:90:20 afterwards (1) 73:17 again (13) 6:21:32:15:33:24:36:15:40:8; 49:19,21;54:13,15;61:1;72:9; 76:3:84:18 against (12) 41:1,4;45:2;51:5;53:17;64:4; 74:10;76:14;77:8;84:17;86:22; age (1) 29:25 agencies (5) 10:24;16:14;26:19;49:12;55:3 agency (36) 8:13,15;11:1;12:13;13:24;14:5, 14;16:17;17:24;18:11,14,20; 19:4,24;20:17,24;25:7,24;26:3, 21:27:3,4,7,9,12:29:9,11,21; 30:21;31:13;33:15;37:14;38:13; 73:10;83:18;84:9 agency's (1) 6:8 ago (2) 3:7;11:3 agree (3) 13:5;61:5;63:4 agreed (2) 12:19;15:15 agreement (17) 38:18;43:20:56:4;57:25:58:2,5, 16;66:2;68:6,15,22;75:7,9,11,13; 76:21,25 agreements (20) 39:2,3,14;40:7;48:22,24;55:24; 58:19;66:1,3,19,22;67:1,5,5,9, 12,18;72:11;75:6 agrees (1) 75:23 ahead (1) 5:3 airplane (1) 29:1 Airport (8) 25:6,12,15,20,21,22;26:22; 79:19 akin (1) 27:11 ALEX (1) 1:.5 **Allen (11)**

9:25:10:13:12:22:13:4:16:18; 17:11;20:5;21:16;84:15;88:6,6 allow (10) 8:10;9:24;32:21;35:4,5,14,15; 61:3;87:20;88:14 allowed (3) 8:7;35;22;73:13 allowing (2) 19:8:87:19 allows (1) 34:12 almost (2) 45:14.17 along (2) 4:14;44:13 alternate (1) 9:17alternative (1) 4:16 although (1) 82:10 always (1) 28:11 amended (3) 44:25;45:7;47:17 AMERICA (1) American (1) 13:21 Among (1) 16:19 amount (5) 41:19;52:24;53:1;64:13;75:1 amounts (1) 42:4 analysis (10) 13:16;17:25;21:2;25:2;41:9,10; 68:20;77:20;79:16;87:6 analyze (5) 7:18;9:13;21:13,15;41:14 analyzing (2) 6:24;25:11 and/or (2) 14:17;64:6 ANDREW (1) 2:4.5 Annotated (2) 16:3;19:5 announced (1) 88:3 annual (1) 4:1 annuity (1) 22:7 anticipation (8) 40:17;41:1;52:14,18;54:19; 57:4;60:21;65:15 apart (1) 33:13

apparently (1)

90:7,15,16;91:4,4

appeal (5)

51:3

Appeals (6) 13:13,15;17:15;71:1,7;83:21 appear (2) 39:12:83:12 **APPEARANCES (1)** 2:1 appears (2) 27:19;74:12 appellate (2) 85:18:90:11 applicability (1) 43:2 application (2) 25:3:83:8 applied (3) 25:9,19:87:5 applies (2) 57:4;58:10 apply (4) 13:18;15:16;69:22;82:22 applying (1) 26:1 appreciate (3) 9:10:79:15:82:17 appropriated (1) 81:3 approved (1) 23:1 approving (2) 24:5,6 April (2) 21:24:77:16 arbitration (3) 61:21:71:16,19 arena (2) 8:5;79:20 arque (4) 8:17;42:13;80:23;86:15 argued (7) 6:15;11:23;57:14,15;67:8; 69:17,20 arguing (2) 63:13:64:24 argument (12) 10:4;36:2,17;55:23;58:3;61:12; 68:13;73:20;81:7;87:12,24;89:1 arguments (7) 9:4;69:17;79:11;84:20,21,22; 85:1 arising (1) 16:1 arose (1) 26:23 around (1) 88:24 Article (1) 16:5 articles (1) 80:18 articulation (1) 88:15 aside (1)

aspect (3) 34:21:61:2:70:5 assert (2) 50:9:63:5 asserting (3) 42:21:44:7,8 assertion (3) 42:10,17;50:18 assess (4) 41:14.17:43:2:52:19 assessing (1) 71:25 assessment (1) 53:6 assigned (2) 26:9,11 assistant (4) 69:4,5,16;70:5 Associate (1) 1:.5 associations (1) 24:25 assume (3) 17:21;31:11;91:1 assuming (3) 3:23;51:7,8 assumption (1) 33:19 assured (1) 76:6 attached (1) 40:20 attachment (1) 60:23 attention (2) 8:24;78:15 attorney (41) 14:16;23:3;25:5,6,8,19;27:1; 30:17;38:16;39:10;40:18;41:9, 11,13,22;42:5,12;49:2;50:15; 52:13;53:5;54:17,22;56:12;63:7; 64:6:65:5,7,12,13,13,16:69:4,5, 14,16,18,21;70:6;92:12,13 attorney/client (12) 14:18;39:11;41:6,23;42:7,13, 18;56:13;57:21;61:7;63:15,18 attorneys (3) 41:16;42:2;52:16 attorney's (17) 15:11;74:15;76:17;78:23;79:1, 8;82:21;84:13,14,15;87:15,19, 22;89;16,20,22;90:17 audits (2) 49:6;58:25 authorities (1) 23:22 Authority (9) 25:7,12,15,21,21,23;26:22; 68:10:79:19 authorization (2) 32:19,20

70:24

authorized (2)

22:21;24:21

authorizes (1) 19:13 automatically (1) 12:10 available (13) 29:17.24:49:11.20:74:7.21; 75:12,16;77:1,5,17;90:5,14 Avenue (5) 1:.5;2:5.5,12.5,17.5;69:23 avoid (5) 18:21;29:18;67:24;71:10;72:15 award (4) 71:16;79:8;84:13,15 awarded (1) 84:12 awarding (2) 24:5:27:24 aware (2) 20:25;42:9 away (1) 10:9

B back (24) 10:6:33:3;36:2;42:1;45:20;46:7, 16;47:22;48:23;50:1;53:21; 54:13;55:18;63:20;64:17;65:23; 78:15;79:18;82:1,2,12;86:3; 87:25;88:23 bad (9) 81:23;82:25;83:3,5,5,25;84:4; 86:2,12 balance (2) 13:6:87:14 balanced (2) 17:8;29:7 balances (1) 27:13 balancing (2) 17:10:25:4 based (10) 7:3;9:4,5;15:11;25:24;26:4; 60:6;61:20;67:23;80:13 basic (1) 79:13 basically (2) 79:4;86:23 basis (6) 26:10;61:15;78:7;80:12;84:25; 85:25 becomes (1) 17:22 begin (4) 38:6;63:4;66:18;68:4 Beginning (2) 40:10:48:18 behalf (2)

best (3) 37:14:92:5.9 bestowed (1) 15:24 beyond (7) 10:21:17:13:44:16;53:3,11,13: 58:3 bid (2) 60:9.23 big (1) 6:22 biggest (1) 61:11 binding (1) 72:20 bit (1) 6:25 black (1) 57:23 board (5) 22:11,12,12,14,15 Bonnyman (2) 1:.5:3:2 BOROD (1) 2:5 borrow (1) 56:18 both (6) 6:9,23;22:18;27:22;83:19;88:20 brief (9) 40:2,9,12,21;58:3,22;66:24; 67:24:81:15 briefed (1) 7.4 briefing (5) 39:8;42:9,10;44:11,14 briefly (2) 6:20;31:2 briefs (11) 6:10,17;7:13;9:5;10:4,5,5;13:2; 15:18;73:22;80:17 bring (3) 48:1:78:15:86:18 broad (1) 68:24 broader (1) 60:17 broadly (4) 20:7;52:12;68:9;89:18 Building (2) 1:.5;23:2 burden (22) 6:6;9:9,22;10:16,21;12:3,4,16; 13:8;14:23;15:2;16:12,14;36:16, 23;47:7;60:5;62:14;63:4,5,17; 79:2 burdens (2) 3:9;36:22 Bureau (3)

31:23,23;33:8

business (8)

20:16;29:18;83:14

7:2;10:23;17:16,18;18:10;

businesses (1) 17:17 C calculating (3) 24:2,4;27:23 called (1) 5:21 **CALLEN (22)** 2:11.5;31:3;37:24;38:5;43:19; 44:1:45:9.13:48:3.18:51:11: 52:8;53:20;54;6,11;55:2,11,14, 21;57:15;62:16;63:3 came (5) 3:1:9:9:63:11:79:18:82:12 Campbell (7) 17:14;58:4,11;62:2;68:19;70:2; 73:2 can (37) 5:2,14;6:2;7:22;8:19;13:15; 14:23;21:13;27:20;31:3;37:9,14; 38:6;47:18;51:8,9,14;52:1,19; 54:12;57:7;58:1,4;60:3;61:3; 72:25;73:15;74:1;75:16;76:5,12, 23;77:21;78:17;82:4;86:6,7 candor (1) 9:10 care (2) 35:12:46:24 careful (2) 79:15;83:10 carefully (1) 13:3 carry (2) 13:9;14:23 carrying (1) 13:9 case (45) 3:12;10:14,15;12:11;13:2; 15:16;17:1,7;18:17;25:5,21; 26:21;27:1;29:1;32:18;46:1; 53:1,7,7;58:21;61:6,25;64:12; 67:23;68:21,23;69:2,12;75:10; 78:5;79:9,13;80:13,18;83:11; 85:2,3,9,10;87:13,25;88:2,16,17; 89:6 cases (29) 3:10:13:4,14:30:2:40:3.9:41:9: 46:3,15;47:1;51:10,12;52:2,22, 23;53:16;64:14;66:24;71:1,7; 73:24;74:20;75:3;80:16,19; 87:17,21;89:4,21 categories (3) 38:7,14;72:10 category (1) 65:24 cause (1) 57:1 CCA (128) 3:19;6:7,23;7:1;8:10,18;10:3,

10,22;12:11,13,14,17;14:4,6,7,

12,21,22;15:4,12;16:12,14,20;

17:1:19:21.23:20:23:21:19.25: 22:1,17;23:1,8;24:20;26:2,7,8, 11;27:6,8,17;28:1,2,17,17,23; 29:8,10,16,16,23;30:8,20;37:12; 38:10,23;39:5;40:25;41:4,7; 42:2,14,16;43:5,8,12;44:6,10,12, 15,21:46:1,25:49:8,13,16,19: 50:5,19;51:5;52:16,19;53:9,17; 55:3,4;60:7;64:4,8,24;66:2,6,10, 13,14,19;67:5;68:5,14,14;70:18, 22;72:12,15,20;73:9;74:6,8,10, 16,23;75:11,19,23;76:14;77:8, 10,12;79:1,10;80:5;81:12;82:23; 83:8,24;84:6;89:4 CCA's (18) 5:5;6:17;30:8;38:14;40:10,22, 23:41:13,15,17;42:5;45:18,21: 54:17;56:2;65:12,13;80:9 Center (3) 34:4.4:79:21 certain (12) 9:24;21:13;23:16,20:24:15; 47:5;53:25;63:6;65:9,18:80:19; 86:21 certainly (3) 26:9;51:20;89:17 **CERTIFICATE (1)** certified (1) 90:8 certifies (1) 90:7 certify (3) 23:17;92:4,11 **CHANCERY (1)** 1:.5 changing (1) 24:11 characterization (2) 27:9,20 charge (1) 30:13 Chattanooga (1) 34:8 Cherokee (15) 7:17;8:24;9:25;10:12;13:4;17:5, 24;21:16;25:10;26:23,25;27:1,3; 84:14;88:5 child (1) 21:11 Children (2) 17:5;25:11 choose (4) 66:8,15;72:16;74:19 chose (1) 10:17 circle (3) 53:21;54:13;63:20 Circuit (4) 71:12,14,20;72:2 circumstance (1)

benchmark (1)

10:3:17:19

belief (1)

60:5

47:5

18:16

citation (1)

73:1 cite (5) 56:15;58:20;59:16;73:1;88:17 cited (12) 32:17;40:3,9;58:3;62:4;66:24; 67:24;70:25;71:8,11;89:5,6 citizen (2) 21:21:27:5 citizens (4) 22:15;29:5,20;39:17 City (2) 20:18:59:13 Civil (10) 40:16;42:19;58:6;61:23;67:17, 19;68:8,25;69:23;70:17 claim (8) 45:1;47:12;48:21,25;50:10; 64:12:68:24:75:5 claimant (1) 57:20 claiming (1) 56:21 claims (6) 16:1;53:16;64:6,13,14;76:16 clarification (2) 31:6;33:14 CLARKE (28) 2:4.5;3:15;4:5;5:7,16;6:14;11:5; 12:21;34:9;43:16;46:16;47:20; 55:25;56:9;62:11;63:1,8;65:24; 67:8;68:20;72:25;78:1,12,20; 79:3;86:10:90:22:91:9 Clarke's (1) 50:9 Claudia (2) 1:.5;3:2 clear (7) 19:25;20:5;66:5;71:8;72:10; 74:1:77:25 clearly (7) 34:11;40:13;43:5;59:25;60:25; 64:23:73:7 CLERK (1) 91:11 client (8) 41:8;46:17,20,22;60:7,22;69:7; 81:23 Cliff (1) 17:14 close (4) 39:20:43:3:64:16:72:7 closed (1) 61:24 closely (1) 89:21 coconut (1) 57:10 Code (3) 16:2:19:5.16 collateral (1) 72:7

column (1) 52:4 combine (1) 67:19 combined (2) 68:16:70:21 coming (1) 85:17 Commission (1) 23:2 Commissioner (2) 23:4.24 committee (1) 23:7 communicated (1) 42:5 communication (3) 41:8:54:15:65:6 communications (5) 38:17;41:7;42:1,1,23 companies (1) 82:14 Company (4) 17:5;25:10;41:2;88:3 compare (1) 26:17 competent (1) 87:20 complaint (3) 44:25.25:46:13 complaints (17) 45:7,8,22,25;46:5;47:4,17,17; 48:4,10,12,19;57:11,12;74:10, 11,20 complete (1) 92:9 completely (1) 11:18 complex (1) 85:9 comply (6) 23:11;49:9;66:9,15;72:17;88:10 compute (1) 85:13 conceded (2) 75:22;86:18 concerned (2) 44:22:53:19 concerning (1) 55:23 concluded (3) 52:3:76:14:77:8 concluding (1) 53:17 conclusion (3) 3:13;87:13;90:13 conclusions (1) 4:13 conduct (7) 19:21;81:19;83:1,6;84:5;86:2, 19 confer (1)

27:16

confidential (25) 14:19;30:1,24;38:18;42:4; 54:15;58:1,2,5;59:9,23;60:3,10, 14:61:13,13,19,25;64:10;65:10, 20;68:3,16;70:19;75:7 confidentiality (3) 68:12;76:20;86:22 confined (1) 36:12 confirming (1) 71:16 confuse (1) 48:11 connected (1) 92:14 Connecticut (1) 18:8 connection (2) 18:9;20:15 conscious (2) 84:2;90:1 consequently (1) 14:6 consider (4) 7:22,23;81:2;82:3 consideration (7) 8:12;9:7;20:4;81:24;82:11,17; 88:21 considered (4) 17:8:65:20:83:10,11 considering (1) 10:21 consistently (1) 87:5 constitute (1) 63:14 constitutes (1) 20:11 Constitution (2) 16:4:26:5 constitutional (1) 36:6 construe (2) 18:3,4 construed (2) 20:7;28:6 contain (2) 52:22,23 contained (4) 43:9;44:18;50:22;51:17 contains (11) 41:3,4,6,9,25;42:3;53:22;59:9, 22;60:10;65:6 contend (7) 49:14;50:15;54:20;56:11;60:1; 65:2:66:21 contending (1) 55:5 contends (7) 14:4,7,14;38:10;64:9;66:2; 72:12 contention (1) 52:9

contentions (1) 14:3 context (1) 69:8 continuation (1) continue (1) 83:6 contract (20) 9:1;19:9,10,18;21:3,14;22:21; 23:19;31:22;32:14,17;33:5,7; 35:5,11,22,23;36:19;60:23; 77:12 contracted (1) 23:25 Contracting (6) 7:11;8:9;19:7,15,16;22:25 contractor (3) 19:18;22:22;23:25 contractors (4) 23:20,22;24:14,19 contracts (18) 4:20,22:5:14:6:19:20:21:21:2.5: 23:1,5,14;31:8,14;32:2;33:11, 16;55:2;77:12;80:25 contractual (1) 49:9 contractually (1) 18:23 contrary (2) 68:21;72:4 control (6) 16:25;23:18;28:17;29:16;30:8; 74:10 controlled (1) 11:20 controlling (1) 85:9 copied (1) 76:12 copies (8) 29:21;30:5,10,12,14;57:12; 75:14.17 copy (7) 4:4;30:13;46:12;48:9;57:18,19; copying (1) 48:8 copy-of (1) 5:8 core (1) 79:22 cornerstone (1) 17:25 **CORPORATION (7)** 1:.5;13:20;21:20;22:3,13;45:2; 88:7 corpus (1) 69:9 correction (1) 22:22 correctional (3) 23:6,9,11

collective (1)

5:11

CORRECTIONS (4) 1:.5;13:20;23:5;45:2 cost (2) 15:11:30:14 costs (2) 48:8:82:21 counsel (10) 40;22,25;41;9;43;7;44;15,21; 45:18;47:9;92:12,14 countervailing (1) 20:4 COUNTY (10) 1:.5;21:9,10;33:5;34:16;39:18; 49:5;60:24,24;77:13 course (5) 4:10;43:14;48:6;49:12,21 Court (211) 1:.5..5;2:15.5;3:5,8,10.12,16,23; 4:3,14;5:1,10,19,24;6:1,2,22;7:3, 5,22;8:23;9:7,12,21,23;10:1,7; 12:8,25;13:5,13,14,15,17;15:2,8, 16,21;17:7,9,15;18:4,8,18;20:2, 12,19,21;21:4,12,15,18;22:14; 26:2,8,24;27:13;28:3,10;30:15; 31:2,5,15,16;32:5,22;33:14,20; 34:2;36:3;37:1,6,22;38:2,19; 39:14;40:3,7,21;43:18,24;44:20; 45:10;46:9,18;47:15,21;48:11, 16:49:13,17,21,24,25;51:2,18; 53:13;54:2,10,23;55:8,13,16; 56:5,7;57:1;58:13,20;59:2,6,16; 60:4;61:3,14,20,23;62:10,12,13, 17,20,22;64:12;66:4,5,9,12,20, 25,25;67:7,10,13,15;68:1,6,13, 23;69:10;70:2,3,16;71:1,2,4,5,6, 9,10,15,17,18,21,22,24,25;72:1, 5,6,13,14,18,19,23;73:3,8;74:5; 75:8;78:8,11,16,20,22,25;79:7, 10,17,23;80:3,4,8,19,24;81:1,18, 22,24;82:2,15,22;83:20;84:9,19; 85:4,11;86:9,21;87:2;88:20,21; 89:13,14;90:1,4,7,8,24;91:6; 92:1.4 courts (14) 15:25;39:24;40:5;67:23;68:9; 71:7;72:4,18;83:1;84:1,4;85:12; 87:5;90:11 **Court's (16)** 4:7,24;5:2;8:12;9:10;34:19; 38:12;48:5;66:9,15;72:17;75:25; 77:11;78:15;79:15;82:25 cover (1) 30:14 covered (3) 34:11;42:7;58:16 covering (1) 13:21 covers (1) 36:14 **CRA (1)** 38:12 create (2) 51:12,15

created (14) 7:25:17:2:21:20:28:18:40:24: 43:10;44:17;52:14,15;53:4; 54:16,17,18:65:12 creates (3) 29:6:50:23:60:7 credit (3) 24:5.8.9 criteria (2) 23:14.16 critical (2) 15:3;87:10 crosswise (1) 66:7 current (1) 52:17 currently (3) 24:17;32:11;53:8 custodian (2) 30:8.12 custody (8) 22:18;24:10,11;27:22;29:14,15; 30:7:74:9 Customs (1) 33:9 D

damage (1) 64:15 damages (11) 38:24;39:1,6;45:4;50:6;51:7; 52:5;64:5,9;74:14;76:16 danger (2) 71:2;72:16 data (1) 44:19 database (48) 38:15:40:11.13.24:41:3.12.16. 21,24;42:3,11,15;43:9,10,11,13, 22;44:17,18,21;48:14;50:12; 51:4,16,17,22;52:10,13,15,20; 53:5,11,21,22;54:1,16,21;60:2; 63:23;64:21,21,24;65:4,11,16, 21:76:10:77:4 databases (7) 38:22;50:4;53:15;60:8;64:3,20; 76:13 date (8) 57:6;60:12,12,13;64:8;71:3; 75:18:76:18 dated (1) 21:24 dates (1) 24:3 dating (3) 45:20;46:7;50:1 **DAVIDSON (1)** 1:.5 Day (11) 10:14;12:22;15:9;16:18;17:11; 20:5;21:17;84:15;88:6,6;92:16

daycare (1)

79:21 dead (1) 89:2 deadly (2) 24:21,23 deal (1) 34:17 dealing (4) 12:23:70:3,15,15 deals (1) 57:23 debts (1) 7:8 decide (6) 15:3,8;26:8;28:3;57:19;75:17 decided (4) 14:20;83:22:85:18;86:8 decision (7) 3:7;25:25;71:12,17;83:12; 84:24:86:7 decisions (2) 27:25:90:2 declaratory (2) 50:1:59:3 declared (2) 25:15;49:18 decline (1) 58:12 decree (2) 72:1,5 deemed (2) 24:15:65:10 defend (1) 41:14 defendant (6) 13:22;14:7,11,14;75:23;77:10 defender (3) 69:3,12,24 defender's (1) 69:7 defense (2) 79:13:89:11 define (1) 44:3 defined (1) 22:23 defines (1) 44:6 definition (1) 44:3 delegable (1) 23:21 delegating (1) 18:23 delete (1) 53:25 deliberately (1) 18:5 delineate (1)

24:8 department (2) 11:17:23:4 depends (1) 61:18 deposition (1) 4:11 deputy (3) 40:22:43:6:44:14 describe (1) 42:22 described (5) 41:24;50:12;53:19;64:22;84:4 description (1) 57:7 Despite (3) 71:23:72:18:80:8 detached (1) 11:19 detail (1) 13:10 detailed (1) Detention (5) 31:20;32:9;34:1,2,8 determination (5) 16:20;18:15;36:4;81:19;87:11 determine (5) 6:3;8:2;13:8;45:19;80:12 determined (3) 17:3;28:20;61:6 determining (2) 79:23:87:3 developing (2) 24:1.3 Dickson (2) 60:24,24 dicta (2) 70:4,9 different (7) 6:25;21:15;26:22;34:21;35:3; 36:10:60:17 difficult (2) 26:17:66:14 directing (1) 29:20 directly (1) 21:12 disagree (1) 84:23 disagreement (3) 83:4,7;84:7 disciplinary (1) 24:12 disclose (4) 55:7;79:6,24;82:24 disclosed (1) 42:24 disclosure (22) 16:15;18:22;19:25;20:1,3; 38:11;39:12,15;40:2,8;49:15; 55:6;56:5;61:22;65:17;66:3,22;

13:13

denied (3)

denying (1)

9:15;12:6;85:6

67:10,14;72:13;87:8;90:10

45:14

discourage (1) 87:16
discoverable (1)
56:21 discovery (5)
4:17;9:14,18,24;69:11
discretion (1) 79:8
discuss (1)
43:20 discussed (3)
3:7;48:19;71:2
dishonest (1) 84:3
dismiss (1)
9:20
dismissed (2) 12:7;53:2
dispositive (6)
4:7,24;5:2;7:16;17:10;18:18 dispute (5)
39:9;63:8,16;67:11;88:9
distinction (4) 6:22;35:18;66:5;78:9
distinguished (2)
26:24,25 district (8)
69:4,5,10,14,16;70:6;71:15,18
doctrine (5) 40:15;41:22;42:12;44:9;49:2
document (6)
42:23;50:20,22;51:15;59:18,22 documents (33)
11:15;12:16,17;13:23;14:15;
30:22;31:14;34:13;37:17;38:8,9; 39:25;40:17;49:10,15;57:5;63:6,
9,19;64:10;65:24;66:10;68:2,11;
70:13,19;72:11;75:24;76:7; 85:21;86:21;90:10,13
done (12)
4:16;7:9;8:7;13:2;15:21;43:12;
50:24;73:6,21,21;77:21;90:3 down (4)
36:9;55:22;59:12,13
drafts (1) 49:1
due (4)
36:16;46:18;47:8;88:14 duly (1)
79:14
during (2) 29:17;65:14
duties (3)
23:21;27:10;85:14 duty (2)
19:20;36:6
E

23:21;27:10;85:14 duty (2) 19:20;36:6
E
earlier (4) 13:1;26:24;71:22;86:4 easy (3) 82:9;83:12;88:24
Billian F. Corrientis

8:5

52:12

entire (1)

entirety (1)

ŀ	Davids erica
•	Editor (1) 1:.5
	educate (1) 62:20
	efficient (1) 48:17
	effort (2) 81:22;82:11
	either (6) 9:1;31:23;33:7,17;45:17;77:24
	element (4) 43:8;44:18;50:21;83:2
	elements (1) 50:21
	eligibility (1) 24:3
	else (7) 5:14;37:8;62:13;73:4,22;75:15
	78:21 E-Mail (1)
	2:19.5 embodied (1)
	40:15 employed (1)
	24:18 employee (2)
	27:17;92:13 employees (5)
	24:13,15,16,21,23 employment (1)
	42:6 enable (1)
	43:1 enacted (1)
	19:7 encompasses (1)
	60:18 end (2)
	15:9;66:7 enforce (1)
	30:9 Enforcement (2)
	33:10;59:11 engage (1)
	84:2 enough (2) 37:10;42:14
	ensure (1) 18:20
	ensuring (1) 68:12
	enter (5) 22:21;53:9;58:19;67:17;68:10
	entered (9) 52:24,25;53:10;66:19;67:6,16;
	68:5,7;70:16 enters (1)
	68:13 entertainment (1)

	entities (4)
	18:25;37:7;55:4;88:2 entitled (8)
	15:10;22:6;35:20;47:3;57:16;
	59:4;82:20;87:15
	entity (25)
	8:25;10:10;12:1;14:23;16:21,
	23,25;17:1,20;18:1,12,24;19:3;
	23:8;34:20;35:1;58:19;80:6,20;
	82:8;84:11,12;85:14;89:2,8
	entity's (2) 19:1;87:9
	environment (1)
	29:6
	equipment (1)
	16:22
;	equivalency (5)
	12:24;36:1;79:12;80:6;84:17
	equivalent (41) 6:7;8:14;10:11,25;12:2,12,14;
	14:5,13;15:5;16:13,17;17:23;
	18:13;19:4,24;20:24;25:9,23;
	26:3,20;27:4,7,11;29:9,10;
	30:20;31:12;33:15;34:20;37:13;
	38:13;49:14,22;58:18;73:9;82:7;
	83:17;84:8,11;89:7
	erection (1)
	16:6 escape (1)
	80:10
	essential (1)
	29:4
	establish (1)
	23:15
	establishes (1)
	23:13 evaluate (4)
	57:7;61:4,8;88:14
	evaluates (1)
	87:8
	evaluation (1)
	87:11
	even (15)
	8:10;10:21;20:3;32:11,17; 34:14;38:11;53:3;54:14;58:4;
	61:3;69:20;70:24;83:4,5
	everybody (1)
	73:15
	evidence (4)
	6:2;10:19,22;84:18
	exact (1)
	21:3 exactly (4)
	5:25;50:21;63:11;73:11
	examine (1)
	12:15
	example (5)
	31:17,19;32:10;42:3;59:10
	except (3)
	35:23;37:11;78:4 excepted (1)
	20:1
	

exception (2) 4:22;30:25 exceptions (2) 8:22:37:25 excise (1) 65:9 excising (1) 65:18 excluded (1) 64:15 excuse (1) 44:7 executed (1) 77:11 exempt (12) 38:10;40:1,8;55:6,10,12,14; 56:4.5:58:7.10:67:13 exempted (1) 4:21 exhibit (6) 3:18,21;5:11;36:13;40:22;62:25 exhibits (5) 3:14;4:1;5:12;10:19,20 exist (1) 45:21 32:7; existence (2) 47:21;90:8 exists (1) 90:8 expand (1) 6:13 expedited (1) 90:18 expired (2) 21:5,8 exposure (1) 41:10 express (1) 15:19 expressed (1) 87:2 expressly (1) 59:7 extensive (2) 19:3;80:16 extent (16) 4:6,23;16:24;17:22;18:1;28:15; 29:13,14;47:25;48:4,8,25;49:23; 58:16;63:13;74:8 extracts (1) 30:5 F

face (2) 20:3;82:5 faced (2) 68:23;71:14 facilities (5) 32:24;35:19,21;37:19;45:18

35:11:60:11 fact (14) 5:21:6:2,4:8:8:18:17;20:20; 26:4;28:4,10;45:21;59:22;79:18; 80:10;90:2 factor (3) 5:2;7:22;17:9 factors (19) 7:4,15,17,21,24;9:25;13:6,11, 11;16:19;17:8;21:15,16;25:4; 27:13;28:14;29:7;83:13;87:14 facts (7) 25:3,20;26:2,21,23,23,25 factual (1) 83:19 failed (1) 82:24 failure (3) 15:12;79:6,24 fairly (4) 5:22,25;7:5;77:21 faith (9) 83:1,3,6,25;84:5,22;85:20;86:2, 12 fall (1) 30:24 falling (1) 36:2 Family (2) 17:6:25:11 far (3) 28:13;44:16;54:12 favor (3) 18:6;29:7;90:4 federal (54) 31:9,22;32:2;33:1,6;34:7,10,12. 13;35:3,4,6,19;36:9,15;37:3; 58:6,9,13;61:25;66:12;67:7,10, 13,15,16,19;68:1,6,8,9,13,24; 69:3,7,9,12,12,22;70:10,16;71:1, 4,6,7,15,18,22;72:1,5,6,7,14;78:9 fee (2) 30:13:90:17 feel (3) 7:14;52:6;54:5 feels (2) 9:8.21 fees (22) 15:11;38:24;39:1,6;50:6;64:6; 74:15:76:17:78:23:79:1,9:82:21: 84:13,14,15;85:6;87:15,19,22; 89:16,20,22 few (1) 89:21 figure (5) 43:25;51:24;73:18;75:2;91:6 file (6) 59:11,18;69:6,15;70:5,6 filed (13) 3:13,17;4:2;5:6;6:9,17;18:7; 20:21;39:4;41:4;45:1;47:4;62:5 files (5) 11:10,10,17;49:21;69:18

filing (3) 4:2:68:11:90:6 final (3) 77:11;86:5,6 financed (1) 22:3 financially (1) 92:15 find (6) 20:11:29:5:45:6:79:24:84:10: 85:4 finding (5) 21:18:29:8:81:19.25:90:12 findings (2) 20:20;76:3 finds (4) 20:21;21:4;26:2;28:10 fine (2) 56:1:80:9 FIRM (1) 2:15.5 first (23) 5:20;10:15;12:24;15:1;21:17; 26:4;40:10;44:3,23;48:20;55:25; 57:17;64:17,18;66:18;67:15; 68:5;69:17;70:8;80:22;82:10,12; 88:3 fit (2) 64:23:65:1 fits (1) 50:12 five (4) 46:6:51:2:76:9.10 Florida (2) 82:2;87:25 flying (1) 29:1 FOI (2) 34:11:35:7 follow (1) 48:5 following (3) 3:3:22:20:91:12 follows (1) 27:14 force (1) 25:18 forced (3) 66:8.14:72:16 foregoing (1) 92:7 foremost (1) 26:4 foretell (1) 85:14 form (3) 48:21;76:11;77:7 formed (1) 14:9 forms (8) 48:22,24,25;57:20,21,22;75:5,6

forth (3)

42:2;65:3;84:24

forward (5) 48:1,17:52:19:65:3:86:7 found (16) 19:15;25:12,22;26:19;36:7; 37:12;42:19;49:8,13;73:8;79:10; 80:2,15,20:89:6,12 four (6) 3:13;7:15,21;20:21;21:8;76:1 Fourth (2) 2:12.5:36:1 Freedom (1) 35:6 FRIEDMANN (14) 1:.5;10:9;38:9;39:8;42:8;44:11; 45:15;46:7;48:9;63:21;81:14; 82:20:84:7:87:18 Friedmann's (1) 38:20 front (1) 88:25 fullest (2) 18:6:20:8 function (11) 6:25;7:6,18;18:3;22:19;26:7; 27:18;28:12,24;35:13;79:22 functional (44) 6:7:8:14:10:11,25:12:2,12,14, 24;14:4,13;15:5;16:13,16;17:23; 18:13:19:4,23:25:9,23:26:3,20: 27:4.7.11:29:8.10:30:20:31:12: 33:15:34:19:37:13:38:13:49:13, 22;58:18;73:9;79:12;80:6;82:7; 83:17:84:8,11,17:89:7 functioning (1) 28:15 functions (7) 14:11;17:22;22:5;26:9,11,13; fundamental (1) 73:17 funded (3) 11:21;26:14;80:24 funding (4) 7:24;16:23;26:12,12 funds (3) 22:4,5;81:3 furlough (1) 24:6 further (2) 72:22;92:11 future (7) 41:15,18;52:18;53:8;65:15; 85:15,17 G G1 (1)

gathering (1) 80:4:82:11 General (17)

July 29, 2008 23:3;25:5,6,8,19;27:1;30:17; 39:13:43:6:44:15:47:12:50:9.17: 69:4.6.14.16 general's (1) 70:6 Genesis (1) 22:1 gets (2) 4:9:87:25 gist (1) 11:8 given (4) 18:17;28:4;77:10;81:25 goes (6) 10:9;18:18;53:11,13;88:18; 89:19 good (4) 73:21:84:21:85:3.20 govern (1) 19:14 governing (2) 30:10:58:12 government (51) 6:8;8:1;13:24;14:5,14;15:6;

16:13,17,23,24;17:20,23;18:11, 14,20;19:2,4,13,24;20:17,24; 21:11:22:7,19:25:24:26:3,7,16, 17,18,20;27:2,3,4,6,7,21;28:12, 15,16,23;29:3;31:9.22:32:3: 33:5;49:6;58:25;73:10;79:14; 80:7 governmental (26)

7:1.7.19;8:13.14.25;9:16;12:12; 14:11;16:21;18:2;25:16;27:12; 29:21;30:21;34:20;58:18;79:22; 80:2;82:4,8;83:17;84:9,12; 85:13;88:2

governments (1) 33:18 governor (1) 23:17 grandfather (1) 24:16

granting (1) 24:8 grants (2) 39:17:68:9 great (1) 82:10 greater (1)

39:1 Griffin (1) 20:17 Groom (4)

4:12;40:23;43:7;50:25 Groom's (4)

3:21;43:7;44:15;65:4 group (1) 8:5

guarantee (2) 45:16;46:4 guess (2) 46:6;78:14

2:5.5

77:6

gave (2)

guidance 80:4	e (1)
80:4 guy (1)	
57:3	
	Н
abeas (1)
9;9	
nairs (1)	ř
36:8 nanded (4)
23:24	
nandle (1	l)
1:21	(4)
nandling 37:17	(1)
nands (2	
17:12;18:	
nappen (32:21	1)
72.21 nappy (2)
6:6;62:1	1
Hardema 21:9	ın (1)
IAYNIE I	(3)
2:16.5;92	:3,18.5
near (10)	
	25;33:20;37:2;55:24; 6,20;78:25;79:1
eard (1)	
:1	(# m)
nearing ([10) :20;10:17;11:23;14:1;
	120,10.17,11.23,14.1,
nearsay	(4)
l:8,19,22 neld (11)	
	:10;48:7;49:22;70:4,8;
71:20;72:	2;83:2,15,16
nelp (3)	0.70.10
21:15;37: nereby (*	
92:4	'
here's (1)
78:18 hockey (.	2)
79:20;88:	
hold (3)	
47:15;58: holding (
18:19;60:	
home (1)	
59:20 honest <i>(*</i>	1)
honest (* 81:7	'7
Honor (5	
	5:17,18;6:14;7:13;
10:2,14;1 10:36:10:	2:4;31:1;32:17;34:5, ;37:24;38:6;39:21;
41:25;43	4,21;44:2;45:9,13,25;
10.6 10 6	1.12.52.0.52.12.20.

62:9,16:63:2,3:64:22:65:8; 68:23;69:2;70:25;72:9,22;73:1; 79:3;82:9,18;83:9;84:20;85:23; 86:3,10;89:10;90:23;91:1,10 Honorable (2) 1:.5;3:2 Honor's (2) 52:21;84:24 hope (1) 46:19 hopefully (1) 77:22 hours (1) 29:18 house (2) 31:10:35:15 housed (1) 32:13 houses (1) 36:18 housing (2) 7:7:35:12 Humane (2) 8:4:16:8

1

ice (2) 79:20:88:7 idea (1) 62:22 identification (2) 42:15:62:8 identified (3) 43:8;44:17;50:20 identifies (1) 41:5 identifying (1) 41:18 identity (1) 21:3 III (1) 2:10.5 immaterial (1) 46:21 immediately (2) 29:23:90:14 Immigration (1) 33:9 impact (2) 27:25;53:7 impending (2) 54:20;65:14 impermissible (1) 72:6 implementing (2) 24:1.4 important (3) 8:11;66:6;80:21 INC (3) 1:.5;2:17;71:13 incarcerating (1)

incident (1) 60:12 include (7) 14:20;16:22;18:11;38:14;54:7, 14:64:11 included (1) 38:8 includes (2) 38:17.21 including (4) 51:5:64:3,7:76:18 inconsistent (1) 68:19 incredibly (1) 8:11 independent (1) 13:2 independently (1) 15:21 indicate (2) 28:1:53:2 indicated (2) 5:20:45:25 indicia (1) 14:12 Individually (1) indoors (1) 75:1 industry (1) 19:9 informal (2) 5:25:9:11 information (26) 3:10;35:7;42:25;45:12;51:17, 19;52:10,12;53:4,10,23;54:1,8, 16;56:20;59:10,23;60:6,11,14; 61:9;65:9,19;69:25;81;22;85:24 in-house (2) 40:25;42:5 initial (4) 6:16:10:5:16:12;49:11 initially (1) 70:4 injunctions (1) 59:2 injunctive (2) 49:18;50:1 Inmate (3) 11:10,10:24:2 inmates (12) 24:5,7,9;31:10,25;32:12,13; 33:1,3,4,6;34:7 inquired (1) 25:15 insisting (1) 27:2 inspect (11) 30:3;39:17;48:2;57:17;62:24;

73:14;74:6,18;75:5;76:8;77:6

16:7;29:17,24;75:12;77:1,17

inspection (6)

instance (1)

44:2 instead (2) 21:9:69:10 intend (1) 18:19 intentionally (2) 9:1:18:21 interested (2) 74:13;92;15 interpret (2) 18:8:58:9 interpretation (1) 85:9 interpretations (1) 82:25 interpreted (2) 39:24;40:5 intertwined (1) 28:2 into (19) 10:19;13:10;15:17;21:3;22:21; 35:25;36:2;52:25;53:5,9,10; 58:15,19;66:20;67:6,17;68:5; 86:13.13 investigation (1) 69:6 investigations (1) 49:7 Investments (1) 71:13 involve (1) 68:21 involved (5) 35:5;69:2;85:8,10;88:6 involvement (7) 7:25;16:24;26:16,17,18;27:21; 28:16 involving (4) 38:23;50:5;53:16;64:14 iota (1) 60:13 irrelevant (2) 81:17.21 issue (22) 4:15;5:8;13:7,7;15:1;20:23; 27:6,21;28:13,22,25;30:22; 39:12;68:5;70:1,25;76:9,10; 82:13;83:22;90:9,17 issued (4) 49:7,25;71:4,15 issues (12) 12:10:14:20;31:4;36:19;77:2; 78:14;79:12;81:1;83:20,21; 88:22;91:2 issuing (2) 49:18:67:25 J

jail (6) 8:17,18;11:5,6,9;77:15 jails (1) 82:14

48:5,19;51:13;52:9;53:12,20;

54:12;55:21;56:10;57:17;60:8;

January (6)
64:7;75:19,21;76:17;77:8,16
JASON (1)
2:11.5
JC (1)
71:12
JENNIFER (3)
2:16.5;92:3,18.5
jenniferhayine@comcastnet (1)
2:19.5
JENNINGS (2)
1:.5;2:17
job (1)
73:21
Joe (1)
10:2
join (1)
72:6
JOSEPH (1)
2:10.5
judgment (3)
49:18;83:5;90:3
judgments (2)
59:3;72:7
judicial (2)
85:15,16
July (5)
1:.5;3:3;5:6;62:5;91:13
jurisdiction (2)
16:1;71:18
justification (3)
16:15;81:11;88:19
justifications (1)
85:22
justified (1) 89:12
juveniles (1)
34:6
J 11V
\mathbf{K}

keep (5)
45:11,11;68:2,15;70:18
keeping (1)
26:6
key (1)
39:21
kind (6)
6:24;36:2;57:9,23;62:3;82:1
knew (2)
81:6;87:6
knowing (2)
86:15;87:4
Knoxville (1)
20:18
KRAMER (1)
2:5
L

KB (1) 71:12

10	rica David	ise
	71:18	
-	land (2)	
-	25:13;32:6	
	language (7)	_
	17:10;20:5,6,9;30:16,18;63:2: large (5)	5
	22:3;46:2;64:20;70:5;92:19.5	
	last (6)	
	11:23;12:8;44:24;62:15;72:24	ŀ;
	77:9	
	later (3) 71:3,17,21	
	law (29)	
	6:5;15:14,19,23;17:4;20:14;	
	25:3;26:1;28:20;39:20,23;47:	9;
	56:24;57:24;58:8,9,9;59:10; 60:19;61:6;67:23;73:13;80:14	i
	17;83:4,11;85:10;87:11;90:2	٠,
	laws (1)	
	35:4	
	lawsuit (3) 45:1,12;47:18	
	lawsuits (1)	
	41:4	
	lawyering (1)	
	80:9	
	Lawyers (6) 3:5;12:9;13:1;80:9;87:16;89:1	14
	lawyer's (1)	
	77:19	
	lead (1)	
	3:12 least (2)	
	3:8;74:14	
į	Lebanon (1)	
	86:25	
	LEGAL (12) 1:.5;4:12;45:1;46:25;80:12;	
-	81:11;83:21;87:9,17,24;88:19	, ;
	90:9	
-	legally (1)	
	89:12 legible (1)	
	76:12	
	legislation (1)	
	8:7	
	legislature (8) 7:10;15:24;17:2;21:21;22:2;	
	25:25;28:18;58:1	
	legitimate (6)	
	80:12;84:6,25;85:22,25;88:9	
	less (1) 24:10	
	letter (9)	
	21:24;38:3,10;44:24;49:11;	
	57:24;64:8;74:23;76:19	
	level (7) 7:24,24;11:25,25;16:22;28:15	; ·
	85:19	,
	liaison (1)	
	27:16	
	liberally (2)	

28:6;89:19

	lieu (2)
	75:9,10
	light (2)
	41:19;81:23
	likely (3) 45:15,23;48:14
	limited (4)
	5:22,22;15:25;63:24
	list (3)
	52:22;54:24;56:10
	lists (2)
	41:4;76:13
	litigating (1)
	27:5
;	litigation (40)
	11:16,17;38:15,23;40:11,18,24;
	41:1,6,15,18;43:22;48:13;51:5,
	16;52:3,14,17,18;53:17;54:19,
	19,20;56:1;57:4;60:2,14,21;
	62:7;63:22,24;64:21;65:14,15;
	68:15;69:6,15;76:14,24;77:7
	litigations (3)
	50:5;53:8;64:3
	6:24;30:19
	local (5)
	10:24;33:4,18;34:5,25
ļ	location (1)
	64:12
	log (2)
	44:13;88:12
	logical (1)
	87:13
	long (2)
	27:23;76:2
	look (16)
	6:1;7:3,15;18:16;22:24;36:10, 13;51:23;54:4;58:8,15;75:16;
	87:23,24;88:19,24
	looked (2)
	13:3;73:24
	looking (7)
	36:4;53:18,24;54:25;55:18;
	59:16;77:18
	looks (4)
	51:22,24;52:7;77:21
	loss (1)
	41:10
	lost (1)
	64:15
	lot (5)
	4:12;6:19;45:5;77:22;79:11
	M
	machine (1)
	• •

M machine (1) 92:6 maintain (1) 22:17 maintained (2) 40:25;65:13 maintenance (2) 24:19;26:5

```
major (2)
12:10;13:4
makes (7)
4:12;21:18;26:5;30:5,18;74:25;
75:17
makeup (2)
22:11;36:25
making (4)
18:15;30:10;63:9;77:4
MALONE (1)
2:12
manage (2)
41:14;52:16
managed (1)
14:8
management (2)
24:20:77:15
manner (1)
42:24
mark (3)
5:10;62:9,24
Marshal's (2)
31:24;33:8
materials (2)
45:20;56:23
matter (7)
3:1;15:25;22:8;25:17;26:8,18;
60:11
matters (2)
6:3;22:7
may (18)
18:25;24:7;29:18,21;30:9,12;
43:16;45:5,22,23,24;51:22;
60:16;72:6;74:5;75:4;79:8;
87:22
Maybe (6)
21:7;52:3,5,5;54:2;78:19
mean (11)
9:16;31:18:39:25:40:6:46:16:
73:1,19;75:14,15;79:25;81:15
means (1)
74:6
meat (1)
57:10
mechanisms (1)
69:11
meet (2)
12:3;24:24
memorandum (2)
6:18;89:5
Memphis (5)
2:6;17:4;25:10,19;59:13
mentioned (2)
86:4,8
merely (2)
17:18;65:18
merit (1)
71:25
met (3)
10:16,20;12:3
might (8)
```

lacked (1)

3:18;13:11;46:9;53:2,7,9;62:21;

86:5

minute (1)
37:6
misunderstood (1)
57:14
moment (3)
11:3;51:3;90:15
monetary (1)
57:13
money (5)
51:7;52:4;64:5,9;76:15
monies (1)
76:19
monitor (2)
19:20;27:19
Monroe (1)
2:5.5
moral (1) 84:3
more (12)
7:6;24:10;30:19;31:17;45:4;
46:1;52:12;53:3;60:18;73:20;
82:3;88:1
morning (1)
90:21
most (7) 13:14;15:3;22:4;48:17;59:19;
74:13,25
mostly (2)
15:15;26:12
motion (3)
5:5;90:15;91:3
move (4)
4:14;43:19;48:17;65:23
much (2)
21:2;27:19
municipal (3)
17:20;39:18;49:6
municipality (3)
19:11;35:1;77:14
must (22)
13:9;15:3,8;17:8,20;20:2;23:1,
6,10;24:22,23;28:5;29:7,23;
30:12;42:16;57:14;74:6;75:11
82:22;85:13;90:13
myself (2)

N

51:23;87:16

name (3)
33:23;52:2;64:11
named (1)
50:19
names (3)
51:10,12;64:11
Nashville (5)
1:.5;2:13,18.5;59:13;74:7
national (1)
24:24
nation's (1)
6:8
nature (4)
29:25;42:22;60:11;90:18

	nearly (1) 25:18
	necessarily (1)
	7:20 necessary (1)
	4:18
į	necessity (1)
I	25:17
I	need (11)
İ	4:8,14;30:21;31:5;33:13;54:2;
	58:21;76:4;83:20,21;91:6
-	needed (1) 44:12
_	needs (2)
_	4:15;76:22
	negligence (1)
	83:5
_	neither (1)
***************************************	19:17
	NEWS (2) 1:.5;87:18
***************************************	next (6)
	12:13;50:3;51:1;62:25;69:20;
	89:15
-	nice (1)
*	89:11
*	Ninth (4)
_	71:12,14,20;72:2
-	Nobody's (1) 86:15
	non (2)
_	16:15;75:13
***************************************	none (1)
	47:18
	nonexhaustive (1)
	16:19
_	nor (4) 19:17;39:12;92:13,14
***************************************	normal (2)
	29:18;69:11
	North (3)
	1:.5;2:12.5,17.5
	Notary (2)
	92:3,19
	note (1) 4:25
	notes (1)
	85:5
	notice (4)
	4:2;5:8;90:16;91:4
	number (21)
	30:17;40:3,9;44:23;45:6;55:1,
	19;59:20,21;61:16,17;62:25;
	64:12;75:4,22;76:1,9,9;79:10; 88:9
	numbered (1)
	62:25
	numbers (1)
	59:15
	nunc (1)
	72:1

0 objecting (1) 27:9 objection (1) 4:25 objections (1) 86:1 obligation (2) 9:2;36:7 obligations (3) 18:22;29:19;49:9 obtain (3) 16:11;59:24;60:20 obvious (1) 82:1 Obviously (2) 45:24;72:15 occurrence (1) 60:13 occurring (1) 69:8 offered (3) 10:19;41:19;63:12 offering (1) 39:10 office (3) 31:24;33:8,9 officers (1) 22:16 officer's (1) 59:11 offices (1) 74:7 official (3) 18:10;20:16;21:25 officials (1) 87:6 offsite (1) 45:17 Once (2) 34:22;54:15 one (27) 5:2;7:16,21;11:2;12:11;13:7,7; 16:22;21:8;38:21;44:23;46:4; 47:15,16;48:15;55:8,19;58:23; 60:13;61:16;75:3;82:10,12;85:2; 88:8,9;89:6 ones (5) 6:4,4;10:17;21:6;34:18 only (7) 34:12;37:18;41:21;42:9;52:15; 58:1;61:4 Open (8) 14:6,21;17:3,17;21:23;28:20; 56:14;73:13 opened (1) 60:12 opening (7)

~~	
_	operated (4)
-	11:20;14:8;37:4,7 operates (1)
	18:13
***************************************	operating (4)
-	8:18;34:23,24;82:6 operations (2)
***************************************	35:10;77:15
	opining (1)
	13:17 opinion (3)
	8:11;25:5;30:16
	opposing (1) 47:8
	order (43)
-	38:19;39:14;40:7;56:5;61:14,
***************************************	17;66:4,9,10,15,21,25;67:7,10, 13;68:1,7,16;70:20;71:4,7,16,19,
***************************************	21,22,24;72:14,15,17;73:7,10;
	74:1;75:8;76:2,5,22;78:7,9,10,
***************************************	13,13;86:6;90:4 ordered (1)
	66:11
-	orders (11)
***************************************	66:25;67:15,19,25;68:10;70:16; 72:19;74:5;75:12;85:24;86:23
	ordinance (1)
*	20:15
***************************************	organization (2) 46:25;47:1
-	originated (1)
***************************************	74:11
	originating (1) 45:3
***************************************	others (2)
-	14:12;15:20 otherwise (7)
	14:17;39:19,23;56:20;67:25;
***************************************	70:22;71:6
-	ought (2) 73:6;90:10
***************************************	ours (1)
-	11:17
***************************************	out (22) 6:20;9:1;10:4;13:11;46:19;
	49:10;51:24;53:25;59:6;72:20;
-	73:18;74:16,18;75:2;80:14,18; 81:21;86:11;89:4;90:20,21;91:7
1	outcome (2)
	13:8;60:12
	outcomes (2) 41:5;52:23
	outlined (2)
	40:12;50:17
	outside (1) 41:8
- 9	over (10)
	6:21;11:8;16:25;24:19;28:17,
	6:21;11:8;16:25;24:19;28:17, 17;31:3;39:6;57:11;83:8
	6:21;11:8;16:25;24:19;28:17,

operate (2) 7:2;8:10

40:2,9,12,20;66:24;67:24;81:15

oversight (1) 23:7 overturn (1) 71:6 own (3) 6:8:7:2;37:19 owned (4) 21:19:32:6:37:3:75:20 ownership (1) 77:14

P page (2) 62:2,5 paid (6) 22:12,12:39:6:46:1:64:13:76:20 pain (2) 77:23:82:11 paper (2) 5:5:62:18 papers (4) 47:22;73:11:74:9;75:23 paragraph (4) 58:23,23,24:78:2 parole (1) 24:2 part (3) 22:3:86:20:91:3 particular (5) 28:25;61:9;67:8;71:11;76:24 particularly (4) 65:3;66:13;85:3,12 parties (11) 3:9:5:19.24:14:3:15:15,22; 17:12;43:2;64:11;88:20;92:12 parts (1) 3:11 party (5) 20:25;42:20;56:20;68:14;70:11 party's (1) 15:18 past (1) 47:7 pay (5) 7:8;8:23;57:19;75:18;87:22 paying (1) 87:21 payment (12) 38:24,25;48:22,25:50:6:51:6: 57:13;64:5,9;74:14;75:6;76:15 pending (5) 41:15,17;53:8;69:8,10 people (5) 7:8;35:15;87:17,21;91:6 per (1) 30:13 percent (1) 10:23 perfect (1) 59:10

performance (3) 19:21:23:14.16 performing (1) 17:19 performs (2) 7:1;18:2 period (2) 13:21;46:6 person (5) 11:12;27:17,21;30:2,4 personnel (2) 59:11,18 person's (1) 27:18 persuaded (1) 30:15 petition (5) 6:16;12:6;36:14;69:9;90:4 Petitioner (5) 1:.5:2:3:5:17:6:23:90:6 photographs (2) 30:6.11 photostats (2) 30:6.11 phrase (4) 39:22,25;40:6;64:18 place (1) 26:14 placed (1) 76:23 placing (1) 24:9 plaintiff (45) 3:13;6:9,11;13:9,18;14:1,4,16, 24;15:2,10;16:11;20:22;21:6,7, 21,23;28:14;30:23;33:21;39:7; 46:10,11;48:2;54:25;55:19; 62:23;73:11,12;74:5,12,18,22; 75:4,16;76:4,5,6,11,23;77:5,5, 19;78:17;83:24 plaintiff's (2) 38:3:74:4 plan (2) 21:1:23:18 pleading (1) 58:20 pm (1) 91:13 point (19) 3:11:4:9.10:6:5.20:21:12:34:21: 36:15,21:50:9:52:21:59:6:61:11: 80:18;81:21;86:4,11;89:3,4 pointed (2) 21:17;49:10 policy (1) 89:17 position (7) 10:14;13:24;41:17;56:3;66:8,

14;87:9

30:7:45:22

possible (4)

possession (2)

18:6;20:8;28:6;62:21

possibly (1) 47:19 posthoc (1) 71:3 potential (1) 41:18 potentially (1) 46:2 power (1) 72:5 powers (1) 23:20 preempt (1) 67:20 preemption (3) 62:4;68:4;70:25 preparation (1) 56:22 prepared (2) 40:17;52:17 present (7) 25:4;38:25;39:5;49:8,19;50:7; 55:4 presented (6) 6:5;10:18;20:22;84:19,19,21 pretty (1) 10:4 prevent (1) 70:11 previously (3) 6:15;17:3;28:19 primarily (1) 25:24 principle (1) 72:3 principles (2) 15:14.23 print (2) 51:9;54:7 printed (1) 52:1 prints (2) 51:8:54:3 prior (1) 71:23 PRISON (36) 1:.5;7:11;8:9,10;19:6,15,16,18, 19;22:22,25;23:19,19,21,25; 24:14,17,18,18,19,20,25;26:21; 27:18;32:5;34:24;35:14;36:15, 25;46:25;53:16;79:18;81:8; 82:6;87:17;89:1 prisoner (1) 64:14 prisoners (8) 16:6,8;22:18;26:7;27:22;34:17; 35:16:36:20 prisons (15) 16:6,7,7;22:17;26:6;27:16; 28:11;31:23,24;32:6;33:9;34:10, 14,23;82:13 Private (27)

18:12,24,25;19:1,6,9,15,16,17; 22:2,13,15,25;27:5,8;80:6; 82:14;84:10;87:21;88:3 privately (1) 21:19 privilege (22) 14:18;39:11;40:1;41:24;42:7, 13,18,21;47:13;50:10,16,18; 56:13;57:7;60:19;61:4,7;63:15, 18;69:19;70:7;85:22 privileged (24) 30:2;38:17;41:7,25;43:1,3; 44:13,16;47:9,19;51:19,20; 52:11,11;54:8,14;60:25;63:7; 65:6,10,20;69:21;85:24;88:12 privileges (1) 56:23 pro (1) 72:1 probably (4) 28:8:46:14:47:24:89:19 probative (2) 20:23:81:1 problem (1) 47:6 procedural (1) 68:25 Procedure (9) 40:16;42:19;58:6;61:23;67:17, 20:68:8:69:23:70:17 procedures (2) 24:1.4 proceeding (1) 3:6 **PROCEEDINGS (5)** 1:.5;3:4;58:13;91:12;92:7 process (1) 41:11 processes (1) 53:6 produce (21) 9:2:11:13:37:16:49:3.23:59:8: 66:10,12;73:7;78:3,7,13;80:11; 81:6,12,13;85:21;86:16,23; 88:13:89:10 produced (10) 4:20;8:20;42:24;47:10;60:22; 61:16;64:25;80:25;86:17;88:12 producing (3) 30:14;43:13;86:1 product (29) 14:17;38:16;39:10;40:1,13,14; 42:12,17,21;44:4,9;49:2;50:16, 18;52:13;54:22;56:12;61:9;63:7, 15,18;65:5,7,12,17,22;69:19,21; 70:7 production (1) 56:14 prohibition (1) 32:20 promulgate (1) 19:13 7:10;8:1,8,10;16:21;17:16,16; proof (11)

perform (1) 24:7

Davidson County Chancery Court - Vol. Honorable Claudia Bonnyman July 29, 2008

3:9:4:15:5:21:7:14:9:9:10:18: 15:2;16:14;22:9,10;36:24 proper (1) 20:13 properly (1) 61:4 property (5) 25:14:35:9:53:16:64:14.15 proposal (1) 60:23 Proposals (2) 23:5:60:9 propose (3) 21:1,7,14 proposed (2) 23:9;62:18 proposes (1) 23:8 proposition (2) 39:13:40:4 protected (18) 14:17:38:16:39:9.25:41:22.23: 43:1;49:1;50:15;54:21;56:22; 63:6;65:5,11,16,21;69:18;70:7 protection (12) 40:14;42:11,18,22;43:3;44:4,8; 50:19;61:8;63:16;69:22;70:8 protective (1) 68:10 protects (1) 40:16 prove (1) 23:10 provide (16) 13:23;15:7,12;19:18;21:14; 22:22;23:6,8,9;27:16;28:1;44:3; 72:25;74:17;75:14;89:21 provided (9) 23:62:20:75:13:76:22 provides (1) 42:20

15:4:16:9:28:23:30:12:39:19.

providing (5)

14:2;17:21;75:10,10;76:11

provision (1) 23:17 provisions (2) 9:19;24:16

public (101) 4:21;7:19;8:22;9:16,19;11:11; 12:18.23:13:19.25:14:25:15:7. 13;16:2,10;17:3,12,13,17,21; 18:2,5,6,7,10,25;20:1,8,8,10,11; 22:4,5,16;25:7,16,17;26:12;28:4, 5,7,8,9,9,20,24,24;29:4,10,11,12, 13,15,19;30:3;35:2;37:12,25; 38:11;39:16;46:20;49:12;57:24; 58:7,11,14;59:7,25;62:1;66:17, 23;67:2,14,20;68:17;69:1,3,7,12, 13,24,25;70:13,23;75:24;76:7; 78:18;79:7;80:20;81:9;83:15; 86:14;87:7;88:4;89:2,7,17,17; 90:19;92:4,19

publicly (1) 85:7 Publishing (3) 17:5;25:10,20 purpose (5) 14:10;19:8;37:18;84:3;87:18 purposes (7)

25:7.16:28:3.9:29:11:31:13: 54:18

pursuant (19)

15:9:19:22:20:14:21:22:24:14: 32:1,3,14,16,23;33:4,7;35:10,11; 36:21;61:18;67:16;68:8;70:16 put (10)

9:12;10:22;53:4,5;65:2;70:24; 74:17;81:22;84:24;89:11

Q

qualifications (1) 23:10 qualifies (1)

40:13 quickly (1) 9:13 quote (11)

39:19,20,22,22;42:22;43:3;64:1, 16;72:3,8;86:24

R

raise (1) 91:5 raised (2) 11:4;31:4 raising (1) 36:22 rather (3) 9:20;51:23;74:15 reach (1) 17:13 reaches (1) 47:5 read (6) 13:1;15:16;63:25;79:17;89:18, 20 ready (2) 6:11:90:25 real (1) 83:19 really (15)

3:12;6:18;7:17;8:23;21:1;46:11, 13,24;73:22;74:2;76:10;77:18; 79:17;82:1,12 rearguing (1)

6:20 reason (6)

13:16;73:8

9:8;12:5;47:16;52:15;67:22; 78:18

reasonable (4) 15:11:30:9;79:8;84:22 reasoning (2)

reasons (4) 50:16;65:2;67:11;85:20 receive (1) 26:11 received (3) 4:23;9:21;20:14 receiving (2) 78:17:81:3 recognize (2) 5:5;86:20 record (17)

4:18:10:22:12:18:15:17:19:25: 20:12,13;22:11;28:7;37:10; 46:20;59:14,25;78:18;85:7;87:7;

90:5 recorded (1)

92:5

records (99) 4:21:8:19.20,22:9:3:11:6.10.11: 12:23;13:19,20,25,25;14:7,15, 21,24,25;15:13;16:2,11,11,16; 17:11,12,13,17;18:5,7,9,12;19:1; 20:2,9,10;21:23;25:8;28:4,5,7, 10;29:12,12,12,13,15,17,19,22, 23,25;30:1,3,7,9;34:12,13;35:2; 36:12;37:12,25;38:11,20;39:9, 16,18,19;56:14;57:24;58:7,11,

14;59:7,24;62:1;64:16;66:17,23; 67:3,14,21;68:17;69:1,13;70:13,

23:73:13:76:7:79:7.24:81:9: 82:24:83:15:84:1:86:1,14:88:4:

89:18:90:19 record's (2)

9:16:69:25 records' (1) 9:19

recover (1) 82:20 redact (2)

59:15.16 refusal (3) 82:16;87:3,10

refuse (1) 59:8 refused (1) 13:22

refusing (1) 87:9

regard (2) 14:25:15:1 regarding (4)

24:16;55:25;56:3;77:14

regardless (5) 29:24;35:21;39:3;64:8;87:1

regards (1) 5:25 regulate (2) 19:14,20 regulating (1) 19:8 regulation (2)

16:25;28:16 regulations (1)

19:14 rehashing (1) 6:21 rejected (1) 84:20 related (2) 37:17;55:3 relationship (1) 19:2 relative (1) 92:13

24:2,6;27:24;57:23 releases (6)

48:21,25;57:20,22;75:5;78:3 relevant (2)

16:20;46:21 relied (1) 25:12

release (4)

relief (1) 50:1 remain (1) 85:19

renewals (1) 77:12

repeatedly (1) 83:1

reply (1) 32:18 report (1) 62:19

Reporter (2) 23:3:92:4

REPORTER'S (1) 92:1 Reporting (2)

1:.5;2:15.5 reports (2) 49:6.7 represent (1) 49:24

representation (1)

87:20 request (33)

4:16;9:17,18;11:14;12:6,15; 13:19;34:12,14;36:12;38:20,21; 48:20,21;49:5;50:3,13,14;51:1; 59:12;63:21,22;64:21,23;65:1; 69:3,13,15;70:1,12;74:4;78:24; 85:5

requested (10)

21:24;29:13,15,22;30:1,11; 38:9;45:15;73:12;76:8

requesting (8)

11:16;44:24;45:25;53:14,14; 64:1,1,18

requests (1) 48:15

require (5) 20:2;27:15;28:3;30:13;53:25

required (12)

13:6;15:6;29:16;43:15;44:6.10: 49:3;64:25;65:18;66:16;68:17;

requirement (2) 67:21:70:21 requirements (3) 67:2;69:1;90:19 requires (3) 23:15:47:9:82:25 requiring (4) 68:1,11,14;70:18 research (2) 13:3;15:20 resolved (1) 90:11 respect (19) 33:16:34:10:35:8;36:17;46:18; 47:8;50:8;57:10;58:23,24;59:2, 5;61:1;78:1;79:12;80:21;86:11; 88:15;90:9 respectful (1) 47:14 respectfully (3) 12:5:21:4:84:23 respond (4) 43:16;54:25;55:20:62:12 responded (1) 6:17 Respondent (2) 1:.5;2:9.5 responds (1) 42:8 response (4) 42:9;49:11;62:4;69:15 responsibilities (2) 18:23:23:23 responsibility (1) 17:21 responsive (1) 50:14 restrictive (2) 24:10,11 resulted (6) 38:24;50:6;51:6;64:4;74:13; 76:15 resume (1) 23:18 revealing (1) 42:25 revenue (1) 22:4 reverse (2) 68:1:71:5 review (2) 6:10;80:17 reviewed (2) 23:7:86:9 revoking (1) 24:9 right (17) 12:25;22:10;30:3,4;37:1,22; 38:2;39:17;46:15;47:6,20;56:7; 58:17;62:10,17;73:15;88:25 rink (2) 79:21;88:7

rise (1) 91:11 risk (3) 41:14,17;52:19 road (1) 36:9 role (2) 43:25:44:1 Rudder (1) 56:15 Rule (15) 40:15;42:20;43:14,25;44:2,7; 56:19;61:19,21,23;68:16;70:17, 17,20;77:3 ruled (6) 3:8;30:20;71:17;84:10;85:23; 86:21 rules (17) 19:13;30:9;42:19;48:8;56:17, 18,21;58:6,12;67:16,19;68:8,25; 69:22;70:11,20;72:18 ruling (17) 4:7,24;5:3;31:6,11;34:19;38:12; 48:6:49:25:68:18:75:25:77:11: 78:22;83:9;84:16,17;86:6 rulings (2) 49:17;59:2 run (3) 23:11:48:14;82:13 running (2) 8:5;88:7 runs (1) 46:24 Rutter (1) 47:11

S

safe (1)

scope (2)

64:20:65:1

16:7

safety (2) 24:25:29:4 same (4) 30:6:40:6:76:1:87:5 sample (1) 43:13 sanctions (2) 64:6;76:16 satisfied (2) 40:20:43:5 satisfy (2) 42:17;74:22 saved (1) 46:5 saw (1) 56:17 saying (6) 11:12;36:20;51:20;55:9;60:20; 73:10 scheme (1) 27:14

scratch (1) 60:3 screen (2) 51:9;54:7 screens (3) 51:8:52:1:54:4 scrutiny (1) 17:18 seal (22) 38:19:39:4:14:40:7:56:4:66:4. 11,20;67:1,6,7,9,12,18;68:2,7, 11;70:19;72:13,14;75:12;85:25 sealed (3) 75:9:78:4.6 sealing (5) 76:2,4,5,21;78:8 Second (10) 1:.5;13:15;47:16;48:20;55:9; 65:24;67:4,22,22;70:24 secrecy (1) 47:2 Section (9) 14:2;15:10;16:5;19:22;20:9; 21:22;23:13;25:13;39:21 Security (2) 59:15.21 seek (2) 67:25:71:9 seeking (3) 30:23:46:8:71:5 seeks (2) 13:18:19:10 seem (1) 3:11 seems (2) 5:24:74:21 segregate (1) 74:19 sense (2) 74:25:75:17 sentence (4) 24:5,8,9;64:18 sentencing (1) 27:25 separate (3) 33:13;51:12,15 separated (1) 12:10 sequence (1) 19:6 serious (1) 20:3 served (1) 5:9 serves (1) 19:3 Services (14)

1:.5;15:4,5,6;17:6,19;19:10,19;

22:23;23:6,10;25:11;28:1,22

serving (2)

14:10;35:13

7:12;10:4;48:7;65:3

set (4)

settle (1) 47:1 settlement (42) 38:18;39:2,13;40:6;41:19;42:4; 43:20;48:22,24;52:25;53:1; 55:24;56:3,4;57:25;58:2,5,15; 61:13,20:62:18:65:25:66:1,3,19, 21;67:1,4,5,9,12,18;68:6,15,22; 72:11:75:6,7,11,13:76:25:78:6 settlements (4) 61:14:64:5:74:15:76:16 several (4) 3:6;66:24;69:17;71:1 shall (6) 16:8:30:4:42:22:56:24:64:15: 90:4 shared (1) 3:10 Shelby (3) 34:3.4.16 short (3) 43:12.13:89:25 shorthand (1) 92:6 show (11) 12:17;14:12,23;47:7;52:2; 53:15;57:1;63:6,17;81:2;82:23 showing (9) 6:7;16:12;44:9;51:4;64:3; 76:13:83:23:84:5;86:2 shown (1) 76:6 shows (1) 52:4 side (5) 25:18;28:13;47:23;73:4;77:25 SIGNED (1) 92:16 significant (1) 81:24 silence (1) 78:24 Silverdale (2) 32:25:34:7 similar (7) 38:22;41:20;49:7;50:4;63:23; 64:2,19 simple (2) 65:8;85:8 simply (5) 12:2;13:6;65:9;82:13;83:23 single (4) 17:9;18:17;46:4;49:25 sit (1) 55:22 sitting (1) 47:13 situation (5) 25:22;47:14;70:14;71:14;81:5 six (4) 46:6;50:2;55:1,9 size (1)

29:25

21;66:2,22;67:10;72:12;76:20,

skill (1) 92:5 smeared (1) 81:15 Social (2) 59:15,21 Society (1) 8:4 sole (1) 14:10 somebody (5) 45:6;60:20;79:5;80:5;81:5 somebody's (1) 59:20 somehow (3) 68:25:70:11:81:8 sometime (1) 87:1 sometimes (1) 88:24 somewhere (1) 47:25 sorry (1) 55:22 sort (2) 51:4;74:24 sorts (1) 44:14 sought (5) 14:1.16,24:85:7:87:7 speaking (1) 3:19 specific (9) 12:16;42:14;43:21;48:7;50:17; 63:20,25;70:1,17 specifically (8) 38:7;41:16;48:11,13,23;50:11, 20;72:2 spectrum (1) 46:3 split (1) 36:8 spreadsheet (5) 38:21:51:4:60:2.15:77:3 spreadsheets (8) 50:4;51:25;53:15;59:6;63:23; 64:2,19;76:13 Square (1) 1:.5 stance (1) 44:5 stand (1) 40:4 standard (6) 40:19;43:4;44:4;82:21;87:3; 88:16 standards (5) 23:12;24:24;25:1,9;42:16 standing (1) 46:3 **State (86)** 4:23;7:8;10:24,24;11:1,11,13, 19,21;14:8;15:19;16:4;17:19;

19:8,11,17,19;21:10,11;22:2,8, 20;23:2,15,18;24:15,24;27:2,15; 28:2,11,21,23;31:8,10,21;32:7, 15,16,23;33:12,17;34:6,25,25; 35:8,9,10,12,18,19,21,24;36:13; 37:13,17;38:13;39:17,20,23; 45:3;49:4,5;58:8,9;66:12,20; 67:23;69:4,5,14,16;71:2,5,9,10, 17,21,25;72:4,13;77:13;78:9; 83:18:91:4:92:19.5 stated (7) 13:1;25:6;37:24;47:24;50:21; 77:10;86:12 statements (2) 15:17;87:2 states (10) 16:5:20:6;25:13;31:9,11;32:1,2, 18;73:8;82:13 State's (2) 19:9:35:15 stating (2) 75:8;87:13 statute (11) 17:14;22:8;25:18;30:18;32:4, 21,23;58:14;79:4;81:17;87:19 statutes (1) 23:16 statutory (2) 19:19:27:14 stay (2) 23:23:51:2 step (2) 12:13;89:15 stepping (1) 71:3 Steve (3) 40:22;43:7;44:15 still (7) 45:21,21,23,23;72:19,20;81:2 stipulation (2) 37:3,8 storage (1) 45:17 stored (4) 45:11,16,17,74:24 straight (1) 82:5 straightforward (2) 77:22;85:8 strategy (1) 41:11 strict (1) 82:22 strong (1)

28:25

27:25

stuff (8)

strongly (1)

82:16;89:10

subject (24)

35:3,8;36:9,13;61:7;80:11;

14:6,21,22;15:25;18:25;35:1;

21;80:13;81:8;83:15;87:7;88:4 submit (12) 12:4,5:43:5:44:12:46:18:56:25: 60:4,9:79:9:80:8;82:15,19 submitted (5) 9:6:43:6:50:25:63:19:69:13 submitting (1) 70:12 subrogating (1) 74:16 substantial (1) 90:9 suggested (2) 44:12;68:20 suggesting (1) 53:23 suggestion (1) 63:9 Suite (2) 2:5.5.18 suits (2) 39:4:41:20 summaries (8) 38:22;50:4;51:25;52:2;63:23; 64:2,19;76:12 summarizes (2) 51:10,11 summary (2) 22:25:77:6 supervise (1) 19:20 supplemental (3) 6:9.18:10:5 supporting (1) 87:10 supposed (2) 74:2;90:1 Supreme (8) 18:4,8,18;20:12,19;61:20;80:3; 85:11 sure (9) 28:8;51:14;55:23;56:2,11; 57:18;63:11;77:4;78:21 survived (1) 36:16 sustain (1) 9:8 sustained (1) 36:23 SW (4) 16:18;17:15,24;20:18 **SWAN (2)** 92:3,18.5 Swift (6) 58:4,11;62:1;68:18;70:2;73:1 sworn (1) 50:24 synonymous (1) 83:3 system (4) 24:17,18;27:18;77:15 39:11,15;49:15;55:6;56:13;61:8,

T table (2) 47:23:73:5 talk (1) 46:22 talked (1) 81:16 talking (15) 8:3.4.6:9:10:26:13:29:1.2: 33:22:37:20:54:9:60:16:62:6: 72:10:81:10.11 task (1) 65:8 tax (1) 72:7 taxpayers (2) 22:6:26:15 TCA (11) 14:2;15:9;19:12,22;20:9;21:22; 22:20;23:13;25:12;39:20;89:25 Telephone (2) 2:19;59:20 telling (1) 51:18 temporary (1) 26:10 ten (1) 10:23 **TENNESSE (1)** 1:.5 Tennessean (2) 86:25;88:17 Tennessee (93) 1:.5;2:6,13,18.5;7:9;10:25; 11:11,13,19,21;14:9,10,13; 15:20,24,25:16:2,5:17:15:18:4, 5;19:5,11;20:12,19;21:22;22:5, 6,15;23:4;26:5,14,15;27:15; 28:12,21;29:5,11;30:16;31:8,10, 13,20,21;32:4,7,8,12,19,21;33:2, 12,15,17,17,18,22,25;34:6,25; 35:2,8,24;36:12;38:23;39:4; 40:15;42:19;45:3;49:4,5,17; 50:5;51:6;55:3;58:10,14;60:24; 61:19;62:1;64:4;66:17;74:8,11; 76:15;77:13;80:3;83:16,18;84:8; 85:11,12;92:19.5 Tennessee's (8) 32:16;39:16;40:14;66:23;67:2, 20:70:12.23 terminate (1) 23:20 terminology (1) 86:13 terms (3) 6:1:44:6.8 test (1) 20:13

testified (1)

testimony (2)

40:23

50:24:63:12 theory (1) 41:10 thereby (1) 68:12 therefore (2) 29:9;42:6 thereof (1) 30:5 third (2) 35:25:49:4 though (3) 32:11;63:8;86:19 thought (7) 41:11;42:25;53:6;55:16;82:6; 86:17:88:10 thoughtful (2) 79:16;88:21 thoughts (1) 77:20 three (7) 7:17,24;21:5,8;37:19;58:25; 75:22 threshold (6) 26:8;28:13,21,25;29:6;47:5 throughout (1) 46:5 tier (1) 36:1 timely (1) 90:6 TIPPS (1) 2:12 titled (1) 59:1 today (11) 8:17;38:12;40;21;46;4;49;24; 55:5;63:5;64:22;72:19;73:7; 86:5 together (2) 70:21:77:6 told (1) 73:23 tomorrow (1) 90:21 tort (1) 22:7 totality (1) 18:16 totally (1) 70:14 tracking (5) 38:15;40:11;43:22;51:16;63:24 tracks (1) 30:18 Training (2) 34:4,4 transaction (2) 18:10;20:16 TRANSCRIPT (5) 1:.5;79:17;92:7,8,9 transcripts (1) 80:22

treatment (1) 16:8 trial (1) 56:22 tried (2) 9:12;80:23 tries (1) 47:1 true (5) 56:12,13:70:10:85:13:92:8 trump (4) 67:1;69:1;70:21;71:21 trumps (1) 68:17 try (2) 13:12:16:1 trying (9) 11:24;43:24;47:13;51:21,24; 52:6;54:4;57:12;85:4 tunc (1) 72:2 turn (1) 31:3 turned (1) 11:8 two (11) 12:10;16:23;32:24;38:7,14; 58:23;61:17;67:11;72:10;75:4; 78:2 type (7) 24:6;36:23;44:9;63:14;66:1; 67:4:72:11 types (3) 47:3:54:8:64:13

H

ultimately (5) 39:5;51:22;70:3;83:22;85:18 unauthenticated (1) 10:20 uncertain (3) 85:14,16,19 under (46) 16:1,10:18:22;20:1;24:10; 29:19;38:19;39:4,14;40:7;43:14; 44:8;56:4,14,19,21;57:24;59:7; 61:25;66:4,11,16,20,23,25;67:6, 7,9,12,14,18;68:2,7,11;70:19,22; 72:13,14;73:13;74:9;75:25; 81:10,17;85:25;89:24;90:16 understandable (1) 30:19 unintentionally (2) 9:2;18:21 unique (1) 88:1 uniquely (2) 7:6:82:3 unless (7) 19:24;30:1;39:18,22;43:20; 75:8:90:6

unquestionably (2)

9:23:10:15 up (11) 7:12:9:9:21:17:22:16:57:2: 59:19;66:7;74:23;83:20,21; 89:11 uphold (1) 70:11 (8) noau 3:8;6:13;15:12,24;25:12,24; 27:2:90:3 use (6) 13:7;24:21,23;30:16;41:16; 69:24 used (7) 25:14,16;41:13,13;43:11;44:19; 50:23 using (2) 51:16;69:11 utilized (1) 4:6 \mathbf{V} vacated (1) 71:20 vague (1) 68:24 validity (2) 87:8.23

varying (1) 87:1 verdict (8) 48:21,24;52:24,25;57:20,22; 75:5;78:5 verdicts (1) 78:3 versus (14) 10:13:16:18:17:5.11.14:20:5. 18;21:16;61:19;68:18;70:2; 71:13;84:15;86:25 viewing (1) 27:2 void (5) 67:25;71:6,19;72:1,5 VOWELL (2) 1:.5;2:17

W

wages (1) 24:7 wait (1) 43:18 waived (2) 61:1;63:17 waiver (2) 63:14:78:24 WALKER (1) 2:12 wants (9) 7:23;46:17,20,22;47:2;48:9; 72:15;74:16;77:25 Washington (1)

July 29, 2008 1:.5 way (9) 7:17;11:14;12:19,22;48:17; 77:22;78:16;84:25;88:23 ways (2) 8:2:9:12 wealth (1) 53:9 weapons (2) 24:22.23 weeks (1) 3:6 WELBORN (21) 2:10.5;3:20,25;5:18;10:1,2,3; 30:25;31:1,19;32:8;33:25;34:3; 37:2,5,15,23;56:18;82:18;86:11; 91:1 Welborn's (3) 36:17:87:12:88:15 well-established (1) 72:3 Wells (2) 47:11:56:15 well-written (1) 80:17 weren't (2) 80:24,25 West (4) 31:20;32:8;33:22,25 what's (2) 50:22;66:6 whatsoever (2) 36:24.24 Whereupon (1) 91:12 wherever (1) 28:6 Whiteville (2) 32:10;34:16 whole (5) 54:21;65:10,16,21;68:25 willful (9) 15:12:79:6,24;81:10;82:16; 83:2:86:14:87:4:89:3 willfully (5) 79:5;82:23,24;83:24;86:16 willing (1) 81:20

Wilson (1) 71:13 Wisconsin (4)

32:13,15;35:16;36:20 wise (1)

85:22 wisely (1) 36:4 wit (1) 3:4 withholds (1)

56:20 within (8)

24:17;30:24;35:20;38:8;50:13; 64:23;65:1;69:8

Corrections Corporation of Am	CI ICA		July 27, 2000
without (5)	10-7-505D (2)	26.05 (4)	1:.5
6:20;7:9;8:7;42:25;77:22	20:10,10	47:9;56:19;47:9;56:19	615.256.1935 (1)
witnesses (1)	10-7-505G (2)	26C (10)	2:19
5:20	79:5,5	67:17,20;68:9,17;70:18;67:17,	615.313.6000 (1)
wonderful (1)	11th (2)	20;68:9,17;70:18	2:13.5
47:8	62:5,5	29 (4)	
word (4)	12 (2)	1:.5;3:3;91:13,13	7
12:9;62:15;72:24;86:12	62:5,5	2nd (3)	
work (36)	12/31/03 (2)	2:17.5;20:18,18	70 (2)
		2.17.3,20.10,10	79 (2)
14:16;24:6,7;38:16;39:10;40:1,	62:19,19	2	17:24,24
13,14;41:22;42:11,11,12,17,21;	150 (1)	3	_
44:4,9;45:5;49:2;50:15,18;	2:12.5		8
52:13;54:22;56:12;61:9;63:7,15,	159 (2)	3 (2)	
17;64:10;65:5,7,12,17,21;69:19,	17:15,15	77:16,16	80 (1)
21;70:7	15th (1)	3:30 (2)	2:5.5
	10:18		1
writ (1)		91:13,13	821 (2)
69:9	1986 (4)	31 (4)	20:18,18
written (2)	19:7,17,7,17	61:19,21,19,21	87 (2)
9:6;86:13	1991 (2)	32 (1)	17:24,24
wrong (6)	20:19,19	16:5	
46:19;57:5;71:24;84:3;89:2,3	1st (2)	37201 (2)	9
wrote (1)	64:7,7		
	04.7,7	1:.5;2:18.5	
80:16		37219 (1)	901-524-0200 (1)
	2	2:13	2:6.5
${f Y}$		38103 (1)	921 (2)
	2000 (2)	2:6	20:18,18
y'all (1)	77:16,16	3rd (5)	9th (1)
90:25	2002 (33)	17:15,24;16:18;17:15,24	5:6
years (3)	32:12;36:21;38:25;39:5;45:20;		
46:6,6;50:2	46:7;13:21;49:8,19;50:7;55:4;	4	\mathbf{A}
·	64:7;75:19,21;76:17;77:8;80:3;	:	
\$	32:12;36:21;38:25;39:5;45:20;	41-24-101 (6)	ability (4)
	46:7;49:8,19;50:7;55:4;64:7;	19:6;23:13;27:14;19:6;23:13;	80:5,5;92:6,10
\$500 (16)	75:19,21;76:17;77:8;80:3	27:14	
		I .	able (15)
39:1,6;45:4;46:2;57:11;74:14,	2003 (2)	41-24-110 (2)	9:13;36:8;45:5;48:7;52:16;
20;75:3;39:1,6;45:4;46:2;57:11;	71:12,12	22:20,20	59:23;62:24;87:22;36:8;45:5;
74:14,20;75:3	2004 (2)	41-24-113 (2)	48:7;52:16;59:23;62:24;87:22
	17:16,16	24:14,14	above-styled (1)
0	2005 (2)	41-24-115 (4)	3:1
	80:4,4	19:12,22,12,22	absolutely (4)
04 4405 1 (4)		1	60-10-61-15-60-10-61-15
01-1105-I (1)	2007 (5)	42-3-115 (2)	60:10;61:15;60:10;61:15
1:.5	21:24;13:22;77:16;21:24;77:16	25:13,13	accepted (2)
0864 (4)	2008 (5)	44-page (2)	40:21,21
25:5;30:17;25:5;30:17	1:.5;3:3;91:13,13;92:16	89:5,5	access (8)
	207 (2)		17:4;18:6;20:8;28:20;17:4;
. 1	1:.5;2:18	5	18:6;20:8;28:20
4 (46)	213 (1)	W00 (0)	according (6)
1 (10)	16:18	500 (2)	36:19;47:10;74:22;36:19;47:10;
75:19,21;76:17;77:8,16;75:19,	214 (2)	45:7,7	74:22
21;76:17;77:8,16	1:.5;2:17.5	505 (1)	accurate (1)
1/1 (2)	24 (2)	16:3	92:8
62:19,19	21:24,24	505E (2)	accurately (1)
	244 (1)	90:3,3	15:19
1:00 (1)			
3:3	16:18	565 (2)	aclarke@borodandkramercom (1)
10-7-501 (1)	256-1935 (1)	17:15,15	2:7
13:19	1:.5	577 (2)	acquired (2)
10-7-503 (6)	26 (6)	62:2,2	25:14,14
21:23;39:21;14:2;16:3;21:23;	43:25;44:2;77:3;43:25;44:2;		act (121)
39:21	77:3	6	17:2;18:5,22;19:1,7,17;20:2,6,6,
	,	<u> </u>	
10-7-504 (2)	26.023 (2)		10;21:20;22:23;23:1;7:11;25:8;
59:17,17	40:16,16	6 (2)	28:4,5,10,18;8:1,9;29:12,19;
	1		
10-7-505 (3)	26.025 (4)	62:25,25	9:19;35:2,7,7;37:12;38:1,11;
	26.025 (4) 42:20;43:14;42:20;43:14	62:25,25 615 (1)	9:19;35:2,7,7;37:12;38:1,11; 39:16;13:15,19;14:7,22,22;55:7,
10-7-505 (3)			

15;56:14;57:24;58:7,11;59:7; 16:2,11;62:1;17:2;66:17,23; 67:3,14,21;68:17:18:5,22;69:2, 13:70:13.23:19:1.7.17:73:13: 20:2,6,6,10:21:20:81:9,10:83:8, 16;22:23;86:14;88:4,11;23:1; 89:18;90:19;25:8;28:4,5,10,18; 29:12,19;35:2,7,7;37:12;38:1, 11;39:16;55:7,15;56:14;57:24; 58:7,11;59:7;62:1;66:17,23; 67:3,14,21;68:17;69:2,13;70:13, 23;73:13;81:9,10;83:8,16;86:14; 88:4,11;89:18;90:19 acted (2) 83:24,24 acting (1) 8:13 action (7) 45:1;60:12;16:10;45:1;60:12; 92:14,15 actions (2) 24:12,12 activities (4) 27:10;37:18;27:10;37:18 actual (7) 9:19;47:21;51:10;61:22;47:21; 51:10;61:22 actuality (2) 87:4,4 actually (11) 18:2;6:4;23:15;36:11;61:24; 18:2;82:6;23:15;36:11;61:24; 82:6 Adams (2) 61:19,19 add (1) 6:12 addition (1) 7:23 additional (1) 7:14 address (20) 30:22;31:2,4;9:20:37:25;11:2; 43:23;48:23;52:8;56:6;59:20; 30:22:31:2,4:37:25:43:23:48:23: 52:8;56:6;59:20 addressed (5) 13:12;65:25;91:2;65:25;91:2 addressing (6) 48:12,13;50:8;48:12,13;50:8 adjourned (4) 91:8,13,8,13 admit (1) 9:15 admitting (1) 5:23 admonished (2) 85:11,11 advisement (4)

43:6,8;44:15;50:25;65:4 affidavits (1) 5:13 afternoon (4) 89:23;90:20;89:23;90:20 afterwards (2) 73:17.17 again (25) 6:21;32:15;33:24;36:15;40:8; 49:19,21;54:13,15;61:1;72:9; 76:3;84:18;32:15;33:24;36:15; 40:8;49:19,21;54:13,15;61:1; 72:9;76:3;84:18 against (24) 41:1,4;45:2;51:5;53:17;64:4; 74:10;76:14;77:8;84:17;86:22; 89:1:41:1.4:45:2:51:5:53:17: 64:4:74:10;76:14;77:8:84:17; 86:22:89:1 age (2) 29:25.25 agencies (8) 26:19;10:24;49:12;55:3;16:14; 26:19;49:12;55:3 agency (64) 17:24;18:11,14,20;19:4,24; 20:17,24;25:7,24;26:3,21;27:3,4, 7,9,12;8:13,15;29:9,11,21;30:21; 31:13;33:15;37:14;38:13;11:1; 12:13;13:24;14:5,14;16:17; 17:24:18:11,14,20:19:4,24; 73:10;20:17,24;83:18;84:9;25:7, 24;26:3,21;27:3,4,7,9,12;29:9, 11,21;30:21;31:13;33:15;37:14; 38:13;73:10;83:18;84:9 agency's (1) 6:8 ago (2) 3:7;11:3 agree (5) 13:5;61:5;63:4;61:5;63:4 agreed (2) 12:19:15:15 agreement (34) 38:18;43:20;56:4;57:25;58:2,5, 16;66:2;68:6,15,22;75:7,9,11,13; 76:21,25;38:18;43:20;56:4; 57:25;58:2,5,16;66:2;68:6,15, 22;75:7,9,11,13;76:21,25 agreements (40) 39:2,3,14:40:7:48:22,24:55:24: 58:19:66:1,3,19,22;67:1,5,5,9, 12,18;72:11;75:6;39:2,3,14; 40:7;48:22,24;55:24;58:19;66:1, 3,19,22;67:1,5,5,9,12,18;72:11; 75:6 agrees (2) 75:23,23 ahead (1) 5:3 airplane (2) 29:1,1

25:6,12,15,20,21,22;26:22; 79:19;25:6,12,15,20,21,22; 26:22:79:19 akin (2) 27:11,11 ALEX (1) 1:.5 Allen (17) 17:11;20:5;21:16;9:25;10:13; 12:22;13:4;16:18;17:11;20:5; 21:16;84:15;88:6,6;84:15;88:6,6 allow (18) 8:10;32:21;9:24;35:4,5,14,15; 61:3;87:20;88:14;32:21;35:4,5, 14,15;61:3;87:20;88:14 allowed (5) 8:7:35:22:73:13:35:22:73:13 allowing (4) 19:8.8:87:19.19 allows (2) 34:12.12 almost (4) 45:14,17,14,17 along (3) 4:14;44:13,13 alternate (1) 9:17 alternative (1) 4:16 although (2) 82:10,10 always (2) 28:11.11 amended (6) 44:25:45:7:47:17:44:25:45:7; 47:17 AMERICA (1) 1:.5 American (1) 13:21 Among (1) 16:19 amount (10) 41:19;52:24;53:1;64:13;75:1; 41:19;52:24;53:1;64:13;75:1 amounts (2) 42:4.4 analysis (19) 17:25;21:2;25:2;41:9,10;13:16; 17:25;68:20;77:20;79:16;21:2; 87:6:25:2;41:9.10:68:20:77:20; 79:16;87:6 analyze (8) 21:13,15;7:18;9:13;41:14; 21:13,15;41:14 analyzing (3) 6:24;25:11,11 and/or (3) 14:17;64:6,6 ANDREW (1) 2:4.5 Annotated (3) 19:5;16:3;19:5

announced (2) 88:3.3 annual (1) 4:1 annuity (2) 22:7,7 anticipation (16) 40:17;41:1;52:14,18;54:19; 57:4;60:21;65:15;40:17;41:1; 52:14,18:54:19:57:4:60:21: 65:15 apart (2) 33:13,13 apparently (2) 51:3.3 appeal (10) 90:7.15.16:91:4.4:90:7.15.16: 91:4,4 Appeals (10) 17:15:13:13,15:17:15:71:1.7; 83:21;71:1,7;83:21 appear (4) 39:12:83:12:39:12:83:12 **APPEARANCES (1)** appears (4) 27:19:74:12;27:19;74:12 appellate (4) 85:18;90:11;85:18;90:11 applicability (2) 43:2,2 application (4) 25:3;83:8;25:3;83:8 applied (6) 25:9,19;87:5;25:9,19;87:5 applies (4) 57:4;58:10;57:4;58:10 apply (6) 13:18;15:16;69:22;82:22;69:22; 82:22 applying (2) 26:1.1 appreciate (5) 9:10:79:15:82:17:79:15:82:17 appropriated (2) 81:3,3 approved (2) 23:1,1 approving (4) 24:5,6,5,6 April (4) 21:24;77:16;21:24;77:16 arbitration (6) 61:21;71:16,19;61:21;71:16,19 arena (3) 8:5;79:20,20 argue (7) 8:17;42:13;80:23;86:15;42:13; 80:23;86:15 argued (12) 6:15;11:23;57:14,15;67:8; 69:17,20;57:14,15;67:8;69:17, 20

affidavit (18)

89:24;90:17;89:24;90:17

3:16,21;4:8,13,19;5:23;40:23;

43:6,8;44:15;50:25;65:4;40:23;

Airport (16)

big (1)

20:25;42:9;20:25;42:9

arguing (4) 63:13;64:24;63:13;64:24 argument (23) 36:2,17;10:4;55:23;58:3;61:12; 68:13;73:20;81:7;87:12,24;89:1; 36:2,17;55:23;58:3;61:12;68:13; 73:20;81:7;87:12,24;89:1 arguments (13) 9:4:69:17:79:11:84:20.21.22: 85:1;69:17;79:11;84:20,21,22; 85:1 arising (1) 16:1 arose (2) 26:23,23 around (2) 88:24,24 Article (1) 16:5 articles (2) 80:18,18 articulation (2) 88:15,15 aside (2) 70:24,24 aspect (6) 34:21;61:2;70:5;34:21;61:2; 70:5 assert (4) 50:9;63:5;50:9;63:5 asserting (6) 42:21;44:7,8;42:21;44:7,8 assertion (6) 42:10,17;50:18;42:10,17;50:18 assess (8) 41:14,17;43:2;52:19;41:14,17; 43:2;52:19 assessing (2) 71:25,25 assessment (2) 53:6,6 assigned (4) 26:9,11,9,11 assistant (8) 69:4,5,16;70:5;69:4,5,16;70:5 Associate (1) 1:.5 associations (2) 24:25,25 assume (6) 17:21;31:11;17:21;91:1;31:11; 91:1 assuming (5) 3:23;51:7,8,7,8 assumption (2) 33:19,19 assured (2) 76:6,6 attached (2) 40:20,20 attachment (2)

8:24:78:15.15 Attorney (79) 23:3;25:5,6,8,19;27:1;30:17; 38:16;39:10;40:18;41:9,11,13, 22;42:5,12;49:2;50:15;52:13; 14:16;53:5;54:17,22;56:12;63:7; 64:6;65:5,7,12,13,13,16;69:4,5, 14.16.18.21;70:6;23:3;25:5.6.8. 19;27:1;30:17;38:16;39:10; 40:18;41:9,11,13,22;42:5,12; 49:2;50:15;52:13;53:5;54:17,22; 56:12;63:7;64:6;65:5,7,12,13,13, 16;69:4,5,14,16,18,21;70:6; 92:12,13 attorney/client (23) 39:11:41:6,23:42:7,13,18: 14:18;56:13;57:21;61:7;63:15, 18;39:11;41:6,23;42:7,13,18; 56:13;57:21;61:7;63:15,18 attorneys (6) 41:16;42:2;52:16;41:16;42:2; 52:16 attornev's (33) 15:11:74:15:76:17:78:23:79:1, 8;82:21;84:13,14,15;87:15,19, 22;89:16,20,22;90:17;74:15; 76:17;78:23;79:1,8;82:21;84:13, 14,15;87:15,19,22;89:16,20,22; 90:17 audits (4) 49:6;58:25;49:6;58:25 authorities (2) 23:22,22 Authority (18) 25:7,12,15,21,21,23;26:22; 68:10;79:19;25:7,12,15,21,21, 23;26:22;68:10;79:19 authorization (4) 32:19,20,19,20 authorized (4) 22:21;24:21;22:21;24:21 authorizes (2) 19:13.13 automatically (1) 12:10 available (26) 29:17,24;49:11,20;74:7,21; 75:12,16;77:1,5,17;90:5,14; 29:17,24;49:11,20;74:7,21; 75:12,16;77:1,5,17;90:5,14 Avenue (6) 1:.5;2:5.5,12.5,17.5;69:23,23 avoid (10) 18:21:29:18:67:24:18:21:71:10: 72:15;29:18;67:24;71:10;72:15 award (8) 71:16;79:8;84:13,15;71:16; 79:8:84:13,15 awarded (2) 84:12,12 awarding (4) 24:5;27:24;24:5;27:24

aware (4)

away (1) 10:9 B back (47) 33:3;36:2;10:6;42:1;45:20;46:7. 16;47:22;48:23;50:1;53:21; 54:13;55:18;63:20;64:17;65:23; 78:15:79:18:82:1.2.12:86:3: 87:25;88:23;33:3;36:2;42:1; 45:20;46:7,16;47:22;48:23;50:1; 53:21;54:13;55:18;63:20;64:17; 65:23:78:15:79:18:82:1,2,12: 86:3:87:25:88:23 bad (18) 81:23:82:25:83:3,5,5,25:84:4: 86:2,12;81:23;82:25;83:3,5,5, 25;84:4;86:2,12 balance (3) 13:6:87:14.14 balanced (4) 17:8;29:7;17:8;29:7 balances (2) 27:13,13 balancing (4) 17:10;25:4;17:10;25:4 based (16) 7:3:25:24:26:4:9:4.5:15:11: 60:6:61:20:67:23:80:13:25:24: 26:4;60:6;61:20;67:23;80:13 basic (2) 79:13,13 basically (4) 79:4;86:23;79:4;86:23 basis (12) 26:10;61:15;78:7:80:12;84:25; 85:25;26:10;61:15;78:7;80:12; 84:25;85:25 becomes (2) 17:22,22 beain (8) 38:6;63:4;66:18;68:4;38:6; 63:4:66:18:68:4 Beginning (4) 40:10;48:18;40:10;48:18 behalf (3) 17:19;10:3;17:19 belief (2) 60:5.5 benchmark (2) 47:5,5 best (4) 37:14,14;92:5,9 bestowed (1) 15:24 beyond (13) 17:13:10:21:44:16:53:3,11,13; 58:3;17:13;44:16;53:3,11,13; 58:3 bid (4)

6:22 biggest (2) 61:11,11 binding (2) 72:20,20 bit (1) 6:25 black (2) 57:23.23 board (10) 22:11,12,12,14,15,11,12,12,14, 15 Bonnyman (2) 1:.5;3:2 BOROD (1) 2:5 borrow (2) 56:18,18 both (10) 6:9.23:22:18:27:22:83:19: 22:18:88:20:27:22:83:19:88:20 brief (18) 40:2,9,12,21;58:3,22;66:24; 67:24;81:15;40:2,9,12,21;58:3, 22;66:24;67:24;81:15 briefed (1) briefing (10) 39:8;42:9,10;44:11,14;39:8; 42:9,10;44:11,14 briefly (3) 6:20:31:2,2 briefs (13) 6:10,17;7:13;9:5;10:4,5,5;13:2; 15:18;73:22;80:17;73:22;80:17 bring (6) 48:1;78:15;86:18;48:1;78:15; 86:18 broad (2) 68:24,24 broader (2) 60:17,17 broadly (8) 20:7;52:12:68:9;20:7;89:18; 52:12;68:9;89:18 Building (3) 1:.5;23:2,2 burden (31) 6:6;9:9,22;36:16,23;10:16,21; 12:3,4,16;47:7;13:8;14:23;15:2; 60:5;16:12,14;62:14;63:4,5,17; 79:2;36:16,23;47:7;60:5;62:14; 63:4,5,17;79:2 burdens (3) 3:9:36:22,22 Bureau (6) 31:23,23;33:8;31:23,23;33:8 business (14) 17:16,18;18:10;20:16;7:2; 29:18;10:23;17:16,18;18:10; 20:16;83:14;29:18;83:14 businesses (2)

60:23,23

attention (3)

60:9,23,9,23

17:17,17

 \mathbf{C}

calculating (6) 24:2,4;27:23;24:2,4;27:23 called (1)

5:21

CALLEN (43)

2:11.5;31:3;37:24;38:5;43:19; 44:1;45:9,13;48:3,18;51:11; 52:8;53:20;54:6,11;55:2,11,14, 21;57:15;62:16;63:3;31:3; 37:24;38:5;43:19;44:1;45:9,13; 48:3,18;51:11;52:8;53:20;54:6, 11;55:2,11,14,21;57:15;62:16; 63:3

came (8)

3:1;9:9;63:11;79:18;82:12; 63:11;79:18;82:12

Campbell (14)

17:14;58:4,11;62:2;17:14; 68:19;70:2;73:2;58:4,11;62:2; 68:19;70:2;73:2

can (67)

5:2,14;6:2;21:13;7:22;27:20; 8:19;31:3;37:9,14;38:6;47:18; 13:15;51:8,9,14;52:1,19;14:23; 54:12;57:7;58:1,4;60:3;61:3; 72:25;73:15;74:1;75:16;76:5,12, 23;77:21;78:17;21:13;82:4;86:6, 7;27:20;31:3;37:9,14;38:6; 47:18;51:8,9,14;52:1,19;54:12; 57:7;58:1,4;60:3;61:3;72:25; 73:15;74:1;75:16;76:5,12,23; 77:21;78:17;82:4;86:6,7

candor (1) 9:10

care (4)

35:12;46:24;35:12;46:24 careful (4)

79:15;83:10;79:15;83:10

carefully (1)

13:3 carry (2)

13:9;14:23 carrying (1)

13:9

case (84)

3:12;17:1,7;18:17;25:5,21;
26:21;27:1;29:1;32:18;10:14,15;
12:11;46:1;13:2;53:1,7,7;15:16;
58:21;61:6,25;64:12;17:1,7;
67:23;68:21,23;18:17;69:2,12;
75:10;78:5;79:9,13;80:13,18;
83:11;85:2,3,9,10;87:13,25;88:2,
16,17;89:6;25:5,21;26:21;27:1;
29:1;32:18;46:1;53:1,7,7;58:21;
61:6,25;64:12;67:23;68:21,23;
69:2,12;75:10;78:5;79:9,13;
80:13,18;83:11;85:2,3,9,10;
87:13,25;88:2,16,17;89:6
cases (55)

3:10;30:2;40:3,9;41:9;46:3,15; 47:1;13:4,14;51:10,12;52:2,22, 23;53:16;64:14;66:24;71:1,7; 73:24;74:20;75:3;80:16,19; 87:17,21;89:4,21;30:2;40:3,9; 41:9;46:3,15;47:1;51:10,12; 52:2,22,23;53:16;64:14;66:24; 71:1,7;73:24;74:20;75:3;80:16, 19;87:17,21;89:4,21 categories (6)

38:7,14;72:10;38:7,14;72:10

category (2) 65:24,24

cause (2) 57:1,1

CCA (232)

3:19:17:1:19:21,23;20:23:6:7, 23;21:19,25;22:1,17;23:1,8; 24:20;7:1;26:2,7,8,11;27:6,8,17; 28:1,2,17,17,23;8:10,18;29:8,10, 16,16,23;30;8,20;10;3,10,22; 37:12;38:10,23;39:5;40:25;41:4, 7;42:2,14,16;43:5,8,12;44:6,10, 12,15,21;12:11,13,14,17;46:1, 25;49:8,13,16,19;50:5,19;51:5; 52:16.19:14:4.6.7.12.21.22:53:9. 17;55:3,4:15:4,12;60:7;16:12, 14,20;64:4,8,24;17:1;66:2,6,10, 13,14,19;67:5;68:5,14,14;70:18, 22;72:12,15,20;19:21,23;73:9; 74:6,8,10,16,23;75:11,19,23; 76:14;20:23;77:8,10,12;79:1,10; 80:5;21:19,25;81:12;82:23;83:8, 24;84:6;22:1,17;23:1,8;89:4; 24:20;26:2,7,8,11;27:6,8,17; 28:1,2,17,17,23;29:8,10,16,16, 23;30:8,20;37:12;38:10,23;39:5; 40:25;41:4,7;42:2,14,16;43:5,8, 12;44:6,10,12,15,21;46:1,25; 49:8,13,16,19:50:5,19:51:5; 52:16,19;53:9,17;55:3,4;60:7; 64:4,8,24:66:2,6,10,13,14,19; 67:5;68:5,14,14;70:18,22;72:12, 15,20;73:9;74:6,8,10,16,23; 75:11,19,23;76:14;77:8,10,12; 79:1,10:80:5;81:12;82:23;83:8, 24;84:6;89:4 CCA's (34)

5:5;6:17;30:8;38:14;40:10,22, 23;41:13,15,17;42:5;45:18,21; 54:17;56:2;65:12,13;80:9;30:8; 38:14;40:10,22,23;41:13,15,17; 42:5;45:18,21;54:17;56:2;65:12, 13;80:9

Center (6)

34:4,4;79:21;34:4,4;79:21

certain (23)

21:13;23:16,20;24:15;9:24; 47:5;53:25;63:6;65:9,18;80:19; 21:13;86:21;23:16,20;24:15; 47:5;53:25;63:6;65:9,18;80:19; 86:21

certainly (6)

26:9;51:20;89:17;26:9;51:20; 89:17

CERTIFICATE (1)

92:1

certified (2)

90:8,8

certifies (2)

90:7,7

certify (4)

23:17,17;92:4,11 CHANCERY (1)

1:.5

changing (2)

24:11,11

characterization (4)

27:9,20,9,20

charge (2) 30:13,13

Chattanooga (2)

34:8.8

Cherokee (25)

17:5,24;21:16;7:17;25:10; 26:23,25;27:1,3;8:24;9:25; 10:12;13:4;17:5,24;21:16;84:14; 88:5;25:10;26:23,25;27:1,3;

84:14;88:5

child (2) 21:11,11

Children (4)

17:5;25:11;17:5;25:11

choose (8)

66:8,15;72:16;74:19;66:8,15; 72:16;74:19

chose (1)

10:17

circle (6)

53:21;54:13;63:20;53:21;54:13; 63:20

Circuit (8)

71:12,14,20;72:2;71:12,14,20; 72:2

circumstance (2)

18:16,16 citation (2)

73:1.1

cite (10)

56:15;58:20;59:16;73:1;88:17; 56:15;58:20;59:16;73:1;88:17

cited (24)

32:17;40:3,9;58:3;62:4;66:24; 67:24;70:25;71:8,11;89:5,6; 32:17;40:3,9;58:3;62:4;66:24; 67:24;70:25;71:8,11;89:5,6

citizen (4)

21:21;27:5;21:21;27:5

citizens (8)

22:15;29:5,20;39:17;22:15; 29:5,20;39:17

City (4)

20:18;59:13;20:18;59:13

Civil (20)

40:16;42:19;58:6;61:23;67:17, 19;68:8,25;69:23;70:17;40:16;

42:19;58:6;61:23;67:17,19;68:8, 25:69:23:70:17

claim (16)

45:1;47:12;48:21,25;50:10; 64:12;68:24;75:5;45:1;47:12; 48:21,25;50:10;64:12;68:24; 75:5

claimant (2) 57:20,20

claiming (2) 56:21,21

claims (11)

53:16;16:1;64:6,13,14;76:16; 53:16;64:6,13,14;76:16

clarification (4)

31:6;33:14;31:6;33:14

CLARKE (48)

2:4.5;3:15;4:5;5:7,16;6:14;34:9; 11:5;43:16;12:21;46:16;47:20; 55:25;56:9;62:11;63:1,8;65:24; 67:8;68:20;72:25;78:1,12,20; 79:3;86:10;90:22;91:9;34:9; 43:16;46:16;47:20;55:25;56:9; 62:11;63:1,8;65:24;67:8;68:20; 72:25;78:1,12,20;79:3;86:10; 90:22;91:9

Clarke's (2) 50:9,9

Claudia (2)

1:.5;3:2

clear (14)

19:25;20:5;66:5;71:8;72:10; 19:25;74:1;20:5;77:25;66:5; 71:8;72:10;74:1;77:25

clearly (14)

34:11;40:13;43:5;59:25;60:25; 64:23;73:7;34:11;40:13;43:5; 59:25;60:25;64:23;73:7

CLERK (2) 91:11,11

client (16)

41:8;46:17,20,22;60:7,22;69:7; 81:23;41:8;46:17,20,22;60:7,22; 69:7:81:23

Cliff (2) 17:14,14

close (8)

39:20;43:3;64:16;72:7;39:20; 43:3;64:16;72:7

closed (2) 61:24,24

closely (2) 89:21,21

coconut (2) 57:10,10

Code (5)

19:5,16;16:2;19:5,16

collateral (2)

72:7,7 **collective (1)**

5:11 **column (2)**

52:4,4

copied (2)

combine (2) 67:19.19 combined (4) 68:16;70:21;68:16;70:21 coming (2) 85:17,17 Commission (2) 23:2,2 Commissioner (4) 23:4,24,4,24 committee (2) 23:7,7 communicated (2) 42:5,5 communication (6) 41:8;54:15;65:6;41:8;54:15; 65:6 communications (10) 38:17:41:7:42:1.1.23:38:17: 41:7:42:1.1.23 companies (2) 82:14.14 Company (8) 17:5;25:10;41:2;17:5;88:3; 25:10;41:2;88:3 compare (2) 26:17,17 competent (2) 87:20,20 complaint (6) 44:25,25;46:13;44:25,25;46:13 complaints (34) 45:7,8,22,25;46:5;47:4,17,17; 48:4,10,12,19;57:11,12;74:10, 11,20;45:7,8,22,25;46:5;47:4,17, 17;48:4,10,12,19;57:11,12; 74:10,11,20 complete (1) 92:9 completely (1) 11:18 complex (2) 85:9.9 comply (12) 23:11;49:9;66:9,15;72:17; 88:10;23:11;49:9;66:9,15;72:17; 88:10 compute (2) 85:13,13 conceded (4) 75:22;86:18;75:22;86:18 concerned (4) 44:22;53:19;44:22;53:19 concerning (2) 55:23,23 concluded (6) 52:3;76:14;77:8;52:3;76:14; 77:8 concluding (2) 53:17,17 conclusion (5) 3:13;87:13;90:13;87:13;90:13 conclusions (1)

4:13 conduct (14) 19:21.21:81:19:83:1.6:84:5: 86:2,19;81:19;83:1,6;84:5;86:2, confer (2) 27:16.16 confidential (49) 30:1,24:38:18:42:4:14:19: 54:15;58:1,2,5;59:9,23;60:3,10, 14:61:13,13,19,25;64:10;65:10, 20;68:3,16;70:19;75:7;30:1,24; 38:18;42:4;54:15;58:1,2,5;59:9, 23;60:3,10,14;61:13,13,19,25; 64:10;65:10,20;68:3,16;70:19; 75:7 confidentiality (6) 68:12;76:20;86:22;68:12;76:20; 86:22 confined (2) 36:12.12 confirming (2) 71:16,16 confuse (2) 48:11,11 connected (1) 92:14 Connecticut (2) 18:8,8 connection (4) 18:9;20:15;18:9;20:15 conscious (4) 84:2:90:1:84:2:90:1 consequently (1) 14:6 consider (6) 7:22,23;81:2;82:3;81:2;82:3 consideration (12) 20:4;8:12;9:7;20:4;81:24;82:11, 17;88:21;81:24;82:11,17;88:21 considered (8) 17:8.8:65:20:83:10.11:65:20: 83:10.11 considering (1) 10:21 consistently (2) 87:5,5 constitute (2) 63:14,14 constitutes (2) 20:11,11 Constitution (3) 26:5:16:4:26:5 constitutional (2) 36:6,6 construe (4) 18:3,4,3,4 construed (4)

20:7;28:6;20:7;28:6

43:9;44:18;50:22;51:17;43:9;

contain (4)

52:22,23,22,23

contained (8)

44:18:50:22:51:17 contains (22) 41:3,4,6,9,25;42:3;53:22;59:9. 22:60:10:65:6;41:3,4,6,9,25; 42:3;53:22;59:9,22;60:10;65:6 contend (14) 49:14;50:15;54:20;56:11;60:1; 65:2;66:21;49:14;50:15;54:20; 56:11;60:1;65:2;66:21 contending (2) 55:5,5 contends (11) 38:10;14:4,7,14;64:9;66:2; 72:12;38:10;64:9;66:2;72:12 contention (2) 52:9,9 contentions (1) 14:3 context (2) 69:8.8 continuation (1) continue (2) 83:6.6 contract (39) 19:9,10,18;21:3,14;22:21; 23:19;31:22:32:14,17;9:1;33:5, 7;35:5,11,22,23;36:19;60:23; 19:9,10,18;77:12;21:3,14;22:21; 23:19;31:22;32:14,17;33:5,7; 35:5,11,22,23;36:19;60:23; 77;12 contracted (2) 23:25,25 Contracting (10) 19:7,15,16;22:25;7:11;8:9;19:7, 15,16;22:25 contractor (6) 19:18;22:22;23:25;19:18;22:22; 23:25 contractors (8) 23:20,22;24:14,19:23:20,22; 24:14,19 contracts (32) 4:20,22;5:14;20:21;6:19;21:2,5; 23:1,5,14;31:8,14;32:2;33:11, 16;55:2;20:21;77:12;80:25;21:2, 5;23:1,5,14;31:8,14;32:2;33:11, 16;55:2;77:12;80:25 contractual (2) 49:9.9 contractually (2) 18:23,23 contrary (4) 68:21;72:4;68:21;72:4 control (11) 23:18;28:17;29:16;30:8;16:25; 74:10;23:18;28:17;29:16;30:8; 74:10 controlled (1) 11:20 controlling (2)

76:12.12 copies (16) 29:21;30:5,10,12,14;57:12; 75:14,17;29:21;30:5,10,12,14; 57:12;75:14,17 copy (13) 4:4;30:13;46:12;48:9;57:18,19; 73:3;30:13;46:12;48:9;57:18,19; 73:3 copying (2) 48:8,8 copy-of (1) 5:8 core (2) 79:22,22 cornerstone (2) 17:25,25 **CORPORATION (12)** 1:.5;21:20:22:3,13;45;2;13:20; 21:20;22:3,13:88:7;45:2:88:7 corpus (2) 69:9.9 correction (2) 22;22,22 correctional (6) 23:6,9,11,6,9,11 **CORRECTIONS (6)** 1:.5;23:5;45:2;13:20;23:5;45:2 cost (3) 30:14;15:11;30:14 costs (4) 48:8;82:21;48:8;82:21 counsel (18) 40:22,25;41:9;43:7;44:15,21; 45:18;47:9;40:22,25;41:9;43:7; 44:15,21;45:18;47:9;92:12,14 countervailing (2) 20:4,4 COUNTY (19) 1:.5;21:9,10;33:5;34:16;39:18; 49:5;60:24,24;77:13;21:9,10; 33:5:34:16:39:18:49:5:60:24.24: 77:13 course (9) 4:10;43:14;48:6;49:12,21; 43:14;48:6;49:12,21 Court (381) 1:.5,.5;2:15.5;3:5,8,10,12,16,23; 4:3,14;5:1,10,19,24;17:7,9,15; 18:4,8,18;20:2,12,19,21;6:1,2, 22;21:4,12,15,18;22:14;7:3,5,22; 26:2,8,24;27:13;28:3,10;8:23; 30:15;31:2,5,15,16;32:5,22;9:7,

85:9,9

12,21,23;33:14,20;34:2;36:3;

10:1,7;37:1,6,22;38:2,19;39:14;

40:3,7,21;43:18,24;44:20;12:8,

25;45:10;46:9,18;47:15,21;

48:11,16;13:5,13,14,15,17;

49:13,17,21,24,25;51:2,18;

53:13;54:2,10,23;55:8,13,16;

56:5,7;15:2,8,16,21;57:1;58:13,

20;59:2,6,16;60:4;61:3,14,20,23;

62:10,12,13,17,20,22;64:12; 17:7,9,15;66:4,5,9,12,20,25,25; 67:7,10,13,15;68:1,6,13,23;18:4, 8,18;69:10;70:2,3,16;71:1,2,4,5, 6,9,10,15,17,18,21,22,24,25; 72:1,5,6,13,14,18,19,23;73:3,8; 74:5;75:8;20:2,12,19,21;78:8,11, 16,20,22,25;79:7,10,17,23;80:3, 4,8,19,24;21:4,12,15,18;81:1,18, 22,24;82:2,15,22;83:20;84:9,19; 22:14;85:4,11;86:9,21;87:2; 88:20,21;89:13,14;90:1,4,7,8,24; 91:6;26:2,8,24;27:13;28:3,10; 30:15;31:2,5,15,16;32:5,22; 33:14,20;34:2;36:3;37:1,6,22; 38:2,19;39:14;40:3,7,21;43:18, 24;44:20;45:10;46:9,18;47:15, 21;48:11,16;49:13,17,21,24,25; 51:2,18;53:13;54:2,10,23;55:8, 13,16;56:5,7;57:1;58:13,20; 59:2,6,16;60:4;61:3,14,20,23; 62:10,12,13,17,20,22;64:12; 66:4,5,9,12,20,25,25;67:7,10.13. 15;68:1,6,13,23;69:10;70:2,3,16; 71:1,2,4,5,6,9,10,15,17,18,21,22, 24,25;72:1,5,6,13,14,18,19,23; 73:3,8;74:5;75:8;78:8,11,16,20, 22,25;79:7,10,17,23;80:3,4,8,19, 24;81:1,18,22,24;82:2,15,22; 83:20;84:9,19;85:4,11;86:9,21; 87:2;88:20,21;89:13,14;90:1,4,7, 8,24;91:6;92:1,4

Courts (27)

39:24;40:5;15:25;67:23;68:9; 71:7;72:4,18;83:1;84:1,4;85:12; 87:5;90:11;39:24;40:5;67:23; 68:9;71:7;72:4,18;83:1;84:1,4; 85:12;87:5;90:11

Court's (27)

4:7,24;5:2;8:12;9:10;34:19; 38:12;48:5;66:9,15;72:17;75:25; 77:11;78:15;79:15;82:25;34:19; 38:12;48:5;66:9,15;72:17;75:25; 77:11;78:15;79:15;82:25

cover (2)

30:14.14

covered (6)

34:11;42:7;58:16;34:11;42:7; 58:16

covering (1)

13:21

covers (2)

36:14,14

CRA (2)

38:12,12

create (4)

51:12,15,12,15

created (27)

17:2;21:20;7:25;28:18;40:24; 43:10;44:17;52:14,15;53:4; 54:16,17,18;17:2;65:12;21:20; 28:18;40:24;43:10;44:17;52:14, 15;53:4;54:16,17,18;65:12

creates (6)

29:6;50:23;60:7;29:6;50:23; 60:7

credit (6)

24:5,8,9,5,8,9

criteria (4)

23:14,16,14,16

critical (3) 15:3;87:10,10

crosswise (2)

66:7,7

current (2)

52:17,17

currently (6)

24:17:32:11:53:8:24:17;32:11;

53:8

custodian (4) 30:8.12,8,12

custody (16)

22:18;24:10,11;27:22;29:14,15; 30:7;74:9;22:18;24:10,11;27:22; 29:14,15;30:7;74:9

Customs (2)

33:9,9

D

damage (2)

64:15,15

damages (22)

38:24;39:1,6;45:4;50:6;51:7; 52:5;64:5,9;74:14;76:16;38:24; 39:1,6;45:4;50:6;51:7;52:5;64:5, 9;74:14;76:16

danger (4)

71:2;72:16;71:2;72:16

data (2)

44:19.19

database (96)

38:15:40:11,13,24:41:3,12,16. 21,24;42:3,11,15;43:9,10,11,13, 22;44:17,18,21;48:14;50:12; 51:4,16,17,22;52:10,13,15,20; 53:5,11,21,22;54:1,16,21;60:2; 63:23;64:21,21,24;65:4,11,16, 21;76:10;77:4;38:15;40:11,13, 24;41:3,12,16,21,24;42:3,11,15; 43:9,10,11,13,22;44:17,18,21; 48:14;50:12;51:4,16,17,22; 52:10,13,15,20;53:5,11,21,22; 54:1,16,21;60:2;63:23;64:21,21, 24;65:4,11,16,21;76:10;77:4 databases (14)

38:22;50:4;53:15;60:8;64:3,20; 76:13;38:22;50:4;53:15;60:8; 64:3,20;76:13

date (16)

57:6;60:12,12,13;64:8;71:3; 75:18;76:18;57:6;60:12,12,13; 64:8;71:3;75:18;76:18

dated (2)

21:24,24

dates (2)

24:3.3 dating (6)

45:20;46:7;50:1;45:20;46:7;

50:1

DAVIDSON (1)

1:.5

Day (17)

17:11;20:5;21:17:10:14:12:22: 15:9;16:18;17:11;20:5;21:17; 84:15;88:6,6;84:15;88:6,6;92:16

davcare (2)

79:21.21 dead (2)

89:2.2

deadly (4)

24:21,23,21,23

deal (2)

34:17,17

dealing (7) 12:23:70:3,15,15,3,15,15

deals (2)

57:23.23

debts (1)

7:8

decide (10)

26:8;28:3;15:3,8;57:19;75:17; 26:8;28:3;57:19;75:17

decided (7)

14:20;83:22;85:18;86:8;83:22;

85:18:86:8

decision (13) 3:7;25:25;71:12,17;83:12;

84:24;86:7;25:25;71:12,17;

83:12;84:24;86:7

decisions (4)

27:25;90:2;27:25;90:2

declaratory (4) 50:1;59:3;50:1:59:3

declared (4)

25:15;49:18;25:15;49:18

decline (2)

58:12,12 decree (4)

72:1,5,1,5 deemed (4)

24:15;65:10;24:15;65:10

defend (2) 41:14,14

defendant (8)

13:22;14:7,11,14;75:23;77:10;

75:23;77:10

defender (6) 69:3,12,24,3,12,24

defender's (2)

69:7,7

defense (4)

79:13;89:11;79:13;89:11

define (2)

44:3.3

defined (2)

22:23,23 defines (2)

44:6,6

definition (2)

44:3,3

delegable (2)

23:21,21

delegating (2)

18:23,23

delete (2)

53:25.25

deliberately (2)

18:5.5

delineate (1)

13:13

denied (4)

9:15;12:6;85:6,6

denying (2) 24:8.8

Department (3)

23:4:11:17:23:4

depends (2)

61:18,18 deposition (1)

4:11

deputy (6)

40:22;43:6;44:14;40:22;43:6;

44:14

describe (2)

42:22,22

described (10)

41:24;50:12;53:19;64:22;84:4; 41:24;50:12;53:19;64:22:84:4

description (2)

57:7.7

Despite (6)

71:23;72:18;80:8;71:23;72:18;

80:8 detached (1)

11:19

detail (1)

13:10

detailed (1)

Detention (10)

31:20;32:9;34:1,2,8;31:20;32:9;

7:5

34:1,2,8

determination (9)

18:15;36:4;16:20;18:15;81:19;

87:11;36:4;81:19;87:11

determine (7) 6:3;8:2;45:19;13:8;80:12;

45:19;80:12 determined (6)

17:3;28:20;61:6;17:3;28:20;

61:6

determining (4)

79:23;87:3;79:23;87:3

developing (4)

24:1,3,1,3

Dickson (4) 60:24,24,24,24

dicta (4)

70:4,9,4,9

different (13) 6:25;21:15;26:22;34:21;35:3; 36:10:60:17:21:15:26:22:34:21: 35:3:36:10:60:17 difficult (4) 26:17;66:14;26:17;66:14 directing (2) 29:20,20 directly (2) 21:12,12 disagree (2) 84:23,23 disagreement (6) 83:4,7:84:7:83:4,7;84:7 disciplinary (2) 24:12,12 disclose (8) 55:7;79:6,24;82:24;55:7;79:6, 24:82:24 disclosed (2) 42:24,24 disclosure (43) 18:22;19:25;20:1,3;38:11; 39:12.15:40:2.8:49:15:55:6: 56:5;16:15;61:22;65:17;66:3,22; 67:10,14;18:22;72:13:19:25; 20:1,3;87:8;90:10;38:11;39:12,

72:13:87:8:90:10 discourage (2) 87:16.16 discoverable (2) 56:21,21

discovery (6)

4:17;9:14,18,24;69:11,11

15;40:2,8;49:15;55:6;56:5;

61:22;65:17;66:3,22;67:10,14;

discretion (2) 79:8.8 discuss (2)

43:20,20 discussed (5)

3:7;48:19;71:2;48:19;71:2

dishonest (2) 84:3,3 dismiss (1) 9:20 dismissed (3)

12:7:53:2.2

dispositive (8)

4:7,24;5:2:17:10;18:18;7:16; 17:10;18:18

dispute (10)

39:9:63:8,16:67:11:88:9:39:9: 63:8,16;67:11;88:9

distinction (7)

6:22;35:18;66:5;78:9;35:18; 66:5;78:9

distinguished (4) 26:24,25,24,25

district (16)

69:4,5,10,14,16;70:6;71:15,18; 69:4,5,10,14,16;70:6;71:15,18

doctrine (10)

40:15;41:22;42:12;44:9;49:2; 40:15;41:22;42:12;44:9;49:2

document (12)

42:23;50:20,22;51:15;59:18,22; 42:23:50:20,22:51:15;59:18,22

documents (61)

30:22;31:14;34:13;37:17;38:8, 9;39:25;40:17;11:15;12:16,17; 13:23;49:10,15;14:15;57:5;63:6, 9,19;64:10;65:24;66:10;68:2,11; 70:13,19:72:11:75:24:76:7: 85:21;86:21;90:10,13;30:22 31:14;34:13;37:17;38:8,9;39:25; 40:17;49:10,15;57:5;63:6,9,19; 64:10;65:24;66:10;68:2,11; 70:13,19;72:11;75:24;76:7; 85:21;86:21;90:10,13

done (19)

4:16;7:9;8:7;43:12;13:2;50:24; 15:21;73:6,21,21;77:21;90:3; 43:12;50:24;73:6,21,21;77:21; 90:3

down (8) 🕒

36:9:55:22:59:12.13:36:9: 55:22;59:12,13

drafts (2) 49:1.1

due (8) 36:16;46:18;47:8;88:14;36:16; 46:18:47:8:88:14

duly (2) 79:14,14 during (4)

29:17;65:14;29:17;65:14 duties (6)

23:21;27:10;85:14;23:21;27:10; 85:14

duty (4)

19:20;36:6;19:20;36:6

 \mathbf{E}

earlier (7)

26:24;13:1;71:22;86:4;26:24; 71:22:86:4

easy (6)

82:9;83:12;88:24;82:9;83:12; 88:24

Editor (1) 1:.5

educate (2) 62:20,20

efficient (2) 48:17,17

effort (4)

81:22;82:11;81:22;82:11

either (11)

31:23;9:1;33:7,17;45:17;77:24; 31:23;33:7,17;45:17;77:24

element (8)

43:8;44:18;50:21;83:2;43:8;

44:18;50:21;83:2 elements (2) 50:21,21

eligibility (2)

24:3.3 else (13)

5:14;37:8;62:13;73:4,22;75:15; 78:21;37:8;62:13;73:4,22;75:15;

78:21 E-Mail (1) 2:19.5

embodied (2) 40:15,15

employed (2) 24:18,18

employee (3) 27:17,17;92:13 employees (10)

24:13,15,16,21,23,13,15,16,21,

employment (2)

42:6,6 enable (2)

43:1,1 enacted (2)

19:7.7

encompasses (2)

60:18.18 end (3) 15:9:66:7.7

enforce (2) 30:9.9

Enforcement (4)

33:10;59:11;33:10;59:11

engage (2) 84:2,2

enough (4)

37:10;42:14;37:10;42:14

ensure (2) 18:20.20 ensuring (2)

68:12,12 enter (10)

22:21;53:9;58:19;67:17;68:10; 22:21;53:9;58:19;67:17;68:10

entered (18)

52:24,25;53:10;66:19;67:6,16; 68:5,7;70:16;52:24,25;53:10; 66:19;67:6,16;68:5,7;70:16

enters (2) 68:13.13

entertainment (1)

8:5

entire (2) 52:12,12 entirety (2)

45:14,14

entities (8) 18:25;37:7;55:4;18:25;88:2;

37:7:55:4:88:2

entitled (15)

22:6;35:20;47:3;15:10;57:16; 59:4;82:20;22:6;87:15;35:20; 47:3;57:16;59:4;82:20;87:15

entity (43)

17:1,20;18:1,12,24;19:3;23:8; 8:25;34:20;35:1;10:10;12:1;

14:23;58:19;16:21,23,25;17:1, 20:18:1.12.24:19:3:80:6.20: 82:8;84:11,12;85:14;23:8;89:2, 8;34:20;35:1;58:19;80:6,20; 82:8;84:11,12;85:14;89:2,8

entity's (4) 19:1,1:87:9,9 environment (2) 29:6.6 equipment (1)

16:22 equivalency (9)

36:1:12:24:79:12:80:6:84:17: 36:1;79:12;80:6;84:17

equivalent (70)

17:23;18:13;19:4,24;20:24;6:7; 25:9,23:26:3,20:27:4,7,11:8:14: 29:9,10;30:20;31:12;33:15; 34:20;10:11,25;37:13;38:13; 12:2,12,14;49:14,22;14:5,13; 15:5;58:18:16:13,17:17:23; 18:13:19:4,24:73:9:20:24:82:7: 83:17;84:8,11;89:7;25:9,23; 26:3,20;27:4,7,11;29:9,10; 30:20;31:12;33:15;34:20;37:13; 38:13;49:14,22;58:18;73:9;82:7; 83:17:84:8.11:89:7

erection (1) 16:6 escape (2) 80:10,10 essential (2) 29:4,4

establish (2) 23:15,15 establishes (2) 23:13,13 evaluate (8)

57:7;61:4,8;88:14;57:7;61:4,8; 88:14

evaluates (2) 87:8,8 evaluation (2) 87:11,11

even (28)

20:3;8:10;32:11,17:34:14; 10:21:38:11:53:3:54:14:58:4: 61:3;69:20;70:24;20:3;83:4,5; 32:11,17;34:14;38:11;53:3; 54:14;58:4;61:3;69:20:70:24; 83:4,5

everybody (2) 73:15,15 evidence (5) 6:2;10:19,22;84:18,18

exact (2)

21:3.3 exactly (7)

5:25;50:21;63:11;73:11;50:21; 63:11;73:11

examine (1) 12:15

example (10)

31:17.19:32:10:42:3:59:10; 31:17,19;32:10;42:3;59:10 except (6) 35:23;37:11;78:4;35:23;37:11; 78:4 excepted (2) 20:1,1 exception (3) 4:22:30:25,25 exceptions (3) 8:22;37:25,25 excise (2) 65:9,9 excising (2) 65:18.18 excluded (2) 64:15,15 excuse (2) 44:7,7 executed (2) 77:11,11 exempt (24) 38:10;40:1,8;55:6,10,12,14; 56:4,5;58:7,10;67:13;38:10; 40:1,8;55:6,10,12,14;56:4,5; 58:7,10;67:13 exempted (1) 4:21 exhibit (9) 3:18,21;5:11;36:13;40:22; 62:25;36:13;40:22;62:25 exhibits (5) 3:14;4:1;5:12;10:19,20 exist (2) 45:21,21 existence (4) 47:21;90:8;47:21:90:8 exists (2) 90:8,8 expand (1) 6:13 expedited (2) 90:18,18 expired (4) 21:5,8,5,8 exposure (2) 41:10,10 express (1) 15:19 expressed (2) 87:2,2 expressly (2) 59:7.7 extensive (4) 19:3,3;80:16,16 extent (29) 4:6,23;17:22;18:1;28:15;29:13, 14;47:25;48:4,8,25;49:23;58:16; 16:24;63:13;17:22;18:1;74:8; 28:15;29:13,14;47:25;48:4,8,25; 49:23;58:16;63:13;74:8

F face (4) 20:3,3;82:5,5 faced (4) 68:23;71:14;68:23;71:14 facilities (10) 32:24;35:19,21;37:19;45:18; 32:24;35:19,21;37:19;45:18 facility (32) 23:11;31:20,25;32:9,11,14,25; 33:1,4,22;34:1,2,8;35:11;11:25, 25:60:11:23:11:31:20.25:32:9. 11,14,25;33:1,4,22;34:1,2,8; 35:11:60:11 fact (24) 5:21:18:17:20:20:6:2.4:26:4: 28:4,10:8:8:45:21:59:22:18:17: 20:20:79:18:80:10:90:2:26:4: 28:4,10:45:21:59:22:79:18: 80:10;90:2 factor (4) 5:2;17:9;7:22;17:9 factors (28) 17:8;21:15,16;7:4,15,17,21,24; 25:4;27:13;28:14;29:7;9:25; 13:6,11,11;16:19;17:8;21:15,16; 83:13;87:14;25:4;27:13;28:14; 29:7;83:13;87:14 facts (14) 25:3,20;26:2,21,23,23,25;25:3, 20;26:2,21,23,23,25 factual (2) 83:19,19 failed (2) 82:24.24 failure (5) 15:12:79:6,24,6,24 fairly (5) 5;22,25;7:5;77:21,21 faith (18) 83:1,3,6,25;84:5,22;85:20;86:2, 12;83:1,3,6,25;84:5,22;85:20; 86:2,12 fall (2) 30:24,24 falling (2) 36:2,2 Family (4) 17:6;25:11;17:6;25:11 far (6) 28:13;44:16;54:12;28:13;44:16; 54:12 favor (6) 18:6;29:7;18:6;90:4;29:7;90:4 federal (108) 31:9,22;32:2;33:1,6;34:7,10,12, 13:35:3,4,6,19:36:9,15:37:3;

58:6,9,13;61:25;66:12;67:7,10,

13,15,16,19;68:1,6,8,9,13,24;

4,6,7,15,18,22;72:1,5,6,7,14;

78:9:31:9.22:32:2:33:1.6:34:7. 10,12,13;35:3,4,6,19;36:9,15; 37:3;58:6,9,13;61:25;66:12; 67:7,10,13,15,16,19;68:1,6,8,9, 13,24;69:3,7,9,12,12,22;70:10, 16;71:1,4,6,7,15,18,22;72:1,5,6, 7,14;78:9 fee (4) 30:13;90:17;30:13;90:17 7:14:52:6:54:5:52:6:54:5 feels (2) 9:8,21 fees (43) 38:24;39:1,6;50:6;15:11;64:6; 74:15;76:17;78:23;79:1,9;82:21; 84:13,14,15;85:6;87:15,19,22; 89:16,20,22;38:24;39:1,6;50:6; 64:6;74:15;76:17;78:23;79:1,9; 82:21;84:13,14,15;85:6;87:15, 19,22;89:16,20,22 few (2) 89:21,21 figure (10) 43:25;51:24;73:18;75:2;91:6; 43:25;51:24;73:18;75:2;91:6 file (12) 59:11,18;69:6,15;70:5,6;59:11, 18;69:6,15;70:5,6 filed (20) 3:13,17;4:2;5:6;18:7;20:21;6:9, 17;39;4;41:4;45:1;47:4;62:5; 18:7;20:21;39:4;41:4;45:1;47:4; 62:5 files (7) 11:10,10,17;49:21:69:18:49:21; 69:18 filing (5) 4:2;68:11;90:6;68:11;90:6 final (6) 77:11;86:5,6;77:11;86:5,6 financed (2) 22:3,3 financially (1) 92:15 find (12) 20:11:29:5:45:6:20:11:79:24: 84:10;85:4;29:5;45:6;79:24; 84:10:85:4 finding (10) 21:18;29:8;21:18;81:19,25; 90:12;29:8;81:19,25;90:12 findings (4) 20:20:76:3:20:20:76:3 finds (8) 20:21;21:4;26:2;28:10;20:21; 21:4;26:2;28:10 fine (4) 56:1;80:9;56:1;80:9 **FIRM (1)** 2:15.5 69:3,7,9,12,12,22;70:10,16:71:1, first (42)

July 29, 2008 44:3,23:12:24:48:20:55:25:15:1: 57:17:64:17.18:66:18:67:15: 68:5;69:17;70:8;80:22;21:17; 82:10,12;88:3;26:4;40:10;44:3, 23;48:20;55:25;57:17;64:17,18; 66:18;67:15;68:5;69:17;70:8; 80:22;82:10,12;88:3 fit (4) 64:23;65:1;64:23;65:1 fits (2) 50:12,12 five (8) 46:6;51:2;76:9,10;46:6;51:2; 76:9.10 Florida (4) 82:2;87:25;82:2;87:25 flying (2) 29:1,1 FOI (4) 34:11;35:7;34:11;35:7 follow (2) 48:5.5 following (5) 3:3:22:20,20:91:12,12 follows (2) 27:14,14 force (2) 25:18,18 forced (6) 66:8,14;72:16;66:8,14;72:16 foregoing (1) 92:7 foremost (2) 26:4.4 foretell (2) 85:14,14 form (6) 48:21;76:11;77:7;48:21;76:11; 77:7 formed (1) 14:9 forms (16) 48:22,24,25;57:20,21,22;75:5,6; 48:22,24,25;57:20,21,22;75:5,6 forth (6) 42:2;65:3;84:24;42:2;65:3; 84:24 forward (10) 48:1,17;52:19;65:3;86:7;48:1, 17;52:19;65:3;86:7 found (32) 19:15;25:12,22;26:19;36:7; 37:12;42:19;49:8,13;19:15;73:8; 79:10;80:2,15,20;89:6,12;25:12, 22;26:19;36:7;37:12;42:19;49:8, 13;73:8;79:10;80:2,15,20;89:6, 12 four (9) 3:13;20:21;21:8;7:15,21;76:1; 20:21;21:8;76:1 Fourth (3) 2:12.5;36:1,1

extracts (2)

30:5,5

5:20;21:17;26:4;10:15;40:10;

Freedom (2)

35:6.6

FRIEDMANN (26)

1:.5;10:9;38:9;39:8;42:8;44:11; 45:15;46:7;48:9;63:21;81:14; 82:20;84:7;87:18;38:9;39:8; 42:8;44:11;45:15;46:7;48:9; 63:21;81:14;82;20;84:7;87:18

Friedmann's (2)

38:20,20 front (2)

88:25,25 fullest (4)

18:6;20:8;18:6;20:8

function (19)

18:3;6:25;22:19;7:6,18;26:7; 27:18;28:12,24;35:13;18:3; 79:22;22:19;26:7;27:18;28:12, 24;35:13;79:22

functional (75)

17:23;18:13;19:4,23;6:7;25:9, 23;26:3,20;27:4,7,11;8:14;29:8, 10;30:20;31:12;33:15;34:19; 10:11,25;37:13;38:13;12:2,12, 14,24;49:13,22;14;4,13;15;5; 58:18:16:13.16:17:23:18:13: 19:4,23;73:9;79:12;80:6;82:7; 83:17;84:8,11,17;89:7;25:9,23; 26:3,20;27:4,7,11;29:8,10; 30:20;31:12;33:15;34:19;37:13; 38:13;49:13,22;58:18;73:9; 79:12;80:6;82:7;83:17;84:8,11, 17;89:7

functioning (2) 28:15,15

functions (13)

17:22:22:5:26:9.11.13:29:3: 14:11;17:22;22:5;26:9,11,13; 29:3

fundamental (2)

73:17,17 funded (5)

26:14;11:21;80:24;26:14;80:24

funding (6)

7:24;26:12,12;16:23;26:12,12

funds (6)

22:4,5;81:3;22:4,5;81:3

furlough (2)

24:6.6

further (3)

72:22,22;92:11

future (14)

41:15,18;52:18;53:8;65:15; 85:15,17;41:15,18;52:18;53:8; 65:15;85:15,17

G

G1 (1) 2:5.5

gathering (2) 77:6,6

gave (4) 80:4;82:11:80:4;82:11 General (34)

23:3:25:5,6,8,19:27:1:30:17: 39:13;43:6;44:15;47:12;50:9,17; 69:4,6,14,16;23:3;25:5,6,8,19; 27:1:30:17;39:13;43:6;44:15; 47:12;50:9,17;69:4,6,14,16

general's (2)

70:6.6

Genesis (2)

22:1,1

gets (3) 4:9:87:25.25

gist (1)

11:8 given (8)

18:17;28:4;18:17;77:10;81:25; 28:4;77:10;81:25

goes (11)

18:18;10:9;53:11,13;18:18; 88:18;89:19;53:11,13;88:18; 89:19

good (8)

73:21;84:21;85:3,20;73:21; 84:21:85:3,20

govern (2) 19:14,14

governing (4)

30:10;58:12;30:10;58:12

government (92)

17:20,23;18:11,14,20;19:2,4,13, 24;20:17,24;6:8;21:11;22:7,19; 25:24;26:3,7,16,17,18,20;27:2,3, 4,6,7,21;28:12,15,16,23;8:1; 29:3;31:9,22;32:3;33:5;13:24; 49:6:14:5.14:15:6:58:25:16:13. 17.23,24;17:20,23;18:11,14,20; 19:2,4,13,24;73:10;20:17,24; 79:14;80:7;21:11;22:7,19;25:24; 26:3,7,16,17,18,20;27:2,3,4,6,7, 21;28:12,15,16,23;29:3;31:9,22; 32:3;33:5;49:6;58:25;73:10; 79:14;80:7

governmental (42)

18:2;7:1,7,19;25:16;27:12;8:13, 14,25;29:21;30:21;9:16;34:20; 12:12:14:11;58:18;16:21;18:2; 79:22:80:2:82:4,8:83:17:84:9. 12:85:13:88:2:25:16:27:12: 29:21:30:21:34:20:58:18:79:22: 80:2;82:4,8;83:17;84:9,12; 85:13;88:2

governments (2)

33:18,18

governor (2)

23:17,17

grandfather (2)

24:16.16 granting (2)

24:8,8

grants (4) 39:17;68:9;39:17;68:9

great (2) 82:10,10 greater (2)

39:1.1 Griffin (2)

20:17.17 Groom (7)

4:12;40:23;43:7;50:25;40:23; 43:7;50:25

Groom's (7)

3:21;43:7;44:15;65:4;43:7; 44:15;65:4

group (1)

8:5

guarantee (4)

45:16:46:4:45:16:46:4

guess (4)

46:6:78:14:46:6:78:14

guidance (2)

80:4,4 guy (2)

57:3,3

H

habeas (2)

69:9,9 hairs (2)

36:8,8

handed (2) 23:24.24

handle (2)

51:21,21 handling (2)

87:17.17 hands (4)

17:12;18:12;17:12;18:12

happen (2) 32:21.21

happy (4) 56:6;62:11;56:6;62:11

Hardeman (2)

21:9,9 HAYNIE (3)

2:16.5:92:3.18.5

hear (19)

6:11;30:25;33:20;37:2;55:24; 56:2;73:16,20;78:25;79:1;30:25; 33:20;37:2;55:24;56:2;73:16,20; 78:25:79:1

heard (1)

3:1

hearing (14)

3:18,22;5:20;10:17;11:23;14:1; 57:1;61:2;80:23;91:3;57:1;61:2; 80:23;91:3

hearsay (4) 4:8,19,22;5:23

held (22)

20:12;40:10;48:7;49:22;70:4,8; 71:20;72:2;20:12;83:2,15,16; 40:10;48:7;49:22;70:4,8;71:20; 72:2;83:2,15,16

help (6)

21:15:37:9:78:19:21:15:37:9:

78:19

hereby (1)

92:4

here's (2)

78:18,18 hockey (4)

79:20:88:7:79:20:88:7

hold (6)

47:15;58:12;80:5;47:15;58:12;

July 29, 2008

80:5

holding (4)

18:19;60:8;18:19;60:8

home (2)

59:20,20

honest (2) 81:7.7

Honor (109)

3:15;4:5;5:17,18;6:14;7:13; 31:1;32:17;34:5,10;36:10;10:2, 14:37:24:38:6:39:21:41:25:43:4. 21;44:2;12:4;45:9,13,25;48:5, 19:51:13:52:9:53:12,20:54:12: 55:21:56:10:57:17:60:8:62:9.16: 63:2,3:64:22:65:8:68:23:69:2: 70:25;72:9,22;73:1;79:3;82:9, 18:83:9:84:20:85:23:86:3.10: 89:10;90:23;91:1,10;31:1;32:17; 34:5,10;36:10;37:24;38:6;39:21; 41:25;43:4,21;44:2;45:9,13,25; 48:5,19;51:13;52:9;53:12,20; 54:12;55:21;56:10;57:17;60:8; 62:9,16;63:2,3;64:22;65:8; 68:23;69:2;70:25;72:9,22;73:1; 79:3;82:9,18:83:9;84:20;85:23;

Honorable (2) 1:.5;3:2

Honor's (4)

52:21;84:24;52:21;84:24

86:3,10:89:10:90:23:91:1,10

hope (2) 46:19,19

hopefully (2)

77:22,22 hours (2)

29:18,18 house (4)

31:10;35:15;31:10;35:15

housed (2) 32:13.13

houses (2) 36:18,18

housing (3) 7:7;35:12,12

Humane (2)

8:4:16:8

I

ice (4)

79:20;88:7;79:20;88:7

idea (2) 62:22.22

identification (4)

42:15;62:8;42:15;62:8 identified (6) 43:8;44:17;50:20;43:8;44:17; 50:20 identifies (2) 41:5.5 identifying (2) 41:18.18 identity (2) 21:3,3 III (1) 2:10.5immaterial (2) 46:21,21 immediately (4) 29:23:90:14:29:23:90:14 Immigration (2) 33:9,9 impact (4) 27:25;53:7;27:25;53:7 impending (4) 54:20;65:14;54:20;65:14 impermissible (2) 72:6,6 implementing (4) 24:1,4,1,4 important (5) 8:11;66:6;80:21;66:6;80:21 INC (4) 1:.5;2:17;71:13,13 incarcerating (1) incident (2) 60:12,12 include (12) 18:11;38:14;14:20;54:7,14; 16:22;64:11;18:11;38:14;54:7, 14;64:11 included (2) 38:8,8 includes (4) 38:17,21,17,21 including (8) 51:5;64:3,7;76:18;51:5;64:3,7; 76:18 inconsistent (2) 68:19.19 incredibly (1) 2.11 independent (1) 13:2 independently (1) 15:21 indicate (4) 28:1:53:2:28:1:53:2 indicated (3) 5:20:45:25.25 indicia (1) 14:12 Individually (1)

industry (2) 19:9.9 informal (2) 5:25:9:11 information (51) 3:10;35:7;42:25;45:12;51:17, 19;52:10,12;53:4,10,23;54:1,8, 16;56:20;59:10,23;60:6,11,14; 61:9;65:9,19;69:25;81:22;85:24; 35:7;42:25;45:12;51:17,19; 52:10.12;53:4,10.23;54:1.8,16; 56:20;59:10,23;60:6,11,14:61:9: 65:9,19;69:25;81:22;85:24 in-house (4) 40:25:42:5:40:25:42:5 initial (5) 6:16;10:5;49:11;16:12;49:11 initially (2) 70:4,4 injunctions (2) 59:2,2 injunctive (4) 49:18:50:1:49:18:50:1 inmate (4) 24:2:11:10.10:24:2 inmates (24) 24:5,7,9;31:10,25;32:12,13; 33:1,3,4,6;34:7;24:5,7,9;31:10, 25;32:12,13;33:1,3,4,6;34:7 inquired (2) 25:15.15 insisting (2) 27:2,2 inspect (22) 30:3;39:17;48:2;57:17;62:24; 73:14:74:6.18:75:5:76:8:77:6; 30:3;39:17;48:2;57:17;62:24; 73:14;74:6,18;75:5;76:8;77:6 inspection (11) 29:17,24;16:7;75:12;77:1,17; 29:17,24;75:12;77:1,17 instance (2)

44:2,2

instead (4)

intend (2)

intentionally (3)

18:21;9:1;18:21

interested (3)

74:13,13:92:15

18:8;58:9;18:8;58:9

interpretation (2)

interpretations (2)

39:24;40:5;39:24;40:5

21:3;22:21;35:25;36:2;10:19;

interpreted (4)

intertwined (2)

interpret (4)

85:9.9

28:2,2

into (35)

82:25.25

18:19.19

21:9;69:10:21:9;69:10

21:3;22:21;86:13,13;35:25;36:2; 52:25;53:5,9,10;58:15,19;66:20; 67:6,17;68:5;86:13,13 investigation (2) 69:6.6 investigations (2) 49:7.7 Investments (2) 71:13,13 involve (2) 68:21.21 involved (10) 35:5;69:2;85:8,10;88:6;35:5; 69:2:85:8.10:88:6 involvement (12) 7:25;26:16,17,18;27:21;28:16; 16:24;26:16,17,18;27:21;28:16 involving (8) 38:23;50:5;53:16;64:14;38:23; 50:5;53:16;64:14 iota (2) 60:13,13 irrelevant (4) 81:17,21,17,21 issue (39) 4:15;5:8;20:23;27:6,21;28:13, 22,25;30:22;39:12;13:7,7;15:1; 68:5;70:1,25;76:9,10;20:23; 82:13;83:22;90:9,17;27:6,21; 28:13,22,25:30:22:39:12:68:5: 70:1,25;76:9,10:82:13;83:22; 90:9,17 issued (8) 49:7,25;71:4,15;49:7,25;71:4,15 issues (22) 31:4;36:19;12:10;14:20;77:2; 78:14;79:12;81:1;83:20,21; 88:22;91:2;31:4;36:19;77:2; 78:14;79:12;81:1;83:20,21; 88:22;91:2 issuing (4) 49:18;67:25;49:18;67:25 J

13:10;52:25;53:5,9,10;15;17;

58:15,19:66:20:67:6,17:68:5:

8:17,18;11:5,6,9;77:15,15 jails (2) 82:14,14 January (12) 64:7;75:19,21;76:17;77:8,16; 64:7;75:19,21;76:17;77:8,16 JASON (1) 2:11.5 JC (2) 71:12,12 JENNIFER (3) 2:16.5;92:3,18.5 jenniferhayine@comcastnet (1) 2:19.5 JENNINGS (2)

1:.5;2:17 iob (2) 73:21.21 Joe (1) 10:2 join (2) 72:6,6 JOSEPH (1) 2:10.5 iudament (6) 49:18:83:5:90:3:49:18:83:5: 90:3 iudaments (4) 59:3:72:7:59:3:72:7 judicial (4) 85:15.16.15.16 July (7) 1:.5;3:3;5:6;62:5;91:13;62:5; 91:13 jurisdiction (3) 16:1;71:18,18 justification (5) 16:15:81:11:88:19:81:11:88:19 justifications (2) 85:22.22 iustified (2) 89:12,12 juveniles (2) 34:6.6

K

KB (2) 71:12.12 keep (10) 45:11,11;68:2,15;70:18;45:11, 11;68:2,15;70:18 keeping (2) 26:6,6 key (2) 39:21,21 kind (11) 6:24;36:2;57:9,23;62:3;82:1; 36:2;57:9,23;62:3;82:1 knew (4) 81:6;87:6;81:6;87:6 knowing (4) 86:15;87:4;86:15;87:4 Knoxville (2) 20:18,18 KRAMER (1) 2:5

L lacked (2) 71:18,18 land (4) 25:13;32:6;25:13;32:6 language (14) 17:10;20:5,6,9;30:16,18;63:25; 17:10:20:5,6,9;30:16,18:63:25 large (9)

1:.5

75:1,1

indoors (2)

22:3;46:2;64:20;70:5;22:3; 46:2;64:20;70:5;92:19.5 last (10) 11:23:44:24:12:8:62:15:72:24: 77:9;44:24;62:15;72:24;77:9 later (6) 71:3,17,21,3,17,21 law (54) 17:4;20:14;6:5;25:3;26:1; 28:20:39:20.23:47:9:56:24: 15:14,19,23;57:24;58:8,9,9; 59:10:60:19:61:6:17:4:67:23: 73:13:20:14;80:14,17;83:4,11; 85:10;87:11;90:2;25:3;26:1; 28:20;39:20,23;47:9;56:24; 57:24;58:8,9,9;59:10;60:19: 61:6;67:23;73:13;80:14,17;83:4, 11;85:10;87:11;90:2 laws (2) 35:4,4 lawsuit (6) 45:1,12;47:18;45:1,12;47:18 lawsuits (2) 41:4,4 lawyering (2) 80:9.9 Lawyers (9) 3:5:12:9:13:1:80:9:87:16; 89:14:80:9:87:16:89:14 lawyer's (2) 77:19,19 lead (1) 3:12 least (3) 3:8;74:14,14 Lebanon (2) 86:25,25 **LEGAL (22)** 1:.5;4:12;45:1;46:25;80:12; 81:11;83:21;87:9,17,24;88:19; 90:9;45:1;46:25;80:12;81:11; 83:21;87:9,17,24;88:19;90:9 legally (2) 89:12,12 legible (2) 76:12,12 legislation (1) legislature (14) 17:2;21:21;22:2;7:10;25:25; 28:18;15:24;58:1;17:2;21:21; 22:2;25:25:28:18:58:1 legitimate (12) 80:12;84:6,25;85:22,25;88:9; 80:12;84:6,25;85:22,25;88:9 less (2) 24:10,10 letter (18)

21:24;38:3,10;44:24;49:11; 57:24;64:8;74:23;76:19;21:24; 38:3,10;44:24;49:11;57:24;64:8;

7:24,24;28:15;11:25,25;16:22; 85:19:28:15:85:19 liaison (2) 27:16.16 liberally (4) 28:6;89:19:28:6;89:19 lieu (4) 75:9,10,9,10 light (4) 41:19;81:23;41:19;81:23 likely (6) 45:15.23:48:14:45:15.23:48:14 limited (5) 5:22.22;15:25;63:24,24 list (6) 52:22;54:24;56:10;52:22;54:24; 56:10 lists (4) 41:4;76:13;41:4;76:13 litigating (2) 27:5,5 litigation (78) 38:15,23;40:11,18,24;11:16,17; 41:1,6,15,18;43:22;48:13;51:5, 16;52:3,14,17,18;53:17;54:19, 19.20:56:1:57:4:60:2.14.21: 62:7;63:22,24;64:21;65:14,15; 68:15;69:6,15;76:14,24;77:7; 38:15,23;40:11,18,24;41:1,6,15, 18;43:22;48:13;51:5,16;52:3,14, 17,18;53:17;54:19,19,20;56:1; 57:4;60:2,14,21:62:7;63:22,24; 64:21;65:14,15;68:15;69:6,15; 76:14,24;77:7 litigations (6) 50:5;53:8;64:3;50:5;53:8;64:3 little (3)

6:24;30:19,19 local (9)

33:4,18;34:5,25;10:24;33:4,18; 34:5,25

location (2) 64:12,12 log (4)

44:13;88:12;44:13;88:12

logical (2) 87:13,13 long (4)

27:23;76:2;27:23;76:2

look (29)

18:16;6:1;22:24;7:3,15;36:10, 13;51:23;54:4;58:8,15;18:16; 75:16;22:24;87:23,24;88:19,24; 36:10,13;51:23;54:4;58:8,15; 75:16;87:23,24;88:19,24

looked (3) 13:3:73:24.24 looking (14)

36:4;53:18,24;54:25;55:18; 59:16;77:18;36:4;53:18,24; 54:25;55:18;59:16;77:18

looks (8) 51:22,24;52:7;77:21;51:22,24;

52:7;77:21 loss (2) 41:10.10 lost (2) 64:15.15 lot (8) 4:12;6:19;45:5;77:22;79:11;

 \mathbf{M}

machine (1) 92:6 maintain (2) 22:17,17 maintained (4) 40:25:65:13:40:25:65:13 maintenance (4) 24:19;26:5;24:19;26:5 major (2)

12:10:13:4

45:5;77:22;79:11

makes (13) 4:12;21:18;26:5;30:5,18;74:25; 75:17;21:18;26:5;30:5,18;74:25; 75:17

makeup (4) 22:11;36:25;22:11;36:25 making (8)

18:15;30:10;63:9;18:15;77:4; 30:10;63:9;77:4

MALONE (1)

manage (4) 41:14;52:16;41:14;52:16 managed (1)

14:8

management (4) 24:20;77:15;24:20;77:15 manner (2)

42:24,24 mark (5) 5:10;62:9,24,9,24

Marshal's (4)

31:24;33:8;31:24;33:8 materials (4)

45:20;56:23;45:20;56:23 matter (12)

3:1;22:8;25:17;26:8,18;15:25; 60:11;22:8;25:17;26:8,18;60:11 matters (3)

6:3;22:7,7 may (36)

18:25;24:7;29:18,21;30:9,12; 43:16;45:5,22,23,24;51:22; 60:16;18:25;72:6;74:5;75:4; 79:8;87:22;24:7;29:18,21;30:9, 12;43:16;45:5,22,23,24;51:22; 60:16;72:6;74:5;75:4;79:8; 87:22

Maybe (12) 21:7;52:3,5,5;54:2;78:19;21:7; 52:3,5,5;54:2;78:19 mean (21)

31:18;9:16;39:25;40:6;46:16; 73:1,19:75:14,15:79:25:81:15: 31:18;39:25;40:6;46:16;73:1,19; 75:14,15;79:25;81:15

means (2) 74:6,6 meat (2) 57:10,10

mechanisms (2) 69:11,11 meet (3)

24:24:12:3:24:24 memorandum (3) 6:18:89:5.5 Memphis (9)

2:6;17:4;25:10,19;59:13;17:4; 25:10,19;59:13

mentioned (4) 86:4,8,4,8 merely (4) 17:18.18:65:18.18

merit (2)

71:25,25 met (3) 10:16.20:12:3 might (14)

3:18:46:9:13:11:53:2,7.9:62:21: 86:5;46:9;53:2,7,9;62:21;86:5

minute (2) 37:6.6 misunderstood (2)

57:14,14 moment (5)

11:3;51:3;90:15;51:3;90:15 monetary (2)

57:13,13 money (10)

51:7;52:4;64:5,9;76:15;51:7; 52:4;64:5,9;76:15

monies (2) 76:19,19 monitor (4)

19:20;27:19;19:20:27:19 Monroe (1)

2:5.5 moral (2) 84:3,3

more (23) 24:10;7:6;30:19;31:17;45:4;

46:1;52:12;53:3;60:18;73:20; 82:3;88:1;24:10;30:19;31:17; 45:4:46:1:52:12:53:3:60:18: 73:20;82:3;88:1

morning (2) 90:21,21

most (12) 22:4;48:17:13:14:15:3;59:19:

74:13,25;22:4;48:17;59:19; 74:13,25

mostly (3) 26:12;15:15;26:12 motion (5)

5:5;90:15;91:3;90:15;91:3

74:23;76:19

level (9)

obvious (2)

move (7) 4:14;43:19;48:17;65:23;43:19; 48:17:65:23 much (4) 21:2;27:19;21:2;27:19 municipal (6) 17:20;39:18;49:6;17:20;39:18; 49:6 municipality (6) 19:11:35:1:19:11:77:14:35:1; 77:14 must (41) 17:8,20:20:2:23:1,6,10:24:22, 23:28:5;29:7,23;30:12;42:16; 13:9;15:3,8;57:14;17:8,20;74:6; 75:11;20:2;82:22;85:13;23:1,6, 10;90:13;24:22,23;28:5;29:7,23; 30:12;42:16;57:14;74:6;75:11; 82:22;85:13;90:13 myself (4) 51:23;87:16;51:23;87:16

N

name (6) 33:23;52:2;64:11;33:23;52:2; 64:11 named (2) 50:19,19 names (6) 51:10,12;64:11;51:10,12;64:11 Nashville (7) 1:.5;2:13,18.5;59:13;74:7; 59:13:74:7 national (2) 24:24,24 nation's (1) 6:8 nature (8) 29:25;42:22;60:11;90:18;29:25; 42:22:60:11:90:18 nearly (2) 25:18.18 necessarily (1) 7:20 necessary (1) 4:18 necessity (2) 25:17,17 need (20) 4:8,14;30:21;31:5;33:13;54:2; 58:21;76:4;83:20,21;91:6;30:21; 31:5;33:13;54:2;58:21;76:4; 83:20,21;91:6 needed (2) 44:12,12 needs (3) 4:15;76:22,22 negligence (2)

1:.5:87:18.18 next (11) 12:13:50:3:51:1:62:25:69:20: 89:15:50:3;51:1;62:25;69:20; 89:15 nice (2) 89:11.11 Ninth (8) 71:12,14,20;72:2;71:12,14,20; 72:2 Nobody's (2) 86:15,15 non (3) 16:15;75:13,13 none (2) 47:18,18 nonexhaustive (1) 16:19 nor (6) 19:17;39:12;19:17;39:12;92:13, 14 normal (4) 29:18:69:11:29:18:69:11 North (3) 1:.5;2:12.5,17.5 Notary (2) 92:3.19 note (1) 4:25 notes (2) 85:5,5 notice (6) 4:2;5:8;90:16;91:4;90:16;91:4 number (42) 30:17;40:3,9;44:23;45:6;55:1,9, 19;59:20,21;61:16,17;62:25; 64:12;75:4,22;76:1,9,9;79:10; 88:9;30:17;40:3,9;44:23;45:6; 55:1,9,19;59:20,21;61:16,17; 62:25;64:12;75:4,22;76:1,9,9; 79:10:88:9 numbered (2) 62:25,25 numbers (2) 59:15,15 nunc (2) 72:1,1

0

objecting (2) 27:9,9 objection (1) 4:25 objections (2) 86:1,1 obligation (3) 9:2;36:7,7 obligations (6) 18:22:29:19:49:9:18:22:29:19: 49:9 obtain (5) 59:24;60:20;16:11;59:24;60:20

82:1.1 Obviously (4) 45:24;72:15;45:24;72:15 occurrence (2) 60:13.13 occurring (2) 69:8.8 offered (5) 10:19;41:19;63:12;41:19;63:12 offering (2) 39:10,10 office (6) 31:24;33:8,9;31:24;33:8,9 officers (2) 22:16,16 officer's (2) 59:11,11 offices (2) 74:7,7 official (6) 18:10;20:16;21:25;18:10;20:16; 21:25 officials (2) 87:6,6 offsite (2) 45:17,17 Once (4) 34:22;54:15;34:22;54:15 one (46) 5:2;21:8;7:16,21;38:21;11:2; 44:23;12:11;46:4;47:15,16; 48:15;13:7,7;55:8,19;58:23; 60:13;16:22;61:16;75:3;21:8; 82:10,12;85:2;88:8,9;89:6; 38:21:44:23:46:4:47:15,16; 48:15:55:8.19:58:23:60:13: 61:16:75:3;82:10,12;85:2;88:8, 9:89:6 ones (7) 6:4,4;21:6;34:18;10:17;21:6; 34:18 only (14) 34:12;37:18;41:21;42:9;52:15; 58:1;61:4;34:12;37:18;41:21; 42:9;52:15;58:1;61:4 open (14) 17:3,17;21:23;28:20;14:6,21; 56:14;17:3,17;73:13;21:23; 28:20;56:14;73:13 opened (2) 60:12,12 opening (14) 40:2,9,12,20;66:24;67:24; 81:15;40:2,9,12,20;66:24;67:24; 81:15 operate (2) 7:2:8:10 operated (6) 37:4,7;11:20;14:8;37:4,7 operates (2) 18:13.13 operating (7)

8:18:34:23.24;82:6;34:23.24; 82:6 operations (4) 35:10;77:15;35:10;77:15 opining (1) 13:17 opinion (5) 25:5;8:11;30:16;25:5;30:16 opposing (2) 47:8.8 order (86) 38:19;39:14;40:7;56:5;61:14, 17;66:4,9,10,15,21,25;67:7,10, 13;68:1,7,16;70:20;71:4,7,16,19, 21,22,24;72:14,15,17;73:7,10; 74:1;75:8;76:2,5,22;78:7,9,10, 13,13;86:6;90:4;38:19;39:14; 40:7;56:5;61:14,17;66:4,9,10,15, 21.25;67:7,10,13;68:1,7,16; 70:20;71:4,7,16,19,21,22,24; 72:14,15,17;73:7,10;74:1;75:8; 76:2,5,22;78:7,9,10,13,13;86:6; 90:4 ordered (2) 66:11,11 orders (22) 66:25;67:15,19,25;68:10;70:16; 72:19;74:5;75:12;85:24;86:23; 66:25;67:15,19,25;68:10;70:16; 72:19;74:5;75:12;85:24;86:23 ordinance (2) 20:15.15 organization (4) 46:25;47:1;46:25;47:1 originated (2) 74:11,11 originating (2) 45:3,3 others (2) 14:12;15:20 otherwise (13) 39:19,23:14:17:56:20:67:25; 70:22;71:6;39:19,23;56:20; 67:25;70:22;71:6 ought (4) 73:6;90:10;73:6;90:10 ours (1) 11:17 out (40) 6:20;9:1;10:4;46:19;13:11; 49:10;51:24;53:25;59:6;72:20; 73:18;74:16,18;75:2;80:14,18; 81:21;86:11;89:4;90:20,21;91:7; 46:19;49:10;51:24;53:25;59:6; 72:20;73:18;74:16,18;75:2; 80:14,18;81:21;86:11;89:4; 90:20,21;91:7 outcome (3) 13:8;60:12,12 outcomes (4) 41:5;52:23;41:5;52:23 outlined (4) 40:12;50:17;40:12;50:17

83:5.5

neither (2)

19:17,17

NEWS (3)

outside (2) 41:8.8 over (17) 6:21:24:19:28:17,17:31:3:39:6; 11:8;57:11;16:25;83:8;24:19; 28:17,17;31:3;39:6;57:11;83:8 overseas (2) 18:9.9 oversee (2) 19:21.21 oversight (2) 23:7.7 overturn (2) 71:6.6 own (4) 6:8;7:2;37:19,19 owned (8) 21:19;32:6;37:3;75:20;21:19; 32:6;37:3;75:20 ownership (2) 77:14,14

P page (4) 62:2,5,2,5 paid (12) 22:12,12;39:6;46:1;64:13: 76:20;22:12,12;39:6;46:1;64:13; 76:20 pain (4) 77:23;82:11;77:23;82:11 paper (3) 5:5;62:18,18 papers (8) 47:22;73:11;74:9;75:23;47:22; 73:11;74:9;75:23 paragraph (8) 58:23,23,24;78:2;58:23,23,24; 78:2 parole (2) 24:2,2 part (6) 22:3,3;86:20;91:3;86:20;91:3 particular (10) 28:25;61:9;67:8;71:11;76:24; 28:25;61:9;67:8;71:11;76:24 particularly (8) 65:3;66:13;85:3,12;65:3;66:13; 85:3,12 parties (15) 3:9;5:19,24;17:12;43:2;14:3; 15:15,22;64:11:17:12:88:20: 43:2;64:11;88:20;92:12 parts (1) 3:11 party (10) 20:25;42:20;56:20;68:14;70:11; 20:25;42:20;56:20;68:14;70:11 party's (1) 15:18 past (2)

pay (8) 7:8:8:23:57:19:75:18:87:22: 57:19;75:18;87:22 paying (2) 87:21,21 payment (24) 38:24,25;48:22,25;50:6;51:6; 57:13;64:5,9;74:14;75:6;76:15; 38:24.25;48:22.25;50:6;51:6; 57:13;64:5,9;74:14;75:6;76:15 pending (10) 41:15,17;53:8;69:8,10;41:15, 17:53:8:69:8.10 people (9) 7:8;35:15;87:17,21;91:6;35:15; 87:17,21;91:6 per (2) 30:13,13 percent (1) 10:23 perfect (2) 59:10,10 perform (2) 24:7,7 performance (6) 19:21;23:14,16;19:21;23:14,16 performing (2) 17:19.19 performs (3) 18:2;7:1;18:2 period (3) 46:6;13:21;46:6 person (9) 27:17,21;30:2,4;11:12;27:17, 21;30:2,4 personnel (4) 59:11,18,11,18 person's (2) 27:18,18 persuaded (2) 30:15,15 petition (8) 6:16;36:14;12:6;69:9;90:4; 36:14;69:9;90:4 Petitioner (6) 1:.5;2:3;5:17:6:23;90:6,6 photographs (4) 30:6,11,6,11 photostats (4) 30:6,11,6,11 phrase (8) 39:22,25;40:6;64:18;39:22,25; 40:6:64:18 place (2) 26:14,14 placed (2) 76:23,23 placing (2) 24:9,9 plaintiff (78) 3:13;20:22;6:9,11;21:6,7,21,23; 28:14;30:23;33:21;39:7;46:10, 11;48:2;13:9,18;14:1,4,16,24;

54:25:55:19;15:2,10;16:11; 62:23:73:11,12:74:5,12,18,22: 75:4,16;76:4,5,6,11,23;20:22; 77:5,5,19:78:17:21:6,7,21,23; 83:24;28:14;30:23;33:21;39:7; 46:10,11;48:2;54:25;55:19; 62:23;73:11,12;74:5,12,18,22; 75:4,16:76:4,5,6,11,23;77:5,5, 19:78:17:83:24 plaintiff's (4) 38:3;74:4;38:3;74:4 plan (4) 21:1:23:18:21:1:23:18 pleading (2) 58:20.20 pm (2) 91:13,13 point (33) 3:11;4:9,10;6:5,20;21:12;34:21; 36:15,21;50:9;52:21;59:6;61:11; 80:18;21:12;81:21;86:4,11;89:3, 4;34:21;36:15,21;50:9;52:21; 59:6:61:11:80:18:81:21:86:4.11: 89:3.4 pointed (4) 21:17:49:10:21:17:49:10 policy (2) 89:17.17 position (12) 10:14;41:17;13:24;56:3;66:8, 14;87;9;41:17;56:3;66:8,14;87:9 possession (4) 30:7;45:22;30:7;45:22 possible (8) 18:6;20:8;28:6;62:21;18:6; 20:8;28:6;62:21 possibly (2) 47:19,19 posthoc (2) 71:3,3 potential (2) 41:18,18 potentially (2) 46:2,2 power (2) 72:5.5 powers (2) 23:20.20 preempt (2) 67:20,20 preemption (6) 62:4:68:4:70:25:62:4:68:4: 70:25 preparation (2) 56:22,22 prepared (4) 40:17;52:17;40:17;52:17 present (14) 25:4;38:25;39:5;49:8,19;50:7; 55:4;25:4;38:25;39:5;49:8,19; 50:7;55:4 presented (10)

19,21,19,19,21 pretty (1) 10:4 prevent (2) 70:11.11 previously (5) 17:3;6:15;28:19;17:3;28:19 primarily (2) 25:24.24 principle (2) 72:3,3 principles (2) 15:14.23 print (4) 51:9:54:7:51:9:54:7 printed (2) 52:1,1 prints (4) 51:8:54:3:51:8:54:3 prior (2) 71:23,23 PRISON (68) 1:.5:19:6,15,16,18,19:22:22,25; 23:19,19,21,25;24:14,17,18,18, 19,20,25;7:11;26:21;27:18;8:9, 10:32:5;34:24;35:14;36:15,25; 46:25;53:16;19:6,15,16;18,19; 79:18;81:8;82:6;22:22,25;87:17; 23:19,19,21,25;89:1;24:14,17, 18,18,19,20,25;26:21;27:18; 32;5;34:24;35:14;36:15,25; 46:25;53:16;79:18;81:8;82:6; 87:17:89:1 prisoner (2) 64:14,14 prisoners (14) 22:18;26:7;27:22;34:17;35:16; 36:20;16:6,8;22:18;26:7;27:22; 34:17;35:16;36:20 prisons (27) 22:17;26:6;27:16;28:11;31:23, 24;32:6;33:9;34:10,14,23;16:6, 7,7;82:13;22:17;26:6;27:16; 28:11;31:23,24;32:6;33:9;34:10, 14,23;82:13 private (49) 17:16.16;18:12.24,25;19:1,6,9, 15,16,17;22:2,13,15,25;7:10; 27:5,8;8:1,8,10;16:21;17:16,16; 18:12,24,25;19:1,6,9,15,16,17; 80:6;82:14;84:10;22:2,13,15,25; 87:21;88:3;27:5,8;80:6;82:14; 84:10;87:21;88:3 privately (2) 21:19.19 privilege (43) 39:11:40:1:41:24:42:7.13.18. 21;47:13;50:10,16,18;14:18; 56:13;57:7;60:19;61:4,7;63:15, 18;69:19;70:7;85:22;39:11; 40:1;41:24;42:7,13,18,21;47:13; 50:10,16,18;56:13;57:7;60:19; 61:4,7;63:15,18;69:19;70:7;

47:7.7

20:22;6:5;10:18;20:22;84:19,

85:22

privileged (48)

30:2;38:17;41:7,25;43:1,3; 44:13,16;47:9,19;51:19,20; 52:11,11;54:8,14;60:25;63:7; 65:6,10,20;69:21;85:24;88:12; 30:2;38:17;41:7,25;43:1,3; 44:13,16;47:9,19;51:19,20; 52:11,11;54:8,14;60:25;63:7; 65:6,10,20;69:21;85:24;88:12

privileges (2) 56:23,23 pro (2)

72:1,1

probably (8)

28:8;46:14;47:24;89:19;28:8; 46:14;47:24;89:19

probative (4) 20:23,23;81:1,1 problem (2) 47:6,6

procedural (2) 68:25,25

Procedure (18)

40:16;42:19;58:6;61:23;67:17, 20;68:8;69:23;70:17;40:16; 42:19;58:6;61:23;67:17,20;68:8; 69:23:70:17

procedures (4) 24:1,4,1,4

proceeding (1)

3:6

PROCEEDINGS (7)

1:.5;3:4;58:13;91:12;58:13; 91:12;92:7

process (2) 41:11,11

processes (2)

53:6,6

produce (40)

9:2;37:16;11:13;49:3,23;59:8; 66:10,12;73:7;78:3,7,13;80:11; 81:6,12,13;85:21;86:16,23; 88:13;89:10;37:16;49:3,23;59:8; 66:10,12;73:7;78:3,7,13;80:11; 81:6,12,13;85:21;86:16,23; 88:13:89:10

produced (18)

4:20;8:20;42:24;47:10;60:22; 61:16;64:25;80:25;86:17;88:12; 42:24;47:10;60:22;61:16;64:25; 80:25;86:17;88:12

producing (6)

30:14;43:13;86:1;30:14;43:13; 86:1

product (57)

38:16;39:10;40:1,13,14;42:12, 17,21;44:4,9;49:2;50:16,18; 52:13;14:17;54:22;56:12;61:9; 63:7,15,18;65:5,7,12,17,22; 69:19,21;70:7;38:16;39:10;40:1, 13,14;42:12,17,21;44:4,9;49:2; 50:16,18;52:13;54:22;56:12;

61:9;63:7,15,18;65:5,7,12,17,22; 69:19,21:70:7

production (2) 56:14,14

prohibition (2) 32:20.20

promulgate (2) 19:13.13

proof (14)

3:9;4:15;5:21;22:9,10;7:14;9:9; 36:24;10:18;15:2;16:14;22:9,10; 36:24

proper (2) 20:13,13 properly (2)

61:4,4 property (10)

25:14;35:9;53:16;64:14,15; 25:14;35:9;53:16;64:14,15

proposal (2) 60:23,23 Proposals (4)

23:5;60:9;23:5;60:9

propose (6) 21:1,7,14,1,7,14 proposed (4)

23:9;62:18;23:9;62:18

proposes (2) 23:8.8

proposition (4) 39:13;40:4;39:13;40:4

protected (35)
38:16;39:9,25;41:22,23;43:1;
49:1;50:15;14:17;54:21;56:22;
63:6;65:5,11,16,21;69:18;70:7;
38:16;39:9,25;41:22,23;43:1;
49:1:50:15:54:21;56:22:63:6;

65:5,11,16,21;69:18;70:7 protection (24)

40:14;42:11,18,22;43:3;44:4,8; 50:19;61:8;63:16;69:22;70:8; 40:14;42:11,18,22;43:3;44:4,8; 50:19;61:8;63:16;69:22;70:8

protective (2)

68:10,10 **protects (2)** 40:16,16

prove (2) 23:10.10

provide (29)

19:18;21:14;22:22;23:6,8,9; 27:16;28:1;44:3;13:23;15:7,12; 72:25;19:18;74:17;75:14;21:14; 22:22;23:6,8,9;89:21;27:16; 28:1;44:3;72:25;74:17;75:14; 89:21

provided (16)

28:23;30:12;39:19,23;15:4; 16:9;62:20;75:13;76:22;28:23; 30:12;39:19,23;62:20;75:13; 76:22

provides (2) 42:20,20 providing (9)

17:21;14:2;17:21;75:10,10; 76:11;75:10,10;76:11

provision (2) 23:17,17

provisions (3) 24:16;9:19;24:16

public (185)
4:21;17:3,12,13,17,21;18:2,5,6,
7,10,25;20:1,8,8,10,11;22:4,5,
16;7:19;25:7,16,17;26:12;28:4,
5,7,8,9,9,20,24,24;8:22;29:4,10,
11,12,13,15,19;30:3;9:16,19;
35:2;37:12,25;38:11;39:16;
11:11;12:18,23;46:20;13:19,25;
49:12;14:25;15:7,13;57:24;58:7,
11,14;59:7,25;16:2,10;62:1;
17:3,12,13,17,21;66:17,23;67:2,
14,20;68:17;18:2,5,6,7,10,25;
69:1,3,7,12,13,24,25;70:13,23;

75:24;76:7;20:1,8,8,10,11; 78:18;79:7;80:20;81:9;83:15; 22:4,5,16;86:14;87:7;88:4;89:2, 7,17,17;90:19;25:7,16,17;26:12; 28:4,5,7,8,9,9,20,24,24;29:4,10,

11,12,13,15,19;30:3;35:2;37:12, 25;38:11;39:16;46:20;49:12; 57:24;58:7,11,14;59:7,25;62:1;

66:17,23;67:2,14,20;68:17;69:1, 3,7,12,13,24,25;70:13,23;75:24; 76:7;78:18;79:7;80:20;81:9;

76:7;78:18;79:7;80:20;81:9; 83:15;86:14;87:7;88:4;89:2,7, 17.17:90:19:92:4.19

publicly (2) 85:7,7

Publishing (6) 17:5;25:10,20;17:5;25:10,20

purpose (9)

19:8;37:18;14:10;19:8;84:3; 87:18;37:18;84:3;87:18

purposes (14)

25:7,16;28:3,9;29:11;31:13; 54:18;25:7,16;28:3,9;29:11; 31:13;54:18

pursuant (37)

19:22;20:14;21:22;24:14;32:1, 3,14,16,23;33:4,7;35:10,11; 36:21;15:9;61:18;67:16;68:8; 70:16;19:22;20:14;21:22;24:14; 32:1,3,14,16,23;33:4,7;35:10,11; 36:21;61:18;67:16;68:8;70:16

put (18)

9:12;10:22;53:4,5;65:2;70:24; 74:17;81:22;84:24;89:11;53:4,5; 65:2;70:24;74:17;81:22;84:24; 89:11

Q

qualifications (2) 23:10,10 qualifies (2) 40:13,13 quickly (1)

9:13

quote (22)

39:19,20,22,22;42:22;43:3;64:1, 16;72:3,8;86:24;39:19,20,22,22; 42:22;43:3;64:1,16;72:3,8;86:24

R

raise (2) 91:5.5

raised (3)

31:4;11:4;31:4

raising (2) 36:22,22

rather (5)

9:20;51:23;74:15;51:23;74:15

reach (2) 17:13,13 reaches (2)

47:5,5 read (10)

13:1;15:16;63:25;79:17;89:18, \\
20;63:25;79:17;89:18,20

ready (3) 6:11;90:25,25 real (2)

real (2) 83:19,19

really (26)

3:12;6:18;21:1;7:17;8:23;46:11, 13,24;73:22;74:2;76:10;77:18; 79:17;21:1;82:1,12;46:11,13,24; 73:22;74:2;76:10;77:18;79:17; 82:1,12

rearguing (1) 6:20

reason (10)

9:8;12:5;47:16;52:15;67:22; 78:18;47:16;52:15;67:22;78:18

reasonable (7)

30:9;15:11;79:8;84:22;30:9; 79:8;84:22

reasoning (3) 13:16;73:8,8 reasons (8)

50:16;65:2;67:11;85:20;50:16; 65:2;67:11;85:20

receive (2) 26:11,11

received (4) 4:23;20:14;9:21;20:14

receiving (4) 78:17;81:3;78:17;81:3

recognize (3) 5:5;86:20,20

record (30)

4:18;19:25;20:12,13;22:11; 28:7;10:22;37:10;12:18;46:20; 15:17;59:14,25;19:25;20:12,13; 78:18;22:11;85:7;87:7;90:5; 28:7;37:10;46:20;59:14,25; 78:18;85:7;87:7;90:5

recorded (1)

92:5

records (175)

4:21;17:11,12,13,17;18:5,7,9, 12;19:1;20:2,9,10;21:23;25:8; 28:4,5,7,10;8:19,20,22;29:12,12, 12,13,15,17,19,22,23,25;30:1,3, 7.9:9:3:34:12.13:35:2:36:12: 37:12.25:38:11.20:39:9.16.18. 19;11:6,10,11;12:23;13:19,20, 25.25:14:7.15.21.24.25:56:14: 15:13;57:24;58:7,11,14;59;7,24; 16:2.11.11.16:62:1:64:16:17:11. 12,13,17;66:17,23;67:3,14,21; 68:17:18:5,7,9,12;69:1,13:70:13, 23;19:1;73:13;76:7;20:2,9,10; 79:7,24;21:23;81:9;82:24;83:15; 84:1;86:1,14;88:4;89:18;90:19; 25:8;28:4,5,7,10;29:12,12,12,13, 15,17,19,22,23,25;30:1,3,7,9; 34:12,13;35:2;36:12;37:12,25; 38:11,20;39:9,16,18,19;56:14; 57:24;58:7,11,14;59:7,24;62:1; 64:16;66:17,23;67:3,14,21; 68:17;69:1,13;70:13,23;73:13; 76:7;79:7,24;81:9;82:24;83:15; 84:1;86:1,14;88:4;89:18;90:19

record's (3) 9:16;69:25,25 records' (1)

9:19

recover (2) 82:20,20

redact (4)

59:15,16,15,16

refusal (6)

82:16;87:3,10;82:16;87:3,10

refuse (2) 59:8.8 refused (1) 13:22

refusing (2) 87:9.9 regard (2)

14:25:15:1 regarding (8)

24:16;55:25;56:3;77:14;24:16; 55:25;56:3;77:14

regardless (10)

29:24;35:21;39:3;64:8;87:1; 29:24;35:21;39:3;64:8;87:1

regards (1) 5:25

regulate (4) 19:14,20,14,20 regulating (2)

19:8,8

regulation (3) 28:16;16:25;28:16

regulations (2) 19:14,14

rehashing (1)

6:21

rejected (2)

84:20,20

related (4) 37:17;55:3;37:17;55:3

relationship (2)

19:2.2 relative (1)

92:13 release (8)

24:2,6;27:24;57:23;24:2,6; 27:24:57:23

releases (12)

48:21,25;57:20,22;75:5;78:3; 48:21,25;57:20,22;75:5;78:3

relevant (3)

46:21:16:20:46:21

relied (2) 25:12,12

relief (2) 50:1,1

remain (2) 85:19,19 renewals (2)

77:12,12

repeatedly (2) 83:1,1

reply (2) 32:18,18 report (2)

62:19,19 Reporter (3)

23:3.3:92:4 REPORTER'S (1)

92:1

Reporting (2)

1:.5:2:15.5 reports (4)

49:6,7,6,7 represent (2) 49:24,24

representation (2)

87:20,20

request (59)

4:16;9:17,18;34:12,14;36:12; 38:20,21:11:14:12:6,15;48:20, 21;13:19;49:5;50:3,13,14;51:1; 59:12;63:21,22;64:21,23;65:1; 69:3,13,15;70:1,12;74:4;78:24; 85:5;34:12,14;36:12;38:20,21; 48:20,21;49:5;50:3,13,14;51:1; 59:12;63:21,22;64:21,23;65:1; 69:3,13,15;70:1,12;74:4;78:24; 85:5

requested (20)

21:24;29:13,15,22;30:1,11; 38:9;45:15;73:12;76:8;21:24; 29:13,15,22;30:1,11;38:9;45:15; 73:12;76:8

requesting (15)

11:16;44:24;45:25;53:14,14; 64:1,1,18;44:24;45:25;53:14,14; 64:1,1,18

requests (2) 48:15,15

require (10)

20:2;27:15;28:3;30:13;53:25; 20:2;27:15;28:3;30:13;53:25

required (22)

29:16:43:15:44:6.10:13:6:49:3: 15:6;64:25;65:18;66:16;68:17; 83:13;29:16;43:15;44:6,10;49:3; 64:25:65:18:66:16:68:17:83:13

requirement (4)

67:21:70:21:67:21:70:21 requirements (6)

67:2:69:1:90:19:67:2:69:1; 90:19

requires (6)

23:15:47:9:82:25:23:15:47:9: 82:25

requiring (8)

68:1,11,14;70:18;68:1,11,14;

70:18 research (2) 13:3:15:20 resolved (2) 90:11,11

respect (38) 33:16;34:10;35:8;36:17;46:18; 47:8;50:8;57:10;58:23,24;59:2,

5;61:1;78:1;79:12;80:21;86:11; 88:15;90:9;33:16;34:10;35:8; 36:17;46:18;47:8;50:8;57:10;

58:23,24:59:2,5:61:1:78:1: 79:12:80:21:86:11:88:15:90:9

respectful (2) 47:14.14

respectfully (5)

21:4;12:5;21:4;84:23,23 respond (8)

43:16;54:25;55:20;62:12;43:16; 54:25:55:20:62:12

responded (1)

Respondent (2) 1:.5;2:9.5

responds (2) 42:8,8

response (8)

42:9;49:11;62:4;69:15;42:9;

49:11;62:4;69:15 responsibilities (4)

18:23;23:23;18:23;23:23

responsibility (2) 17:21,21

responsive (2) 50:14,14 restrictive (4)

24:10,11,10,11 resulted (12)

38:24;50:6;51:6;64:4;74:13; 76:15;38:24;50:6;51:6;64:4; 74:13;76:15

resume (2) 23:18,18

revealing (2) 42:25,25

revenue (2)

22;4,4

reverse (4)

68:1;71:5;68:1;71:5 review (3)

6:10:80:17.17 reviewed (4)

23:7:86:9:23:7:86:9

revoking (2)

24:9.9 riaht (33)

22:10;30:3,4;37:1,22;38:2; 39:17;12:25;46:15;47:6,20;56:7; 58:17;62:10,17;73:15;22:10; 88:25;30:3,4;37:1,22;38:2; 39:17;46:15;47:6,20;56:7;58:17;

62:10,17;73:15;88:25

rink (4)

79:21;88:7;79:21;88:7

rise (2) 91:11,11 risk (6)

41:14,17;52:19;41:14,17;52:19

road (2) 36:9.9

role (4)

43:25;44:1;43:25;44:1

Rudder (2) 56:15,15

Rule (30) 40:15:42:20:43:14,25:44:2,7: 56:19;61:19,21,23;68:16;70:17,

17,20;77:3;40:15;42:20;43:14, 25;44:2,7;56:19;61:19,21,23;

68:16;70:17,17,20;77:3

ruled (11)

3:8;30:20;71:17;84:10;85:23; 86:21;30:20;71:17;84:10;85:23;

86:21

rules (34)

19:13:30:9:42:19:48:8:56:17. 18,21;58:6,12;67:16,19;68:8,25; 69:22;70:11,20;72:18;19:13; 30:9;42:19;48:8;56:17,18,21; 58:6,12;67:16,19;68:8,25;69:22;

70:11,20;72:18 ruling (31)

4:7,24;5:3;31:6,11;34:19;38:12; 48:6;49:25;68:18;75:25;77:11; 78:22;83:9;84:16,17;86:6;31:6, 11;34:19;38:12;48:6;49:25; 68:18;75:25;77:11;78:22;83:9;

84:16,17;86:6 rulings (4)

49:17;59:2;49:17;59:2

run (6)

23:11;48:14;82:13;23:11;48:14; 82:13

running (3)

8:5;88:7,7 runs (2)

46:24.24 Rutter (2)

	Y	T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
47:11,11	59:15,21,15,21	30:4;42:22;56:24;16:8;64:15;	81:15,15
	seek (4)	90:4;30:4;42:22;56:24;64:15;	Social (4)
\mathbf{S}	67:25;71:9;67:25;71:9	90:4	59:15,21,15,21
	seeking (6)	shared (1)	Society (1)
safe (1)	30:23;46:8;71:5;30:23;46:8;	3:10	8:4
16:7	71:5	Shelby (6)	sole (1)
safety (4)	seeks (3)	34:3,4,16,3,4,16	14:10
24:25;29:4;24:25;29:4	19:10;13:18;19:10	short (6)	somebody (10)
same (8)	seem (1)	43:12,13;89:25;43:12,13;89:25	45:6;60:20;79:5;80:5;81:5;
30:6;40:6;76:1;87:5;30:6;40:6;	3:11	shorthand (1)	45:6;60:20;79:5;80:5;81:5
76:1;87:5	seems (3)	92:6	somebody's (2)
sample (2)	5:24;74:21,21	show (19)	59:20,20
43:13,13	segregate (2)	12:17;47:7;52:2;14:12,23;	somehow (6)
sanctions (4)	74:19,19	53:15;57:1;63:6,17;81:2;82:23;	68:25;70:11;81:8;68:25;70:11;
64:6;76:16;64:6;76:16	sense (4)	47:7;52:2;53:15;57:1;63:6,17;	81:8
satisfied (4)	74:25;75:17;74:25;75:17	81:2;82:23	sometime (2)
40:20;43:5;40:20;43:5	sentence (8)	showing (16)	87:1,1
satisfy (4)	24:5,8,9;64:18;24:5,8,9;64:18	6:7;44:9;51:4;16:12;64:3;	sometimes (2)
42:17;74:22;42:17;74:22	sentencing (2)	76:13;83:23;84:5;86:2;44:9;	88:24,24
saved (2)	27:25,25	51:4;64:3;76:13;83:23;84:5;	somewhere (2)
46:5,5	separate (6)	86:2	47:25,25
saw (2)	33:13;51:12,15;33:13;51:12,15	shown (2)	sorry (2)
56:17,17	separated (1)	76:6,6	55:22,22
saying (11)	12:10	shows (2)	sort (4)
36:20;11:12;51:20;55:9;60:20;	sequence (2)	52:4,4	51:4;74:24;51:4;74:24
73:10;36:20;51:20;55:9;60:20;	19:6,6	side (10)	sorts (2)
73:10	serious (2)	25:18;28:13;47:23;73:4;77:25;	44:14,14
scheme (2)	20:3,3	25:18;28:13;47:23;73:4;77:25	sought (7)
27:14,14	served (1)	SIGNED (1)	14:1,16,24;85:7;87:7;85:7;87:7
scope (4)	5:9	92:16	speaking (1)
64:20;65:1;64:20;65:1	serves (2)	significant (2)	3:19
scratch (2)	19:3,3	81:24,24	specific (17)
/ 60:3,3	Services (24)	silence (2)	42:14;43:21;12:16;48:7;50:17;
screen (4)	1:.5;17:6,19;19:10,19;22:23;	78:24,24 Silverdale (4)	63:20,25;70:1,17;42:14;43:21;
51:9;54:7;51:9;54:7	23:6,10;25:11;28:1,22;15:4,5,6; 17:6,19;19:10,19;22:23;23:6,10;	32:25;34:7;32:25;34:7	48:7;50:17;63:20,25;70:1,17 specifically (16)
screens (6)	25:11;28:1,22	similar (14)	38:7;41:16;48:11,13,23;50:11,
51:8;52:1;54:4;51:8;52:1;54:4	serving (3)	38:22;41:20;49:7;50:4;63:23;	20;72:2;38:7;41:16;48:11,13,23;
scrutiny (2) 17:18,18	35:13;14:10;35:13	64:2,19;38:22;41:20;49:7;50:4;	50:11,20;72:2
seal (44)	set (6)	63:23;64:2,19	spectrum (2)
38:19;39:4,14;40:7;56:4;66:4,	7:12;10:4;48:7;65:3;48:7;65:3	simple (4)	46:3,3
11,20;67:1,6,7,9,12,18;68:2,7,	settle (2)	65:8;85:8;65:8;85:8	split (2)
11;70:19;72:13,14;75:12;85:25;	47:1,1	simply (8)	36:8,8
38:19;39:4,14;40:7;56:4;66:4,	settlement (84)	12:2;13:6;65:9;82:13;83:23;	spreadsheet (10)
11,20;67:1,6,7,9,12,18;68:2,7,	38:18;39:2,13;40:6;41:19;42:4;	65:9;82:13;83:23	38:21;51:4;60:2,15;77:3;38:21;
11;70:19;72:13,14;75:12;85:25	43:20;48:22,24;52:25;53:1;	single (8)	51:4;60:2,15;77:3
sealed (6)	55:24;56:3,4;57:25;58:2,5,15;	17:9;18:17;46:4;49:25;17:9;	spreadsheets (16)
75:9;78:4,6;75:9;78:4,6	61:13,20;62:18;65:25;66:1,3,19,	18:17;46:4;49:25	50:4;51:25;53:15;59:6;63:23;
sealing (10)	21;67:1,4,5,9,12,18;68:6,15,22;	sit (2)	64:2,19;76:13;50:4;51:25;53:15;
76:2,4,5,21;78:8;76:2,4,5,21;	72:11;75:6,7,11,13;76:25;78:6;	55:22,22	59:6;63:23;64:2,19;76:13
78:8	38:18;39:2,13;40:6;41:19;42:4;	sitting (2)	Square (1)
Second (18)	43:20;48:22,24;52:25;53:1;	47:13,13	1:.5
1:.5;47:16;48:20;13:15;55:9;	55:24;56:3,4;57:25;58:2,5,15;	situation (10)	stance (2)
65:24;67:4,22,22;70:24;47:16;	61:13,20;62:18;65:25;66:1,3,19,	25:22;47:14;70:14;71:14;81:5;	44:5,5
48:20;55:9;65:24;67:4,22,22;	21;67:1,4,5,9,12,18;68:6,15,22;	25:22;47:14;70:14;71:14;81:5	stand (2)
70:24	72:11;75:6,7,11,13;76:25;78:6	six (8)	40:4,4
secrecy (2)	settlements (8)	46:6;50:2;55:1,9;46:6;50:2;	standard (12)
47:2,2	61:14;64:5;74:15;76:16;61:14;	55:1,9	40:19;43:4;44:4;82:21;87:3;
Section (15)	64:5;74:15;76:16	size (2)	88:16;40:19;43:4;44:4;82:21;
19:22;20:9;21:22;23:13;25:13;	several (7)	29:25,25	87:3;88:16
39:21;14:2;15:10;16:5;19:22;	3:6;66:24;69:17;71:1;66:24;	skill (1)	standards (10)
20:9;21:22;23:13;25:13;39:21	69:17;71:1	92:5	23:12;24:24;25:1,9;42:16;
Security (4)	shall (11)	smeared (2)	23:12;24:24;25:1,9;42:16
	1	1	1

standing (2) 46:3,3 State (159) 4:23;17:19;19:8,11,17,19;21:10, 11;22:2,8,20;23:2,15,18;24:15, 24;7:8;27:2,15;28:2,11,21,23; 31:8,10,21;32:7,15,16,23;33:12, 17;34:6,25,25;35:8,9,10,12,18, 19,21,24;36:13;10:24,24;37:13, 17;38:13;39:17,20,23;11:1,11, 13,19,21;45:3;49:4,5;14:8; 15:19:58:8,9:16:4:17:19:66:12, 20:67:23:69:4.5.14.16:71:2.5.9. 10,17,21,25;72:4,13;19:8,11,17, 19;77:13;78:9;21:10,11;83:18; 22:2,8,20;23:2,15,18;91:4;24:15, 24;27:2,15;28:2,11,21,23;31:8, 10,21;32:7,15,16,23;33:12,17; 34:6,25,25;35:8,9,10,12,18,19, 21,24;36:13;37:13,17;38:13; 39:17,20,23;45:3;49:4,5;58:8.9; 66:12,20;67:23;69:4,5,14,16; 71:2,5,9,10,17,21,25;72:4,13; 77:13;78:9;83:18;91:4;92:19.5 stated (13) 25:6:37:24:47:24:13:1:50:21: 77:10;86:12;25:6;37:24;47:24; 50:21;77:10;86:12 statements (3) 15:17;87:2,2 states (19) 20:6;25:13;31:9,11;32:1,2,18; 16:5;73:8;20:6;82:13;25:13; 31:9,11;32:1,2,18;73:8;82:13 State's (4) 19:9;35:15;19:9;35:15 stating (4) 75:8;87:13;75:8;87:13 statute (22) 17:14;22:8;25:18;30:18;32:4, 21,23;58:14;17:14;79:4;81:17; 22:8;87:19;25:18;30:18;32:4,21, 23;58:14;79:4;81:17;87:19 statutes (2) 23:16.16 statutory (4) 19:19;27:14:19:19;27:14 stay (4) 23:23;51:2;23:23;51:2 step (3) 12:13;89:15,15 stepping (2) 71:3,3 Steve (6) 40:22;43:7;44:15;40:22;43:7; 44:15 still (14) 45:21,21,23,23;72:19,20;81:2; 45:21,21,23,23;72:19,20;81:2 stipulation (4) 37:3,8,3,8 storage (2)

stored (8) 45:11,16,17;74:24;45:11,16,17; 74:24 straight (2) 82:5.5 straightforward (4) 77:22;85:8;77:22;85:8 strategy (2) 41:11,11 strict (2) 82:22,22 strong (2) 28:25,25 strongly (2) 27:25,25 stuff (16) 35:3,8;36:9,13;61:7;80:11; 82:16;89:10;35:3,8;36:9,13; 61:7;80:11;82:16;89:10 subject (44) 18:25;35:1;39:11,15;49:15; 14:6,21,22;55:6;56:13;15:25; 61:8,21;66:2,22;67:10;18:25; 72:12;76:20,21;80:13;81:8; 83:15;87:7;88:4;35:1;39:11,15; 49:15;55:6;56:13;61:8,21;66:2, 22;67:10;72:12;76:20,21;80:13; 81:8;83:15;87:7;88:4 submit (22) 43:5;44:12;12:4,5;46:18;56:25; 60:4,9;79:9;80:8;82:15,19;43:5; 44:12;46:18;56:25;60:4,9;79:9; 80:8;82:15,19 submitted (9) 9:6;43:6;50:25;63:19;69:13; 43:6;50:25;63:19;69:13 submitting (2) 70:12,12 subrogating (2) 74:16,16 substantial (2) 90:9,9 suggested (4) 44:12;68:20;44:12;68:20 suggesting (2) 53:23,23 suggestion (2) 63:9.9 Suite (2) 2:5.5,18 suits (4) 39:4;41:20;39:4;41:20 summaries (16) 38:22;50:4;51:25;52:2;63:23; 64:2,19;76:12;38:22;50:4;51:25; 52:2;63:23;64:2,19;76:12 summarizes (4) 51:10,11,10,11 summary (4) 22:25;77:6;22:25;77:6 supervise (2) 19:20,20 supplemental (3)

6:9,18:10:5 supporting (2) 87:10,10 supposed (4) 74:2;90:1:74:2:90:1 Supreme (16) 18:4,8,18;20:12,19;61:20;18:4, 8,18;20:12,19;80:3;85:11;61:20; 80:3;85:11 sure (18) 28:8;51:14;55:23;56:2,11; 57:18;63:11:77:4;78:21:28:8; 51:14;55:23;56:2,11;57:18; 63:11;77:4;78:21 survived (2) 36:16,16 sustain (1) 9:8 sustained (2) 36:23,23 SW (7) 17:15,24;20:18;16:18;17:15,24; 20:18 **SWAN (2)** 92:3,18.5 Swift (12) 58:4,11;62:1;68:18;70:2;73:1; 58:4,11;62:1;68:18;70:2;73:1 sworn (2) 50:24,24 synonymous (2) 83:3,3 system (8) 24:17,18;27:18;77:15;24:17,18; 27:18;77:15 T

table (4) 47:23;73:5;47:23;73:5 talk (2) 46:22,22 talked (2) 81:16,16 talking (26) 26:13;8:3,4,6;29:1,2;9:10; 33:22;37:20;54:9;60:16;62:6; 72:10;81:10,11;26:13;29:1,2; 33:22;37:20;54:9;60:16;62:6; 72:10;81:10,11 task (2) 65:8.8 tax (2) 72:7.7 taxpayers (4) 22:6;26:15;22:6;26:15 TCA (20) 19:12,22;20:9;21:22;22:20; 23:13;25:12;39:20;14:2;15:9; 19:12,22;20:9;21:22;22:20; 23:13;89:25;25:12;39:20;89:25 Telephone (3) 2:19;59:20,20

telling (2) 51:18,18 temporary (2) 26:10.10 ten (1) 10:23 TENNESSE (1) 1:.5 Tennessean (4) 86:25:88:17:86:25:88:17 Tennessee (167) 1:.5;2:6,13,18.5;17:15;18:4.5; 19:5,11;20:12,19;21:22;22:5,6, 15;23:4;7:9;26:5,14,15;27:15; 28:12,21;29:5,11;30:16;31:8,10. 13,20,21;32:4,7,8,12,19,21;33:2, 12,15,17,17,18,22,25;34:6,25; 35:2,8,24;36:12;10:25;38:23; 39:4;40:15;11:11,13,19,21; 42:19;45;3;49:4,5,17;50:5;51:6; 14:9.10.13:55:3:15:20.24.25: 58:10,14;60:24;16:2,5;61:19; 62:1;64:4;17:15;66:17;18:4,5; 19:5,11:74:8,11:76:15;20:12,19; 77:13;80:3;21:22;83:16,18;84:8; 22:5,6,15;85:11,12;23:4;26:5,14, 15;27:15;28:12,21;29:5,11; 30:16;31:8,10,13,20,21;32:4,7,8, 12,19,21;33:2,12,15,17,17,18,22, 25;34:6,25;35:2,8,24;36:12; 38:23;39:4;40:15;42:19;45:3; 49:4,5,17;50:5;51:6;55:3;58:10, 14;60:24;61:19;62:1;64:4; 66:17;74:8,11;76:15;77:13;80:3; 83:16,18;84:8;85:11,12;92:19.5 Tennessee's (16) 32:16;39:16;40:14;66:23;67:2, 20;70:12,23;32:16;39:16;40:14; 66:23;67:2,20;70:12,23 terminate (2) 23:20,20 terminology (2) 86:13,13 terms (5) 6:1:44:6.8,6.8 test (2) 20:13.13 testified (2) 40:23,23 testimony (4) 50:24;63:12;50:24;63:12 theory (2) 41:10,10 thereby (2) 68:12,12 therefore (4) 29:9;42:6;29:9;42:6 thereof (2) 30:5,5 third (4) 35:25;49:4;35:25;49:4 though (6) 32:11;63:8;86:19;32:11;63:8;

45:17,17

86:19 thought (14) 41:11;42:25;53:6;55:16;82:6; 86:17;88:10;41:11;42:25;53:6; 55:16;82:6;86:17;88:10 thoughtful (4) 79:16:88:21:79:16:88:21 thoughts (2) 77:20.20 three (12) 21:5,8;7:17,24;37:19;58:25; 75:22;21:5,8;37:19;58:25;75:22 threshold (12) 26:8;28:13,21,25;29:6;47:5; 26:8;28:13,21,25;29:6;47:5 throughout (2) 46:5,5 tier (2) 36:1.1 timely (2) 90:6,6 TIPPS (1) 2:12 titled (2) 59:1.1 today (21) 8:17;38:12;40:21;46:4;49:24; 55:5:63:5:64:22:72:19:73:7: 86:5:38:12:40:21:46:4:49:24: 55:5;63:5;64:22;72:19;73:7; 86:5 together (4) 70:21;77:6;70:21;77:6 told (2) 73:23,23 tomorrow (2) 90:21,21 tort (2) 22:7.7 totality (2) 18:16.16 totally (2) 70:14,14 tracking (10) 38:15;40:11;43:22;51:16;63:24; 38:15;40:11;43:22;51:16;63:24 tracks (2) 30:18.18 Training (4) 34:4,4,4,4 transaction (4) 18:10;20:16;18:10;20:16 TRANSCRIPT (6) 1:.5;79:17,17;92:7,8,9 transcripts (2)

47:1.1 true (9) 56:12,13:70:10:85:13:56:12,13: 70:10;85:13;92:8 trump (8) 67:1;69:1;70:21;71:21;67:1; 69:1:70:21:71:21 trumps (2) 68:17.17 try (2) 13:12:16:1 trying (17) 11:24:43:24:47:13:51:21.24: 52:6;54:4;57:12;85:4;43:24; 47:13;51:21,24;52:6;54:4;57:12; 85:4 tunc (2) 72:2,2 turn (2) 31:3.3 turned (1) 11:8 two (20) 32:24;38:7,14;12:10;58:23; 16:23;61:17;67:11;72:10;75:4; 78:2;32:24;38:7,14;58:23;61:17; 67:11;72:10;75:4;78:2 type (14) 24:6:36:23:44:9:63:14:66:1: 67:4:72:11:24:6:36:23:44:9: 63:14:66:1:67:4:72:11 types (6) 47:3;54:8;64:13;47:3;54:8; 64:13 U

unique (2) 88:1,1 uniquely (3) 7:6;82:3,3 unless (14) 19:24;30:1;39:18,22;43:20; 19:24:75:8:90:6:30:1:39:18,22: 43:20:75:8:90:6 unquestionably (2) 9:23:10:15 up (20) 21:17;22:16;7:12;9:9;57:2; 59:19;66:7;74:23;21:17;83:20, 21;22:16;89:11;57:2;59:19; 66:7;74:23;83:20,21;89:11 uphold (2) 70:11,11 upon (12) 3:8;6:13;25:12,24;27:2;15:12, 24;90:3;25:12,24;27:2;90:3 use (11) 24:21,23;30:16;41:16;13:7; 69:24;24:21,23;30:16;41:16; 69:24 used (14) 25:14,16;41:13,13;43:11;44:19; 50:23;25:14,16;41:13,13;43:11; 44:19:50:23 usina (4) 51:16:69:11:51:16:69:11 utilized (1) 4.6 V

vacated (2)

71:20,20

ultimately (10) vague (2) 39:5;51:22;70:3;83:22;85:18; 68:24,24 39:5;51:22;70:3;83:22;85:18 validity (4) unauthenticated (1) 87:8,23,8,23 10:20 varying (2) uncertain (6) 87:1,1 85:14,16,19,14,16,19 verdict (16) under (90) 48:21,24;52:24,25;57:20,22; 18:22;20:1;24:10;29:19;38:19; 75:5;78:5;48:21,24;52:24,25; 39:4,14;40:7;43:14;44:8;56:4, 57:20,22;75:5;78:5 14,19,21;57:24;59:7;16:1,10; verdicts (2) 61:25;66:4,11,16,20,23,25;67:6, 78:3,3 7,9,12,14,18;68:2,7,11;18:22; versus (26) 17:5,11,14;20:5,18;21:16; 70:19,22;72:13,14;73:13;74:9; 75:25;20:1;81:10,17;85:25; 10:13;16:18;61:19;17:5,11,14; 89:24;90:16;24:10;29:19;38:19; 68:18:70:2;71:13:20:5,18:21:16: 39:4,14:40:7:43:14:44:8:56:4, 84:15;86:25;61:19;68:18;70:2; 14,19,21;57:24;59:7;61:25;66:4, 71:13;84:15;86:25 11,16,20,23,25;67:6,7,9,12,14, viewing (2) 18;68:2,7,11;70:19,22;72:13,14; 27:2,2 73:13;74:9;75:25;81:10,17; void (10) 85:25;89:24;90:16 67:25;71:6,19;72:1,5;67:25; understandable (2) 71:6,19;72:1,5 VOWELL (2) 30:19,19 unintentionally (3) 1:.5;2:17 18:21:9:2:18:21

24:7.7 wait (2) 43:18,18 waived (4) 61:1:63:17;61:1;63:17 waiver (4) 63:14;78:24;63:14;78:24 WALKER (1) 2:12 wants (17) 7:23;46:17,20,22;47:2;48:9; 72:15;74:16;77:25;46:17,20,22; 1:.5 53:9,9 88:15 72:3,3

47:2;48:9;72:15;74:16;77:25 Washington (1) way (14) 7:17;11:14;12:19,22;48:17; 77:22;78:16;84:25;88:23;48:17; 77:22:78:16:84:25:88:23 ways (2) 8:2:9:12 wealth (2) weapons (4) 24:22,23,22,23 weeks (1) WELBORN (35) 2:10.5;3:20,25;5:18;30:25;31:1, 19;32:8;33:25;34:3;10:1,2,3; 37:2,5,15,23;56:18;82:18;86:11; 91:1;30:25;31:1,19;32:8;33:25; 34:3;37:2,5,15,23;56:18;82:18; 86:11:91:1 Welborn's (6) 36:17;87:12;88:15;36:17;87:12; well-established (2) Wells (4) 47:11;56:15;47:11;56:15 well-written (2) 80:17,17 weren't (4) 80:24,25,24,25 West (8) 31:20;32:8;33:22,25;31:20; 32:8;33:22,25 what's (4) 50:22;66:6;50:22;66:6 whatsoever (4) 36:24,24,24,24 Whereupon (2) 91:12,12 wherever (2) 28:6,6 Whiteville (4)

W

wages (2)

80:22,22

16:8

trial (2)

56:22,22

tried (3)

tries (2)

treatment (1)

9:12;80:23,23

32:10;34:16;32:10;34:16

whole (10)

54:21;65:10,16,21;68:25;54:21; Y 65:10,16,21;68:25 willful (17) 15:12;79:6,24;81:10;82:16; y'all (2) 83:2;86:14;87:4;89:3;79:6,24; 90:25,25 81:10;82:16;83:2;86:14;87:4; years (6) 89:3 46:6,6;50:2;46:6,6;50:2 willfully (10) 79:5;82:23,24;83:24;86:16; 79:5;82:23,24;83:24;86:16 willing (2) 81:20,20 Wilson (2) 71:13,13 Wisconsin (8) 32:13,15:35:16;36:20;32:13,15; 35:16;36:20 wise (2) 85:22,22 wisely (2) 36:4,4 wit (1) 3:4 withholds (2) 56:20,20 within (16) 24:17;30:24;35:20;38:8;50:13: 64:23;65:1;69:8;24:17;30:24; 35:20;38:8;50:13;64:23;65:1; 69:8 without (7) 6:20;7:9;8:7;42:25;77:22; 42:25:77:22 witnesses (1) 5:20 wonderful (2) 47:8,8 word (7) 12:9;62:15;72:24;86:12;62:15; 72:24;86:12 work (71) 24:6,7;38:16;39:10;40:1,13,14; 41:22;42:11,11,12,17,21;44:4,9; 45:5;49:2;50:15,18;52:13;14:16; 54:22;56:12;61:9;63:7,15,17; 64:10;65:5,7,12,17,21;69:19,21; 70:7;24:6,7;38:16;39:10;40:1, 13,14;41:22;42:11,11,12,17,21; 44:4,9;45:5;49:2;50:15,18; 52:13;54:22;56:12;61:9;63:7,15, 17;64:10;65:5,7,12,17,21;69:19, 21;70:7 writ (2) 69:9,9 written (3) 9:6;86:13,13 wrong (12) 46:19;57:5;71:24;84:3;89:2,3; 46:19;57:5;71:24;84:3;89:2,3 wrote (2) 80:16,16